

FORGIVE MY GRIEF

VOL. II

By PENN JONES, JR and SHIRLEY MARTIN

The mutual interest in and activities of Ruby and Oswald with the people in Mexico, the pro-Batista and anti-Castro Cubans creates only circumstantial evidence. There is not enough evidence in this regard which, standing alone, would connect Ruby and Oswald in a conspiracy. However, there is a difference in having enough evidence to convict as needed by a prosecutor and having enough evidence pointing toward a need for further intensive search by an investigative body. The Cuban and Mexican connections of both men meet the latter requirements, especially since substantial testimony places them together at other times and places.

RUBY SAID HIS CANCER WAS INDUCED IN JAIL

A principle of the law of evidence as well established as the rule of flight, is that of dying declarations of a deceased person if made on his deathbed and the person states that he knows of his impending death. When such is the case, the declaration is admissible evidence of the truth of charges contained in the statement. Any person who heard the remarks of the dying person can testify in a Court of Law to all that was said. If the dying declaration inculcates a named person or persons, this can be used to convict the ones named.

According to Bernard Gavzer, Associated Press writer, Jack Ruby stated that he himself was murdered. Gavzer said Ruby complained that his cancer was induced secretly in jail. Later, when Jack's condition was diagnosed as cancer at the hospital, he was certain it had been injected into him.

The astonishing and puzzling fact about Ruby's charge is that neither Federal nor State authorities have started any type of proceeding to investigate the case. Whether Jack Ruby was induced with cancer or not, the charge was made by the dead man that he was murdered in the Dallas County jail, demands a searching and complete inquiry.

It is amazing that this has not been started.

If a detective of the lowest rank in the most obscure precinct house in America turned a report to his superior with the loose ends as done in the investigation of the century, he would be fired on the spot, or at least would obtain a transfer to the garbage department.

It is reported in the William Manchester book that Vice President Johnson persuaded President Kennedy against Kennedy's wishes to come to Texas where he was assassinated. Should the Johnson administration continue to keep their shoulder against the door of further search in the light of the avalanche of legal evidence, connecting circumstances and loose ends? Objective history may well describe Johnson's time as the sinister period.

FOOTNOTES

1. Commission Hearings, Vol. XI, Pages 367-369
2. Commission Hearings, Vol. XXVI, Pages 834-835
3. Commission Report - Chapter VI
4. National Archives: Commission Document, No. 1553
5. Ibid
6. Ibid
7. Ibid
8. Ibid
9. Commission Hearings, Vol. V, Page 112
10. Ibid
11. Commission Hearings, Vol. X, Page 33, et seq.
12. National Archives: Commission Control, No. 767
13. Ford and Stiles, "Portrait of the Assassin," Page 14
14. Ibid
15. Commission Hearings, Vol. XXVI, Pages 838-839
16. Commission Report - Page 299
17. Commission Hearings, Vol. XI, Pages 370-371
18. Commission Report - Page 299
19. Commission Report - Page 302
20. Commission Hearings, Vol. XI, Page 342, et seq.
21. Commission Hearings, Vol. XI, Pages 367-368
22. Commission Hearings, Vol. XI, Page 361
23. Commission Hearings, Vol. XI, Page 353
24. Commission Hearings, Vol. XI, Page 361
25. Commission Hearings, Vol. X, pages 31-51
26. Commission Hearings, Vol. XI, Page 353

27. Commission Hearings, Vol. XI, Pages 373-374
28. Commission Report - Page 302
29. Commission Hearings, Vol. XI, Page 331
30. Ibid
31. Commission Hearings, Vol. XI, Page 329
32. Commission Hearings, Vol. XI, Page 337
33. Commission Hearings, Vol. XI, Page 369
34. Commission Hearings, Vol. XXVI, Page 470
35. Commission Hearings, Vol. XXVI, Page 470
36. Commission Hearings, Vol. XIV, Page 345, et seq.
37. Commission Hearings, Vol. XXIV, Pages 345-355
38. Ibid
39. Ibid
40. Ibid
41. Commission Hearings, Vol. XXIV, Page 335, et seq.
42. National Archives: Commission Document No. 1553, et seq.
43. Commission Hearings, Vol. XXVI, Pages 402-405
44. Ibid
45. Commission Hearings, Vol. XXVI, Page 738
46. Ibid
47. Commission Hearings, Vol. XXIII, Page 157
48. Commission Hearings, Vol. XXIII, Page 159
49. Commission Hearings, Vol. XXIII, Page 160
50. Commission Report - Pages 345-346
51. Commission Report - Page 346
52. Ibid
53. Commission Hearings Vol. XXIII, Pages 369-370
54. Commission Report - Pages 284-285
55. Commission Report - Page 594
56. Commission Hearings, Vol. VI - Pages 260-273
57. Ibid
58. Commission Hearings, Vol. XXIV, Page 297