## NCHESTER

Fragerial Control of the Control of

Vol. 95 No. 13

Thursday September 2911966 & KILL SILL

WEEKLY

ment in American-Russian rela-

## Page z GUARD

Special Air Edition

U Thant's less precise "scaling

25 CENTS

## lan at large

IT seems to me that anyone who now challenges the findings of the Warren Commission on the assassination of President Kennedy and the murders of Police Officer Tippit and Lee Harvey Oswald ought to make clear his early association with the event and his first conclusions about the commission's judgment. For a lot of early doubts (Buchanan, Bertrand Russell, and a pack of the foreign press who invaded Dallas) were either snatched from misinformation or rooted in a deep hatred of America disguised, often from the hater himself, as a passion for justice.

Most of us, at the start, were profoundly shaken by the murder itself and outraged at the bewildered and sloppy behaviour of the Dallas police. After that we divided sharply into those who were relieved by the executive order that let the FBI take over and those whose chronic distrust of the FBI or fondnesss for conspiracies quickened their susceptibility to the plague of rumours. Once President Johnson appointed the commission, the first group was further reassured by the distinction of most of the appointees and by the unquestionable integrity of Chief Justice Warren. The sceptics, fighting now a rearguard action against the Establishment, held blindly to the rumours or shrugged off the whole thing as an inevitable whitewash. Ten months later you had your choice of reluctantly conceding that the whitewash had been done with herculean labour (one fat report and 26 volumes of supporting documents, exhibits, and testimony) or succumbing with a sigh to such a massive dose of tranquilliser.

As a working correspondent who often attached himself to the White House press corps to cover the political travels of President Kennedy. I had put myself down to go to Fort Worth and Dallas, But the trip came at the wrong time for my newspaper: the first story could appear only on Saturday, and since we kad no Sunday newspaper the next story would be stale. Moreover, it was to be

ALISTAIR COOKE re-examines the evidence on the assassination of President Kennedy

a wearisonic cnore for the President (he liad to be strongly persuaded to go) of pacifying a Texas political feud between the Vice-President, Governor Connally, and two opposing partisans who, just to confuse things, bore the same name, I decided to stay in New York, a decision that saved me from the agony of the press. corps, long ordeal when it found itself streaded in the luncheon gathering which thes President was to address. For the next figs hours two of us watched three television networks do an incomparable if desperate job.

It is curious, in retrospect, how some of the lasting doubts were planted in the first few hours. One of them was the grecise identification, by three policemen who sperched the sixth floor of the Texas School Profit Depository building, of the murder weapon as a German "Mauser, 765 bolt action, equipped with a 4/18 scope." The building and which law an Italian carbine, which somehow had been found later in the building and which Oswald or someone else, had bought from a mailerder house under the pseudonym of "A. J. Hidell." From the first, the fishiest item was the miraculsul keepoping down on Oswald; a mile or iswo away in a movie theatre, as the only suspect in all of Dallas, on the rough description of a bystander at forty yards who later failed to identify Oswald in the police line-up and who proved to be a very changeable witness. The murder of Officer Tuppit was then, amil remains with me today, a mystery which only by huge credulous. Planter of Officer Tuppit was then, amil remains with me today, a mystery which only by huge credulous. Planter of Officer Tuppit was then, amil remains with me today, a mystery which only by huge credulous. Planter of Officer Tuppit was then, amil remains with me today, a mystery which only by huge credulous. Planter of Officer Tuppit was then, amil remains with me today, a mystery which only by huge credulous. Planter of Officer Tuppit was then, amil remains with me today, a mystery which only by huge credulous. Planter of Officer Tuppit was

weeks); hundreds of witnesses had been re-examined. It remained, however, for Edward in the roward of witnesses had the work. Hundreds of Witnesses had the work as the commission of the ward the work as the commission of the ward the work as the commission of the railroad overpass; the evidence that would inflame them was damped down); the police found no ejected shells on the suspicious grassy knoll by the railroad overpass; even Marina Oswald thought her husband was guilty; Mark Lane was dashing all over insisting on the murkiness of the commission's motives; Oswald was dead; Ruby was in gao!; the investigation had taken ten months (actually, only ten weeks); hundreds of witnesses had been re-examined. It remained, however, for Edward Jay Epstein, a young academican, to interview five of the commission's seven members and most of its legal staff.

