# Texts of Statements on Accord on

Following are the tasks of three statements on the settle-ment yesterday of the dispute over "The Death of a Presi-dent," One was made in behalf of Mrs. John F. Kennedy by John Seigenthaler, Edward Gulhman and Richard Goodwin; The second came troop Harves & the second came from Harper & Kow, publishers of the book, and the third from William Manchester, the author.

### Statement for Mrs. Kennedy

In late 1963, several authors were planning to write about the events surrounding the assassination of President Jhn F. Kennedy. Members of the family and members of the late President's staff were receiving many requests for interviews and cooperation.

In order to prevent prolifer-ation of demands and at the ation of demands and at the same time to permit a full historical account, Remator Robert F. Kennedy and Mrs. John F. Kennedy—on the ad-vice of many f those being contacted—agreed to submit to one interviewer regarding those painful days. William Manchester was anied to interview Mrs. Ken-redw and Senator Kennedy

nailed to interview Mrs. Ken-nedy and Senator Kennedy and to do the research and write the story of Nov. 21-25, 1963. Neither Mrs. Kennedy nor Senator Kennedy then knew Mr. Manchester per-senally sonally.

At that time the John F. Kennedy Memorial Library had begun an "oral history" project to record on tape the recollections of those involved in the Kennedy Administra-tion. It was understood that tion, it was understood that all such tapes were to be de-posited in the Kennedy Li-brary to be made available to future historians at a time designated by the person in-terviewed. There are 300 such tapes already on deposit, some of them sealed for more than 100 years.

**Background Material** 

Mr. Arthur Schlesinger had Mr. Arthur Schlesinger had interviewed Mis. Kennedy for this project. When his inter-view reached the period of the asassination, he stopped. Since Mr. Manchester was to write his book, it was thought that he should complete the interview since it might give him valuable background ma-terial and would not subject Mrs. Kennedy to a repetition of an account of the facts. Mrs. Kennedy and Senator Kennedy, as they were inter-

viewed, were told that they were talking for an "historian

of the 21st century." . They were also told that like all others interviewed, they could designate when, if ever, the tapes or the con-tents of their interviews could be released. Moreover, they feat fully protected by a forfelt fully protected by a formal contract signed by Mr. Manchester which guaran-teed that nothing they said would be published without consent. They were reassured by both the author and rep-

by both the author and rep-resentatives of the publish-era Harper & Row, that nothing they told Mr. Man-chester would be printed un-less they approved. Under the agreement the Joim F. Kennedy Memorial Library was to receive a share of the normal profits of the author and the pub-lishers, since all agreed that Mr. Manchester's book was not to be a commercial enter-prise. Mr. Manchester pub-licly acknowledged this as the time of the signing of his agreement to write the book. agreement to write the book. Worked on Book 2 Years

The terms of the agreement signed in March, 1964, pro-vided that Mr. Manchester woud not publish his book until his completed manu-script was submitted to Senator. Kennedy and to Mrs. Kennedy and was approved. This, of course, was never done, nor were the tapes of their interviews with Mr. Manchester ever turned over to the library. Under the protection of this

agreement and unaware of any danger that there would any danger that there would be any unauthorized publica-tion in her lifetime or that or her children. Mr. Kennedy talked freely and for the his-torical record to Manchester in interviews that lasted about 10 hours.

She did not seek or talk to Mr. Manchester again until he had completed his manu-script.

For about two years, until early 1966, Mr. Manchester worked on his book. No member of the Kennedy family supervised or directed his work in any way, nor did they discuss the book with him. He was completely on his

In early 1966, Mr. Manches-ter submitted the manuscript to Senator Kennedy, who

# Kennedy Book

asked Mr. Seigenthaler and Mr. Guthman to review the manuscript and make suggestions. They had frequent dis-cussions about the text with Mr. Evan Thomas, of Harper & Row

While the editorial process was continuing, Senator Ken-nedy assured Mr. Manchester that he would not stand in the way of publication or maga-zine serialization once a final had been agreed upon, although neither then, nor at any subsequent time, was there any such approval. Mrs.

Kennedy or her representa-tives had not reviewed the manuscript, although her per-sonal approval was reoured. In late July the manuscript was sold to Look magazine. However, we were then, and for the next several menths, assund that the determines assured that the changes ner-sonally desired by Mrs. Ken-

sonally desired by Mrs. Ken-nedy would be made. It is unnecessary to detail the almost endless series of meetings and conversations which took place all during the summer and through the fall. Mrs. Kennedy's requests user wrastadly words through were repeatedly made through representatives and by per-sonal appeals. Galleys were edited, and lengthly editorial discussions were held. Despite constant reassurances, how-ever, most of the requested

changes were not made. We continued our efforts to modify the manuscript cealing with personal pas-sages and inaccuracies. The anneals must content of the second appeals went on, even as prospects grew dimmer, because all concerned knew that, regardless of the merits, le-gal action would create a painful and difficult situation.

