

117A

# Citizen-News

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 23, 1966

Vol. 62 No. 202

1545 N. Wilcox, Box 9-1234; 11109 Magnolia, N.H., PO 3-5141, 14218 Sycamore, V.N., 785-3127; 7216 Vassar Ave., C.P., 883-7450; 226 N. Canon, B.H., CR 6-2341

10c

# DOUBT GROWS IN JFK KILLING

# Prober Russell Dubious

WASHINGTON (UPI)—The clamor for a new investigation into the assassination of John F. Kennedy seemed certain to increase today with the disclosure that one of the members of the Warren Commission disagreed with some of the panel's findings.

Sen. Richard B. Russell, D-Ga., became the first committee member to publicly disagree with the report and its findings Tuesday when he said he was "not satisfied with some of the answers" it gave.

In a related development, Tex. Gov. John B. Connally, who was wounded seriously at the time of the assassination, has planned a news conference in his Austin office to answer questions about the investigation.

Connally Disagrees  
Connally has differed in at

least one respect with the Warren Commission report — that dealing with how many shots were fired. He believes he was hit by a different bullet from the first slug, which passed through Kennedy's neck.

The commission's contention was that the same bullet hit Kennedy and Connally.

Speculation over the timing of the shots fired at the motorcade in Dallas Nov. 22, 1963, has led to suggestions that Lee Harvey Oswald may have had an accomplice, or that a conspiracy was involved.

Russell, in an interview with the Atlanta Journal, agreed with Connally in rejecting the "single bullet" theory, but upheld the commission's findings that Oswald acted alone.

## Russell's View

The Georgia senator said that though he was "not completely satisfied about the completeness of some of the answers the commission was compelled to make, its report was based on the evidence before it and not on speculation, rumors and questions."

He said he has no objection to the appointment of any number of new investigating commissions, but he added:

"I am of the opinion that the absence of additional testimony by any of these commissions, whether composed of leaders of the American Bar Assn., the Congress or the State Bar, will come in the form of a new commission."