

GEST CIRCULATION IN

735

WARREN REPORT CHALLENGED zA.1 Question of Second K 12 n Assassin Again Being 30 h-BY ROBERT J. DONOVAN . (9W .91°

病 法人类利

HUDBILLION.

Wer partment or CIA men when weth yeres astalles Wesmit .

9 WASHINGTON - Nearly 49 months after publication of the War ren Commission Report, the questi tion of whether a second assassing may have been involved in the murd der of President John F. Kennedy is; Pre again being asked.

-\*

"No new evidence has come to" light. Rather the issue is being resure rected in new challenges to the find ings of the Warren Commission and in new interpretations being placed<sup>3</sup> yes on the evidence.

These challenges are abetted by what appear to be errors in the early! Federal Bureau of Investigation reit pert on the President's wound. If the FBI statements are not errors, they could unhinge the central conclusion Narvey Owglanwas prohabiye the Marvey Owglanwas prohabiye the allow assessin. Lang (Blu spokesman:

<u>ي</u>

for the Central Int

Sunday sall, however, that the statements are in error. son be? If he exists, where is he?; What is he doing? Is he still a poten-i fantastic ring to it. Yet it is diligently pit forth in a new book to be pubg lished this week by Viking Press-ab book, that larbut the firsh of manyly

that will challenge the Warren Commission findings.

1994

DAILY, 1,165,396 4880 DAY

The Viking book is called "Inquest: The Warren Commission and the Establishment of Truth." It was written originally as a thesis for a mast s degree at Cornell University by Edward Jay Epstein, who is now working for a Ph.D. degree at Har vard.

It carried a highly la atory foreword by Rich Washing H. Rovere, correspondent for the Yorker magazine, w writes that Epstein's ca is as impressive as it. disturbing."

8

The book compares the of the most provide dicism and surprising relations about the intercontroversies in the Wa ren Commission yet to ap pear.

It reports, for example, that Chief Justice Earl Warren, the chairmin, lost his temper over delays in getting out the report. It says staff lawyers called the commission's hearings a "joke" and it charges that much of the investigation was "superficial" and overly hasty.

According to Epstein, the White House through McGeorge Bundy, former special assistant to the President, exerted presgure to get the report out before the 1964 Presidential election to prevent the assassination from becoming a campaign issue.

The book also indicates a serious division within the commission on whether the President and Texas Gov. John B. Connally were struck by the same bullet — a crucially important point in establishing whether Oswald was alone.

was alone. According to Epstein, Sen. Richard B. Russell (D-Ga.) a commission member, "reportedly said he would not sign a report which concluded both men, were hit by the same bul-

Agree With Russell Two other members Sen. John Sherman Cooper (R-Ky.) and Rep. Hale Boggs (D-La.) "tend d to agree with Russell's position," Epstein says. Yet the report appeared a unanimous one and the conclusion was that the same bullet had struck M-Kennedy and Connallyhe bullet, that is, that younded the two men. constant of which went that three bullets were fired, one of which went stray.

The two senators werging unavailable for comment Sunday. The Washingth Post, however, quoted Boggs as having said that an implication the commission was divided in its comclusions is wrong. There were, he said, many diaj cussions involving many points of evidence. But the indings were unanimous

No Proof While the Epstein book challenges the commission's methods and its findings, it does not prove the case of a second assay sin any more than the Warren Commission proved beyond all doubt that Oswald was the sole assassin. The commission The Harvey Oswald was the assassin of President Kennedy." But it said: 12'z "Because of the difficules of proving a negative to of others being involved the either Oswald or Russian of the others of the others being involved the either Oswald or Russian other of the other of the other of the other oth rically. But if there any such evidence, it has been beyond the reach of all the investigative agencies and resources of the United States and has not come to the attention of this commission." In other words, while not excluding the possibility of an accomplice, the commission leaned heavily foward the belief Oswaldwas alone. .... **Complex Question** 

The question of what happened to the bullets and at the Presidential n usine in Dalkas Nov. 22 1 33, is unbelievably com-

## Continued from 25th Page

whether one or two gunmen were involved.

Motion pictures taken by a bystander show clearly the reactions of Mr. Kennedy and Connally when they were first struck. Mr. Kennedy clutched his throat; Connally slumped forward. These reactions occurred less than two seconds apart.

It was, however, demonstraply impossible for Oswald's bolt-action rifle to have been fired twice in that brief interval. Therefore, one of two things? must have happened: either Mr. Kennedy and Connally were shot almost simultaneously by two different gunmen, or, as the commission concluded, the bullet that struck Mr. Kennedy in the shoulder must have passed through his body first and then. through the chest of Connally, who was seated ing front of him.

Epstein attacks this conclusion forcefully, beginning with citations from FBI reports, which had not been made public at the time the commission<sub>50</sub> submitted its finding.

