



QUESTIONS

THE
WARREN REPORT
DOES NOT
ANSWER

BY THE EDITORS OF REAL



EIGHT and a half weeks after the Warren Commission Report on the Assassination of President Kennedy started its investigation, Chief Justice Warren was asked by reporters whether the testimony taken by the Commission would be made public. Warren answered: "Yes, there will be a time But it might not be in your lifetime. I am not referring to anything special, but there may be some things that would involve security. This would be preserved, but not made public." (The New York Times, Feb. 5, 1964.)

Eight months after that, the 800-odd page Warren Report was released. Its final conclusions were that Oswald, and Oswald alone assassinated President Kennedy and further, that Ruby in no way was connected with Oswald. Yet one month after the Report was made public, both the Gallop and Harris Polls showed that a large part of the populace was still not convinced about its findings. The Harris Poll showed:

<u>QUESTION</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	<u>UNDECIDED</u>
Do you believe the report gives the full story of the investigation and the assassination?	45%	45%	10%
Do you agree with the findings that Oswald acted alone, without any accomplice?	56%	31%	13%
Do you agree that Oswald was the assassin?	87%	2%	11%

In other words, about half the public believed the Report did not tell the full and complete story, and about one-third had doubts that Oswald acted alone.

We, here at REAL, have these same doubts. We agree that Oswald was fully involved in President Kennedy's death. But after a careful study of the Warren Report, taken together with Warren's statement of February 1964 (8½ weeks after the start of the Commission), we still believe there are specific reasons to doubt that Oswald acted entirely alone.

These doubts are based on three factors, fully described in the Report.

1. Oswald's attempted assassination of Gen. Walker.
2. Oswald's claimed inability to drive a car.
3. Jack Ruby's strange and unexplainable appearance at Parkland Hospital.

FROM READERS' LETTERS

WARREN COMMISSION'S REAL ASSIGNMENT

3-IMP
LETTERS

Are you not a little hard on the Warren Commission? I am not one of those who can believe that a bullet came down from a sixth floor window striking Kennedy in the back and exiting from his throat, as the Warren Report would have us believe. Nevertheless, we need to face some other facts. By November 25, 1963, three men were dead in Dallas. Nothing and no one could alter that fact. In my view, the Warren Commission was appointed, not really to solve the mystery of who killed Kennedy, but to accomplish two things:

1. To brighten up the "image" of America in foreign lands;
2. To keep the assassination out of the election campaign of 1964.

In the latter objective the Commission succeeded remarkably well. The campaign was bad enough as it was, with Miller and Nixon ranting about "softness on Communism," the evils of A.D.A., etc. Think of how much worse it would have been if Nixon *et al.* were free to rant about the terrible Communist conspiracy that murdered our beloved President! (By now they have forgotten how they hated Kennedy.) If it had not been for the Warren Commission and its immense prestige, Goldwater would be our President-elect today. So I say, give thanks to the Warren Commission.

Stanton, Minn. (Mrs.) J. M. THOMPSON

THE IMPOSSIBLE TRAJECTORY

This week my copy of the Warren report arrived from the Government Printing Office. As a physicist, I have searched out the sections dealing with the motion of the car and the trajectory of the shots, for these lie in the field of my competence. The kindest thing I can say about the report is that it is pseudo-scientific. In the entire report there is no measurement whose precision is given, and no report is scientific unless it includes precision.

It is my conclusion that the same bullet could not have hit both Kennedy and Connally as the Warren Commission claimed. You can see this yourself in the picture in exhibit 893, page 102. Note that the shot would have gone through the jump seat and hit Connally in the buttocks! Yet the Commission stated that there were no holes in the car and that Connally was hit so high that the bullet exited by his nipple. I have also set up the mockup described on pages 106-107 in my Chevrolet and in a small car (Renault) and it became obvious that Frazier's statements were false. The trajectory cannot be as he described it.

Since the Warren Commission did not analyze its data for precision, a private group can move into the void. Thus if a group of scientists were to carefully examine the data, staying in the field of their competence, their conclusions on these matters would take precedence over the Warren Commission. This would be especially true if Nobel Prize winners were in the group and if it were international in character. I would like to see such a group formed and I believe Lord Russell or Dr. Linus Pauling would be able to form it.

As even my necessarily crude review of the data conclusively shows that two or more men must have been involved in the shooting and as agent Frazier's testimony is demonstrably false, I infer that a plot exists and that high people are involved. Please, use caution with reference to my name, as I am in a particularly vulnerable and dangerous situation.

Somewhere, U.S.A.

A PHYSICIST

BEFORE NOVEMBER 22nd

Before the assassination, President Kennedy was openly ridiculed and hated in this part of the country. On a city bus one could say loudly for all to hear, "We will have to get rid of him," and no one protested such talk. No wonder that school children and other people cheered when the news came of the assassination. The young people had heard their elders express hostility so often that they were preconditioned for this kind of a response.

Fort Worth, Texas

BEN O. MILLER

65