

 If he thought Oswald played a part in a consipracy.
If he thought there was a conspiracy.

3. If he thought David William Ferrie took part in a conspiracy. (Garrison charges that Ferrie, who died here Feb. 22, 1967, played a key role in the plot.)

UNTIL YESTERDAY, potential jurors were questioned closely as to their feelings about the Warren Report, and having almost any opinion at all on it was grounds for dismissal.

Under these conditions, only nine jurors were sworn in in six days, and one of these was excused yesterday after he suffered a kidney attack over the weeknd and was hospitalized.

One new juror was chosen yesterday, leaving the net total at eight.

Alcock and Dymond had been asking potential jurors:

"Do you believe the Warren Commission's conclusion that no conspiracy existed in the assassination of President John F. Kennedy?" and "Do you believe Lee Harvey Oswald was part of a conspiracy?"

The only acceptable answer was "I have no opinion." The judge had accepted arguments that anyone who doubted the report was prejudiced in favor of the state.

BUT YESTERDAY, Judge Haggerty upheld Alcock's argument that the Warren Report is irrelevent to the case and that:

"The fact that a man may doubt the Warren Report does not in any way relieve the state of the burden of showing conspiracy here and the defendant's part in that conspiracy. If we excuse everybody who doubts the Warren Report, we'll never get a jury."

After winning, Alcock tried to keep using the Warren Report question. He was blocked by Dymond, who said it the report is irrelevant if shouldn't be brought up at all.

The judge agreed.

THE LINE OF LAW involved often brought baffled stares from prospective jurors 'as it was outlined for them.

Despite Garrison's sweeping attack on the Warren Report and the coupling of Shaw and Oswald as co-conspirators, the prosecution frequently tells prospective jurors the case involves only a conspiracy here.

It may be, Alcock says, that no attempt will be made to show the alleged conspiracy resulted in Kennedy's death.

JUDGE HAGGERTY usually puts his own clarification:

"There might have been 50 conspiracies to assassinate the President in 50 different cities, and in any one of them, though, somebody else got to the President first; if it is proven there was a conspiracy, plus an overt act to further it, then the crime is there; Understand?"

The juror seated yesterday was retired Fire Dept. Captain Sidney J. Hebert Jr., 55, of 4026 Eunice dr.

The juror who became ill and was excused was Lloyd E. Heintz, 40, who had been sworn in Friday.

Also yesterday, defense witness Alvin Beauboeuf, through an attorney, introduced a motion waiving his lawyer-client relationship with Hugh Exnicios of Metairie.

Exnicios last week objected to producing a tape recording sought by the defense, citing his lawyer-client relationship with Beauboeuf as grounds.

THE TAPE allegedly would show an attempt was made by two Garrison aides to threaten and bribe Beauboeuf to testify against Shaw.

Beauboeuf, in his motion filed yesterday, requests Exnicios to respond to the defense subpena.

Judge Haggerty said the matter will be heard between the time of the selection of the jury and the beginning of testimony.

Meanwhile, in <u>Prince</u> George County, Maryland, Circuit Judge Harry Bowen Jr. ordered FBI firearms expert Robert A. Frazier to jestify at Shaw's trial as a state witness.

THE U.S. Justice Department made no objection. Last week, a Virginia court took similar action in the case of TBI photo analyst Lyndal L. Shaneyfelt. In the past, Garrison has often had trouble getting his out-of-state witnesses returned to New Orleans.