Jainful and difficult situation. In December, Mrs. Kennedy was finally and irrevocably denied the right even to see the current version of the manuscript. The passages were the same ones that she had been discussing through-out. In fact, as we later learned most of this material out. In fact, as we later learned, most of this material had been retained.

#### Distress Is Cited

It is impossible to describe the personal distress caused by this refusal after months of discussion, reassurance and promises. Although a lawsuit would be painful, it could not equal the distress caused over this long period. Moreover, it was now clear

that only through legal action that only through legal action could Mrs. Kennedy assert her right and desire to protect her private life and the inno-cent griefs of her children. Even then there was hesta-tion. For we all knew how difficult would be the storm that was sure to come.

A controversy, however, would end, while the published material would live forever, We also knew that some of the material might be printed in any event; but it would be and, at least, lack the sem-ing acquiescence of the family

of President John Kennedy. And so the lawsuit was

Now it has been settled among all the parties. Those personal passages of most concern have been deleted or modified. The recorded inter-views will be deposited in the Kennedy Library. We are greatful for that, We all agree that the historical record has not been damaged, nor has the book itself been

Throughout discussions of changes and revisions, con-cern was expressed by us and by the publishers regarding passages which were unfair or inaccurate. Detailed memoranda was submitted, specific-ally objecting to these passages.

sages. Many of the changes recom-mended in this connection were refused by the author, apparently on theiground that he was entitled to his own

352



AUTHOR'S VIEW: William Manchester, author of book "The Death of a President," during his news conference yesterday in New York.

view of history. When we were not successful in getting the author and publisher to agree with these changes, we and the lawyers in the controversy felt these points should not properly he made a point of legal suppression. Thus it is important to note that on the tille page of

each copy of the book pub-lished in the United States or

lished in the United States or abroad there will appear the follwing words: "Harper & Row wishes to make it clear that neither Mrs. John F. Kennedy nor Senator Robert F. Kennedy has in any way approved or endorsed the material appear-ing in this book. The author, William Manchester, and the publishers assume complete and sole responsibility."

Winahi statustry, and in publishers assume complete and sole responsibility." All the parties, publishers and authors, have stated that the material involved is only a small portin of an immense narrative; and we are told it is of little significance. We agree with that, its only significance was to Mrs. Ken-nedy and to her children. We can only regret that it was not possible to make the accommodations, which have now so easily been agreed upon, without the ordeal which legal action inevitably and foreseeably brought.

#### Harper & Row Statement

In the past weeks there have been hundreds of thou-sands of words written about this controversy. Our position

as publisher has been espe-cially difficult because of our respect and admiration for the Kennedy family and for the Kennedy family and for William Manchester, as well as our obligation to him as an author. We are glad that the lawsuit has now been terminated and desire to make this brief statement. Harper & Row did not ask for the peivilege of publish-

for the privilege of publish-ing "The Death of a Presiing "The Death of a Presi-dent." In March, 1964, Mr. Evan Thomas, Chairman of the Harper Editorial Board, was asked by Robert F. Ken-nedy, then the Attorney Gen-eral, if Harper would publish a book about the assassi-nation of President Kennedy. Mr. Thomas was informed Mr. Thomas was informed that William Manchester had been chosen by Mrs. Kennedy to write the book.

On behalf of Harper, Mr. Thomas told Robert Kennedy that we would be proud to publish the book, and it was clear from the outset that this would not be treated as

this would not be treated as an ordinary commercial pub-lishing venture. Instead of the publisher and the author receiving the usual proceeds from the Har-per publication, it was agreed that the John F. Kennedy Meemorial Library would receive a substantial share of

these proceeds. Accordingly, the Harper share above costs, including normal overhead, and taxes, from all sources, including the Book-of-the-Month Club the Book-of-the-Month Chuo and paperback, cannot be more than 6 per cent on net receipts from the first 100.000 copies sold of the hardbound

edition, regardless /of how many copies are sold of any edition,

Also, as initially arread, the Library receives a substantial portion of what would otherwise have gone to the author. In March of last year. Mr.

Manchester finished writing the original manuscribt of his book "The Death of a President" and he so advised Mrs. Kennedy, Senator Kennedy and Harper. Since that time, the original veersion, revised versions, galley proofs and page proofs have been available to Mrs. Kennedy and Senator Kennedy. How-ever, they decided not to read the book and relive the tragedy of the assassination. Instead, a number of close friends and associates were delegated to read the book on their bhalf.

These representatives cleared a great many com-ments and suggestions direct-ly with Mr. Manchester and Harper. By the middle of July, substantial revisions were made by Mr. Manchester, in accordance with these comments and suggestions, and on July 28 Senator Ken-nedy advised both the author and Harper by telegram and letter that members of the Kennedy family would place no obstacle in the way of publication of Mr. Manchester's book.

