


**RUNAWAY BEST SELLER!**

NYT- 8/21/66



**Mark Lane**  
**Rush to Judgment**

A critique of the Warren Commission's inquiry into the murders of President John F. Kennedy, Officer J. D. Tippit and Lee Harvey Oswald  
Introduction by Hugh Trevor-Roper

**Mark**

"Mr. Lane's book is a brief for the defense — the case he would have made, had he been permitted to represent Oswald. It is well-documented, persuasive, and restrained. As a

225



# Lane's devastating critique of the Warren Commission Report

professional advocate, he . . . presents a powerful case for the proposition that the Commission committed numerous errors in admitting, evaluating, and excluding evidence."

— FRED GRAHAM,  
*New York Times Book Review*

**"If one-tenth of these facts should prove to be significant, then the work of the Warren Commission will be judged by history to be a scandal worse than Teapot Dome. . . . RUSH TO JUDGMENT will live as a classic for every serious amateur detective in America because Lane's book proves once and forever that the assassination of President Kennedy is more of a mystery today than when it occurred. . . . His work is not without a trace of that stature we call heroic. Three cheers!"**— NORMAN MAILER, *Front Page, Book Week*

**"This is the most disturbing book I have read in many years.** Whatever one may think about conspiracies and all the other highly debatable areas of conjecture, it seems to me that Lane has completely proved his main point, and it is a terrible one: that the most significant murder of our lifetime received an investigation which does not measure up to the standards of criminalistics and detection which we have a right to expect in the most ordinary everyday homicide. (And that the official Commission did its best to conceal this fact from the public.)"

— ANTHONY BOUCHER

**"Other critics have covered much of the same material that concerns Lane, but none have been more thorough and painstaking.** He has gone beyond the Warren Report and the multi-volume Commission hearings to interview witnesses (some who testified before the Commission and others ignored by it), to visit Dallas, and to conduct a relevant investigation of his own."

— *San Francisco Examiner & Chronicle*

**"The Warren Commission Report is not acceptable. It ignores or misrepresents or distorts much of the recorded evidence, and its most important conclusions are suspect.** If you think that is incredible, or even merely unlikely, and wish to go on thinking so, do not read this book. Do not even stop in at a bookstore for a look at it, for if you read a page, almost any page, you will probably be hooked."— REX STOUT

**"If there are many more books like Mark Lane's RUSH TO JUDGMENT, it is just possible that the inquiry could be reopened. . . . It raises serious doubts that the full truth has yet been told about what happened on that November 22."**

— *Detroit News*

**SIXTH LARGE PRINTING  
70,000 COPIES IN PRINT**

\$5.95 at your bookstore now



**Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc.**

**1866-1966**

**"The book gains much of its force by pointing up discrepancies between the Commission's conclusions and its own record of testimony.** Mark Lane may have scraped the barrel for damaging doubt-raisers. But they are sharp enough and numerous enough (citations cover 50 pages) to disquiet even readers predisposed — as was this reviewer — to accept the judgment of the respected men who had the commissioners' thankless task."

— *Christian Science Monitor*

**"This book makes a prima facie case for re-opening the Warren Commission's hearings.** Unless its questions are answered more effectively than they have yet been, the investigation must be deemed materially incomplete." — PROFESSOR LOUIS LUSKY, School of Law, Columbia University