Until the appearance of Mark Lane's book ("Rush to Judgment," Bodley Head 42s), and Epstein's cool and alarming account of the way the commission went about its work ("Inquest," Hutchinson 30s), there was little cause to fret. No longer. From now on, I'm afraid, the burden of proving Oswald's lone guilt must fall, as it ought to have done in the beginning, on the Prosecutors; that is to say, on the FBI and on the commission, which from start to finish appeared to have a perverse preference for testimony that was contradictory or unreliable or cowed or perjured. From first to last, the commission clung to the FBI's four-volume report like a Fundamentalist to the Old Testament.

In the days of our innocence, every time we read that "the commission" had concluded this or that, we reflected that this was the considered judgment of the upright Chief Justice (himself once a skilled district attorney in California), of John McCloy, once the High Commissioner for Germany, of a former head of the Central Intelligence Agency, and of a former Solicitor-General of the United States. Behind them was a body of lifelong lawyers, so high-priced that most of them had little time to

Assuming for the moment that these strictures might be residual scars from the inevitable personality clashes of the commission and its frantic staff (the writing and publication deadlines had constantly to be advanced, to the Chief Justice's distress), let us look at the procedure the dynamicsion agreed to nadopt. Hardly thinwing where to begin, of in a task that had no precedent in Presidential commissions, they parcelled out the "investigation" according to topics and issues in a very orderly fashion. This division of labour was such as would be followed by an eminent law firm as the proper way to amass testimony before trial, testimony which would be digested into evidence by the trial lawyer and his staff in court. But there was no such master mind, and the method guaranteed that the distinguished commissioners by dalwet to noiselimenty.

their frequent absences, and the galley slaves by their separate preoccupations, would be alienated from a view of the

slaves by their separate preoccupations, would be alienated from a view of the whole case.

But if the commission did not end with a comprehensive view, it started with one. It soon found that it had no time for independent investigation. It trusted to the five volumes of the FBI report (which contained 25,000 separate items), an almost irresistible document if read alone. So the commission proceeded under a mischievous, but self-imposed, handicap. It referred always to the testimony of the Dallas police and the FBI's final version of its interviews as the case to disprove, and when it was in doubt it retained its faith. The procedure dangerously resembled that whereby a district attorney's office dedicates itself to a single end: the procuring of an indictment from a grand jury at all costs. A grand jury is the creature of the DA; and that is why his required obsession to prosecute must be repulsed by the defence lawyer and tested in the trial.

But there was no trial, and no case for the defence. It is what Mr Lane has tried to provide. Admittedly, it is an advocate's case, and, while he tends to impugn the commission's motives, as reliably, as the commission impugns the doubters of its single-bullet, single-assassin theory, his case is a damning one.

case is a damning one.