Prior to July, Senator Kennedy had been informed of the likelihood of an early publication of another book about the assassination. In view of all the facts, Mr. Manchester was told he was free to ar-range for serialization of the book in late 1966.

Subsequently, Mrs. Kennedy requested that the start of requested that the start of the serialization be postponed until January, 1967. Look de-ferred to her wishes and scheduled the serialization in four installments starting in January, 1967.

#### Serialization Rights

Harper has at no time had any financial interest in, or control over, United States or foreign magazine serialization or publication of the book abroad. Arrangements were concluded by Mr. Manchester with Look magazine, and Senator Kennedy told him that he was pleased with the selection of Look.

It was understood that the book itself would then appear in 1967, at or about the time of the last magazine install-ment. At the March, 1964, meeting it had been agreed that book publication should not be separated in time from the final installment of the magazine serialization. Mr. Manchester has worked

on this book assiduously and conscientiously for nearly three years. As he neared finalization of the book, Mr. Thomas worked closely with him. Their objective was to produce a unique work which produce a imque work which is also a fitting memorial to the late President. In our opinion, they have accom-plished this objective.

Dished this objective. In settling the current con-troversy, a few personal pas-sages of concern to Mrs. Ken-nedy have been deleted or mod-ified by mutual agreement of ified by mutual agregation of all parties. The changes that have been made involving a cumulative total of some 7 pages in a bolok of 654 pages of text that have affected neither its historical interest

neither its mistorical interest or its narrative power. In our opinion, the book as we will publish it in April based upon access to unique sources will proudly stand as a dramatic account of the fateful days from Nov. 20 to

25, 1963. The decree which Judge Streit has issued today con-firms that Harper & Row will publish William Manchester's "The Death of a President" in early April as planned and that it will be distributed by the Book-of-the-Month Club under the Harper Imprint. The text of the book has been agreed upon by Mrs. Kennedy and Mr. Manchester.

## Manchester Statement

After lengthy discussions, Jacqueline Kennedy, Harper and I have agreed upon April publication of "The Death of a President," which I wrote

at her request. In conseat her request. In conse-quence, she has withdrawn her legal action, and Robert Kennedy is waiving his rights in the memorandum of understanding which I signed with him three years ago.

Out-of-court settlements are Out-of-court settlements are often called "compromises." In this case a more accurate description would be "a re-solution of misunderstand-ings." Last month, a state-ment issued by Mrs. Ken-nedy's office characterized portions of "The Death of 3 President" as "tasteless and distorted," but that judgment was based upon isolated frazwas based upon isolated frag-ments which had been read to by associates of the family. I may say that she has had

complete access to my entire manuscript since last March and has been given every opportunity to read it, yet, as she declared in her affidavit to the court, at that time she had never even seen it. An Explanation

This may sound baffling, but there is an explanation, and it is quite human. The fact is that those close to her had hoped to spare her the ordeal of a full reading. In retrospect, it seems obvious that had she done so then— had her authority not been delegated to designated representatives of the family-we all would have forgone much anguish. However, the dilemma has

now been resolved. Since fil-ing her court action, Mrs. Kennedy has studied the full text, and I have made certain alterations at her request. Altogether, her suggestions comprised less than 1 per cent of the manuscript—that cent or the manuscript—that is, less than seven pages but of a 700-page book. Moreover, during the discussions first completed, additional histori-cal material has been added. I ground like to checking

I should like to observe that from the marginal notations on the page proofs Examined by Mrs. Kennedy, it examined by Mrs. Kennedy II now appears quite clear she has raised no real objection to either the taste or accuracy of the original manuscript, and that she has found no distortion in my account of the events of late November, 1963.

- 2

None of the deletions which have been made during the last few weeks are political in character. Essentially, what has happened is librat Certain passages which the considered personal ware pointed out to me, andgrid therefore struck them outed therefore struck the structure therefore struck the structure structure the structure the structure structure structure structure structure the structure structure the structure structure the structure structure the structure str None of the deletions which

42

Pledge Reaffirmed I wish to reaffirm my vol-

The up a near time of the up of the up of the the sate of the sate of the sate of the sate of the up of the sate o content. Once more I should like to Once more I should like to express my ardent wish that evaluation of "The Deathgof a President" be suspended, until its publication in book form, when it can be proper-ly judged. This has been a perplexing affair. But it should be borned in mind that tens of millions of Americans still find it im-In mind that tens or millions of Americans still find it im-possible to ponder the Presi-dent's murder logically. If they are irrational, surelyn those who were closest to John Kennedy are entitled to the greatest sympathy and compassion.

the greatest sympany and compassion. The catastrophe was in-same IL was the nightmare of our generation. One can-not always be sensible about it.