Drew Pearson As 'Uncle Tom'

In 1966 this editor wrote himself out of the Democratic rty. Today we print another editorial we never dreamed writing. For many years we have read and admired courageous works of Drew Pearson. Today we feel he reached a journalistic low we cannot tolerate.

On March 3, 1967, Pearson wrote: "President Johnson

sitting on a political H-bomb-an unconfirmed report at Senator Robert Kennedy (Dem.) of New York, may ve approved an assassination plot which then possibly

ckfired against his late brother.'

Does Pearson seriously believe this story? Or is he ced to do someone's bidding? Doubters of the Warren mmission are being slandered for presenting hard evince of a conspiracy. Pearson is printed across the land th "unconfirmed reports!"

Pearson further reported that after the Bay of Pigs, esident Kennedy was unhappy with the CIA, and it was ring this time the agency as quoted by Pearson: "...the A hatched a plot to knock off Castro. It would have been possible for this to reach the high levels it did-say iders without being taken up with the younger Kennedy. leed, one source insists that Bobby—eager to avenge the y of Pigs fiasco—played a key role in the planning."

Does Pearson hate the Kennedys so much he would

tually accuse the brothers of plotting an assassination? ease, Pearson, if you have any evidence—print it. If you ist do the bidding of others, please tell us while you are

l alive.

It is sad to see a once independent newsman have to w to the demands of others and report rumors and unnfirmed reports of such a Cain and Abel nature.

What do they have on you, Drew?
Pearson further wrote: "Some sources consider Robert mnedy's behavior after the assassination to be signifint. He seemed to be tormented—they say, by more than e natural grief over the murder of his brother."

Surely the conscience of Drew Pearson must be causg him some grief after writing such a column. Stand up ain Drew, you were once a great force for good in our

untry.