ritics, Revive Debate on Warren Repo

Special to The New York Times
LONDON, Sept. 25 — The
Varien Commission report on
Long Sept. 25 — The
Long ecial to The New York Times Ortics, and defenders seem about equally divided.
The occasion for the revival

difference is the publication of day that, in light of the Epstein books, the commission "was not as potent an instrument for an instrument of incovering the truth as expletely in the United Spatian and "Rush to Judgment" by Mark Lane.

On the other hand, Lord Devlin said Mr. Epstein had American correspondent of The American correspondent of The

Mr. Epstein's complaint that not sustained his intimated charge that the commission had by Chief than any conspiracy ries—either his or the fanciful ones or Mr. Lane.

The postering a Harvard doc
The postering a H fanciful ones or Mr. Lane.
Epstein, a Harvard docceandidate, undertook his yof the Warren Commission that Lee Harvey Oswald had fired at the President but thought there might or form New York City. In from New York City. In fight The Times of London a, on the Warren Commission that Lee Horsel the known evidence on firing times left the possibility open, but he saw it as only a possible of work in different in an editorial for tomoriseditions.

If things considered the real comment in an editorial for tomoriseditions.

If things considered the real comment in an editorial for the next should convene another commission. Another call for a further if dependent study was made the anonymous reviewer in The Economist. Without it, he said the judgment will "never satisfying or conclusive."

Bernard Levin, an often adulous columnist in The Dair Mail, found both Mr. Epstein assaid they merely served those in the said they merely served those in the reminent lawyer, writing in The Sunday Telegraph. The truth is unbearable to them. The truth is, more fixed to believe the real port's conclusion "because the port's conclusion books as worthless, especially Mr. Lane's.

He recalled that Mr. Lane's wald killed President Kennedy that the world is indeed subject to chance, accident and important the commission of the convenience of the more of the more in the possibility open, but he saw it as only a possibility open, but he saw it as only a possibility open, but he saw it as only a possibility open, but he saw it as only a possibility open, but he saw it as only a possibility open, but he saw it as only a possibility open, but he saw it as only a possibility open, but he saw it as only a possibility open, but he saw it as only a possibility open, but he saw it as only a possibility open, but he saw it as only a possibility open, but he saw it as only a possibility open, but he saw it as only a possibility open, but he saw it as only a possibility open, but he saw it as only a possibility open, but he saw it as only a possibili

Issues Raised in Books by would have had posthumous counsel before an English royal Epstein and Lane Prompt New Round of Scrutiny

Lord Devlin, one of the most respected legal figures in England, said in The Observer to-

On the other hand, Lord Devlin said Mr. Epstein had not sustained his intimated

commission.

The only favorable reviewed the serious Sunday papers was by Cyril Connolly, the literary critic.

He said in the Sunday Times that he was now convinced that the was now convinced that the authorities investigating the assassination were unduly committed to the view the Oswald had committed the slay-

Alistair Cooke, the long-time American correspondent of The Guardian, also was critical of the Warren report. He said that it had "signally failed" to ascertain the truth, and that "this Desident of the long that President or the next should convene another commission.

Another call for a further in the commission of the commission