


TIMES DISPATCH
Richmond, Va.

AUG 28 1966



205

...three shots in less than six seconds."

The FBI firer was expected to shoot the weapon before the... of... in... and... True, Lane strongly implies... in the actual firing... admission report... theory that the assassination... part of a conspiracy.

"The force of the evidence," Lane, "is inescapable—the case against Oswald as the lone assassin is refuted by the very evidence upon whom the commission relied. The FBI report... states the commission's conclusion that all of the shots were fired from the rear and... they were fired by a lone assassin."

Epstein's indictment of the commission's report is more boldly written. He has established, at least to his own satisfaction, proof that the commission "sincerely convinced that national interest would best served by the termination of

...of... and... which the report was... to... hearing

"Indeed," that in... and... were... by... in... and... of the Warren Commission. They present their... with... leave-little-room... that one or more persons other than Oswald were "in... on the assassination.

Inferentially, if not specifically, all three authors have much room to believe that indeed, Oswald was an assassin, but were not the only... that were fired at the occupant of the president's car on that fateful autumn afternoon in Dallas, Tex.

The incident of the trial has become history. The blemished account of what actually took place if known to any living person has yet to be written. The Warren Commission did not produce it.