Lo

Warren Report Under the

Professor Directs Massive Analysis of Challenged

BY GENE BLAKE

Commission reports on the Warren ation of President John F. Kennedy Lare being explored in a massive study at the UCLA law school.

Directing the project is Prof. Wes-

Directing the project is Prof. Westitey J. Liebeler, who served as an assistant counsel on the commission staff. Twenty law students, of most of them in their third year, are participating.

Each has been assigned a particutor topic in the report. They will go through the record, analyze the evidence on both sides and perhaps

suggest what the commission should have gone into more thoroughly.

Results of the seminar will serve as the basis for some of the things to be said in a book which Liebeler plans to write.

Liebeler frankly admits the study was spawned by two books critical of the Warren report—"Rush to Judgment," by attorney Mark Lane, and "Inquest," by Edward Jay Epstein.

Although Liebeler himself has been critical of some of the things done by the commission staff and is quoted extensively in the Epstein

book, he is thoroughly in accord with the commission findings.

And he is appaled at the nature of the attacks questioning the conclusion that Lee Harvey Oswald was the assassin and acted alone.

Liebeler and his fellows on the commission staff are particularly incensed at what they claim to be misstatements or distortions of the record. He says he has found at least 15 in the Lane book.

In assessing Lane's treatment of the subject, Liebeler said he is "forced to the conclusion of dishonesty." He is kinder to Epstein by labeling him merely "incorrect."

ells wift nev do

Microscope at UCLA

Findings on Assassination

Liebeler said Epstein, who who his book originally as a master's the sis in government at Cornell University, was overwhelmed by the stiring caused and has since been convinced to abandon some of his contentions.

"What is needed at this point said Liebeler, is one piece of wo which sets forth both sides objectively. Lane doesn't. Epstein don't.

"There is evidence in the recommon tentirely reflected in the text of the Warren report. That doesn't mean it wasn't considered.

"It needs to be put in one sho

Liebeler is even wary of published accounts of the controversy. SOTAs an example, he cites a wire serwice report this week quoting him as aying autopsy X rays of Presider Kennedy showed all shots fired aim were from behind and above. I did not state that this w was shown by the autopsy itsel iebeler said. "I did not and could not ha

de the statement about the X

were fired Han Obehball and above for the simple. reason I have never seen the X-rays.

"In addition, while I am t not an expert on such matte ters, I doubt that X-rayed could show such a thingri one way or the other."

But Liebeler believesti the pictures of the Presti ident's wounds woulding more likely confirm the findings. And he thinks such material, which her understands has been turned over to the Justice Department by the Kennet dy family, should be madel available to a responsible body.

He said the commission's working papers, a now secreted in the national archives, may be available for scrutings within 60 days.

The university will bes asked for funds to permffo students to go to Washing o ton to analyze this materix al and perhaps to do further research in Dallas, Lie beler said.

Besides reviewing the record, the students mays. also interview experts such as pathologists tod see if some more investigat gation ought to be done.

Writing Criticized

But Liebeler emphasizes that his criticism of the commission staff works was directed not at the introvestigation—which he belt lieves was thorough—bufi at the writing of the re-port.

The time rush basically affected how the report was written, not the inves-

tigation," he said. Liebeler said Epstein is incorrect when he suggests that the commission took only 10 weeks for its work. He said it basically lasted at least seven months—and some further investigations were care

ried on even beyond that.

raised a question about an print of Oswald's palm reportedly listed by Dallas police from the underside of the rifle which fired the fatal shots. FBI tests showed no such print, nor even any evidence that av print had been lifted. low But Liebeler saw that the matter was pursued Danas after the

sion's basic investigation had, been complete Further investigation J-the lifted palm pri valtowed markings provis sthat it could have con nonly from the rifle in que

w These are the top outudents to explore:

mind-How did the poli is get the description the iswas sent out over the p silve radio and what is the silve it led Oswald's arrest? General treatment by the Warren report of eye-witness iden sitification of Oswald. snoi2 - Evidence on Oa)

orwald's rifle capability. er 3-Source of the shots. 4-Did Oswald purchase

the rifle and keep it in his possession until the time of the assassination? O V5 Did Oswald bring the

Fife into the Texas School Book Depository on Nov. 1963, or at any other time?

lis 6—Was Oswald at the bearing of the beassassination?

t ,to7-Did Oswald kill Offi-

eder J. D. Tippit?
n 9/8 What did Oswald do from 12:30 p.m. to the time. one was arrested in the is Texas theater?

ine9_Did Oswald earlier onshoot at retired Maj. Gen. Edwin A. Walker?

10-Analysis of the sto-

sizy that Oswald had a sight nomounted on a rifle at a itigun shop in suburban Irvbeing, indicating he owned ednother rifle. rili 11—Analysis of the tess illimony by Sylvia Odio; yanggesting that Oswald. ... may have been involved.

with Cubans in a conspirator me 12—Were Oswald and lohis killer, Jack Ruby, wknown to each other be-papere the assassination of the the the think of the the think of the t adirectly? ne T

13-Make the strongests argument that (a) Oswayed was not involved in the as-

Sassing of all and stop of the third of the report on these propositions.

14-Where was the bullet found that was recovred after the assassina-

15 - Medical evidence relevant to the one-bullet Leory. 18 Other physical facts

eight, etc. 17—Did the bullet go brough the President's ody as suggested by the ne-bullet theory, and if ot, when was the Pres ent hit, what hit him nd where is it?

Wrote Chapter Liebeler's specific task for the Warren Commision was to write the chaper der dealing with Oswald' ackground and possible hotives, plus about one fifth of the chapter on pos-tible conspiracy.

But he also reviewed the chapter on the assas sin. He wrote a critical nemo which succeeded in bringing about some changes in the text-although not all he wanted, he says. An honor graduate of the University of Chicago law school in 1957, the 35 wear-old Liebeler prac-

ticed law in New York before he was assigned to work with the commission. He is now in his second year as an acting professor at UCLA.

He believes that even *. Though not all of the evidence introduced before the commission would be admissable in a murder trial, there probably was sufficient physical sufficient physical evidence to have convicted Oswald had he lived.

But Liebeler raises a deeper and perhaps even more intriguing question in the light of the current controversy over free press and fair trial.

Where could Oswald ever have gotten a fair tri-bate space, but the popular