

Omitted facts

THE REPORT, Mark Lane said, is "deliberately misleading by omitting certain facts in the Commission's possession." In elaboration, he said:

1. It implies that the back door to the Book Depository was guarded by a policeman after the assassination and that this would have resulted in limited egress to a slayer. In fact, four separate "back doors" were open and hence were unguarded. As Bill Shelley, Oswald's foreman, said: "Any one of a thousand different people could have entered or left the building for 20 minutes after the shooting."

2. There's a great deal of controversy over the timing in which [Book Depository Building Manager] Truly entered the building (he allegedly found Oswald on the second floor after the shooting). [A man named] Molinas, who was standing at the door, claims that Truly entered alone immediately afterward. Shelley claims that Truly and a policeman entered five minutes later and not immediately as claimed. The fact of this controversy is not reported.

3. A number of the original assertions of the Dallas police force are proved as untrue by the Report. They dismiss such things as: (1) the fact that the building was cordoned off immediately; (2) Oswald was immediately found to be missing as a result of a line-up; (3) a trajectory map drawn by Oswald was found. Although disproving these original allegations, the Report never comments on the inaccuracy of the Dallas police force. What other erroneous statements did the Dallas police force make which may not have been unproved?