

Views of Garrison 3-7-69

Like many others, I'm sure, I have borne your one-sided coverage of the Garrison matter in New Orleans in silence for two reasons.

First, you made no secret of your anti-Garrison editorial bias from the beginning. Though I must say you could have been a little more fair by striving for some vestige of objectivity in your reportorial coverage.

Second, the national news media, your paper included, have been surprisingly consistent in casting the worst light possible on anything or anyone who dares to question the ludicrous conclusions of the so-called Warren Report.

But I find that I can remain silent no longer. The article (March 2) entitled, "Acquittal of Shaw Preserves Integrity of Warren Report", is absolutely fantastic!

Where Garrison's case fell down was in the area of proving the overt act phase of the conspiracy charges against Shaw. This being so, Shaw was found to be, and should be considered to be, innocent of the total charge. But to consider the outcome a vindication of the Warren Report is total nonsense!

MIKE FARRELL
Los Angeles

Your castigation (March 4) of Garrison in the editorial "Judicial Farce in New Orleans", was well deserved. To those who felt about the trial of Clay Shaw that "Where there's smoke, there's fire," the answer is "But there may also be a pyromaniac!"

MORT R. LEWIS
Los Angeles