So, the commission's report amounts to the published transcript of a vast grand jury mearing. Its revealed inadequacy, not to see injustice, is that haying heard an exhaustive case for the prosecution it proceeds at once to a conviction and a retrospective sentence of death, It is not, it now appears, that the commission was devious or shothful Its method of procedure was fatal to any whole view. And its defined aims were fatal to a true one. For it accepted in good faith the President's order to "ascertain, evaluate, and report on" the true facts; but it at once added to this the further aim of "lifting the cloud of doubts that had been cast over American institutions"; in a word—to dispel the darkness it was the commission's duty, if not its brief, to penetrate. At an early meeting it was confronted by a bomb. There was a rumour (brought to the commission's notice, incidentally, by Mark Lane, simply an attprney and citizen) that Oswald had been for still was a paid FBI informer. The singlequent inquiries disclosed much disturbing stuff about sworn contacts and Government cheques. The commission's exeneral counsel warned that what had incommission. . . damaging to the agencies that gare involved." In spite of Allen Dulles' admission that this allegation "was a terribly hard thing to disprove," it was mercifully rejected on the single assurance of J Edgar Hoover that there was an exerciply hard thing to disprove," it was a close shave. But from then on, the half-conscious but flagging aim of the commission was to present a solid front, to soothe the public discontent, to accept the truth when it flourished only under blue skies. The "informer" scare had been an object lesson. What would the public mood have been if the commission? Small entry wound in the throat (before the emergency tracheotomy had distorted and enlarged the wound for the later autopsy by the navy doctors) for ever blasted the theory of a single assassin?

We-are coming close to a mood of regateous anger, which can be just as models. Postyle.

rather than action. The question is, where do we go from here?

I believe the case should be re-opened by a commission that would first appoint a body of independent investigators whose only duty would be to the commission; that the testimony of the police and the FBI should be assessed like any other; that a legal staff should prepare the case for the defence; and that the commission should then call all the witnesses the defence counsel considers "vital," includ-2 ing those on whom Mr Lane bases his non rebuttal, and all the testimony—most the especially that of Sylvia Odio—that "HI supports the theory of an active considers spiracy with Gubans in which Jack Ruby, to the known Oswald, and the physically drawing clues may have been involved. The size essential witnesses would have to include the majority of bystanders who were sure not the bullet sounds had come from the bill wooded known by the overpass; all therefore the bullet sounds had come from the bill wooded known by the overpass; all therefore the bullet sounds had come from the bill quently, after visits from FBI or "recon-3fe sideration," then identified him; the cabdriver who booked Oswald's cabride at time when Oswald should have been last raising his rifle; the man who actually T: put in the police call over Tippit's radio left raising his rifle; the man who actually T: put in the police call over Tippit's radio left who knew Tippit and also knew Ruby; slet the woman on the bus who owed rent to the should many more who Messis Lane and Bestelansw convince me office of outrage and may convince me office of outrage and may more who Messis Lane and Bestelansw convince me office of outrage and may a lice.

The awful blend of outrage andmea patriotism that shook the country in the lors months after the assassination has disanst sipated. The risk that all the old wit has nesses have by now hardened into risk that all the old wit has nesses have by now hardened into risk anecdote the confusion of first impressifiguous is worth taking. The fear that the infoinstitutions of American governmental would topple before the truth is no longer will lively. LBJ is there to prove it. It should reprove be possible now to see the thing steadily and see much more of the whole.

Probably there was not (as the newdown President Johnson was the first to fear) ited a Cuban or Right-wing plot against the odd American executive branch (several offsel the Cabinet were up in that plane onisses their way to Japan). Perhaps there was incredible marksmanship can be made stationeredible marksmanship can be made stationeredible marksmanship can be made stationeredible. Perhaps some seer can be found it to explain the inexplicable Tippit episode into explain the inexplicable Tippit episode which went through one back and bodyliadinto another back and out through wrist into a thigh retained the fragment and that were found in the bodies. Perhaps of that the only two reporters who went through stationer and the shot Oswald weren at murdered, one in Dallas, one by a police officer in California. Perhaps there was man no other assassin.

The general court-martial that tried the oscalled conspirators for the assassina to the so-called conspirators for the assassina to the so-called conspirators for the assassina to the so-called conspirators for the assassina to the customary rules of evidence. Second and the customary rules of evidence. Second and most important, you must not permit a yourselves to be influenced by that not permit a yourselves to be influenced by that not permit a commission must be that if it had taken a similar vow very many more of its findings would be understandable. As it was, it fulfilled its second aim: to dispel a welter of alarming rumours and protect the Republic. It signally failed in its first aim: "to ascertain, evaluate, and reportion of American justice, that it should not be l