In its first assassination report dated Dec. 9, 1963, the FBI said: "Medical examination of

"Medical examination of the President's body revealed that one of the bullets had entered just below his shoulder to the right of . the spinal column at an angle of 45 to 60 degrees downward, that there was no point of exit and that the bullet was not in the body."

In a supplementary report dated Jan. 13, 1964, the FBI said:

Medical examination ofthe President's body had revealed that the bullet which entered his backs had penetrated to a distance of less than a finger.<sup>C</sup>

Notes Small Slit "There is a slit approximately one-half inch long about one inch below the collar button in the overlap of the shirt the Prez] ident was wearing. The slit has a characteristic of an exit hole of a project

## tile."

b"The FBI report," Epo stein writes, "precluded the possibility that both men were hit by the same bullet. There was thus 2 prima facie case of two assassins. The fact that OS wald was able to escape from the murder scene suggested a second assassin could also have escaped undetected."

Epstein's case is challenged by the statement Sunday by the FBI spokes-man that the FBI report was wrong when it said "there was no point of ex-• it."

Quoting Doctors The FBI agents were not doctors but were mere not acctors but were mere by quoting doctors, the/ FBI spokesman said. Which of course does not dispose of the automotion whether the doctors were

right or groups the tell mate truth about wound is in the autopsy mate truth about the "The missile contused the strap muscle of the right side of the neck, da maged the trachea (windpipe) and made its exit through the anterior surface of the neck."

It was on this statement, that the Warren Commis-sion relied in **concluding** that there was "very par-suasive evidence" that the same bullet that "made its, exit through the anterior surface of the neck" went on to pierce Connally's chest and wound his wrist.

## Disagrees

Epstein disagrees with the commission, saying: hit by the same bullet on there had to be two assas-sins. Norman Redlich, AR len Specter and other members of the staff took the position the report had to conclude that both men wone hit by the same bul. let. There was, however, no substantial evidence which supported this contention. And there is endence that all but pro-coded the possibility that b in men had been hit by same bullet.

there is a question whe ther the autopsy report published by the commission was the original autopsy report. Before any official word had been reshe notes, certain newspapers carried stories saying the autopsy showed the first bullet had penetrated the President's back by only a couple of inches. The wound in the front of the throat, according to these newspaper accounts of the autopsy, was caused by a metal fragment from the subsequent fatal shot in the head.

Notes Testimony Epstein notes for en sample that Connally testi-fied it was "inconceivable" that he was hit by the same bullet that also h Mr. Kennedy, althourn Connally's own surgent believes the governo could have been mistaken Epstein argues that the bullet that hit Connais y had also damaged Mr. Kennedy's windpipe, the President would not have been able to exclaim: "My God, I am hit." Secret San the agent Roy Kellerman dy say that after the finite shot.

Epstein's thesis is that the Warren Commission did not want to rock the hoat by delving into troublesome matters that might have suggested the facts about the assassination were other than what they appeared to be.

Thus, he says, the com-mission did not make a sufficiently exhaustive inquiry into the rumor that Oswald was a paid FBI inU( former, even though there were some very curious)

circumstances. D'Instead, Epstein says, the commission simply took the word of J. Edgar Hoover and the FBI that's Oswald was not an informer

Quotes Lawyer Or Epstein quotes Joseph A. Ball, a Long Beach, (Ca-co), lawyer, who was an important member of the pmmission staff as

plaining that the FBI was exasperatingly bureau. ratic" in its dealings with the commission and that FBI agents collaborated only on "express orders", from Hoover. Epstein also ays, however, that "the staff had virtually all its questions answered by the **F**ΒΙ."

On the other hand, he quotes another staff member, Wesley J. Liebeler, that "the most disquieting" thing about the FBI investigation was that it was less thorough than it appeared to be." Liebeler ale The second secon

"Opinions differ as to what the commission ac.' tually did," Epstein writes after interviewing manyO of those connected with it. Ball said the commission had no idea of what was happening; we (the staff) did all the investigating, lined up the witnesses, solved the problems and wrote the report."

Liebeler, when asked what the commission did, replied: "In one word, nothing."

These judgments are These judgments are a not compatible with the views of some independent dent observers here who thought at the time that members of the comme-sion make a substanti-contribution to the final re-nert, even though the report, even though the renew ground.

Epstein concludes his book with these words:

"Unless the basic facts and assumption established by the commission are incorrect, there is a strong case that Oswald could not have acted alone. Why did the comzance in its conclusion of this evidence of a second assassin?

"Quite clearly, a serious discussion of this problem within itself has undermined the dominant purpose of the commission hamely, the settling of doubts and suspicion. Indeed if the commission had made it clear that ve ry substantial evidence indicated the presence of a second assassin, it would have opened a pendorizabog of donots and sustcion in witablishing it version of the truth, the warren Commission acted to reassure the pation and to provide the mational interaction. Second w - Aniver it