

KENNEDY ASSASSINATION

Sirs:

"A Matter of Reasonable Doubt" (Nov. 25) is a masterpiece, a restrained, analytical presentation that bulwarks the grave doubts that are worrying the entire nation.

VICTOR W. FITCH
Easthampton, Mass.

Sirs:

The second look at the Warren Commission report and the fair presentation of the "one-bullet" and "two-bullet" theories are examples of an honest style of reporting that ought to prevail more often in such heated controversies. Your care in presenting the case for both sides justifies your startling conclusion. I hope a similar impartiality to theories marks any subsequent official investigations.

JONATHAN VON RANSON
Presque Isle, Maine

Sirs:

You say that the disagreement between Governor Connally and the Warren Commission arises over how to read the Zapruder pictures. The "reasonable doubt" is not over evidence, but interpretation. How can doubt involving interpretation ever be resolved?

JOY OLDEMEYER
Ridgecrest, Calif.

Sirs:

There will be many people opposed to the painful re-opening of this tragedy, but the demand of history for the truth is a far more important consideration than that of individual grief or pain.

KATHRYN SCHENK
Cheektowaga, N.Y.

Sirs:

By ignoring the vast body of evidence that points to Oswald as the sole assassin, you have encouraged the lunatic fringe in its wild speculations.

RAYMOND LEAVER
Long Beach, Calif.

Sirs:

Unless someone produces new, objective evidence a new investigation would only produce more subjective opinion, which in turn would be questioned again.

BRUCE L. BARTLESON
Parlin, Colo.

Sirs:

Because I both admired and respected our late President, I would be sincerely appreciative of learning the true details of that horrible November day.

LIDIA A. TERZI
Los Angeles, Calif.