

Public inability to accept fact of death

By Roz Davis
DB Associate City Editor

"Lack of faith in government" was not the official title of the debate held at noon yesterday between Mark Lane, Warren Commission critic, and UCLA law prof. Wesley Liebeler.

Muck of the heated debate between the author of "Rush to Judgment" and the assistant counsel for the Warren Commission centered around the much-discussed credibility gap.

Between accusations about faulty testimony and faulty firearms, both Lane and Liebeler discussed the American public's inability to accept the facts behind the assassination of the late President John F. Kennedy.

After a brief background sketch of the incidents in Dallas in November, 1963, by moderating political science prof. Irving Bernstein, Lane proceeded to give a 12 minute opening statement entitled "Resolved: The Conclusions of the Warren Commission Report are Invalid."