xcerpts From J.E. Hoover's Testimony

WASHINGTON, Oct. 2 (AP) Following are excerpts from testimony of J. Edgar Hoover, director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, before the Warren Commission on May 14, as reported in a copyrighted article today by The Washington Star:

Representative Gerald Ford -Is the Federal Bureau of Investigation continuing its investigation of all possible ramifications of this assassination?

Mr. Hoover-I can assure you, so far as the F.B.I. is concerned, the case will be continued in an open classification for all time. I think this will be a matter of controversy for years to come, just like the Lincoln assassi-

nation.

Just how far you are going to go for his [The President's] protection and his security? I don't think you can get absolute security without almost establishing a police state, and we don't want that. You can't put security in a black groove or a white groove. It is in a gray groove, and certain chances have to be taken. You are dealing with a human being when you are dealing with the President of the United States. President Johnson is a very down-to-earth human being, and it makes the security problem all the more difficult, but you can't bar him from the people.

Submitted Memorandum

There are certain things that can be done, and I submitted a memorandum to the Secret Service and to the White House on certain se-curity steps that might be taken and tightened up. But, when you are dealing with the general public, and that is what has given me great concern in the recent expansion of the criteria for dis-semination [to the Secret Service] that we have adopted after the assassination.

Prior to that time we reported to the Secret Service all information that dealt with individuals who were potential killers or by whom acts of violence might be anticipated. The Secret Service would take that information and would do with it as they saw fit. I gave great consideration to it because I am not very happy with the criteria expansion, but I felt we had to include subversives of various character, and ex-tremists. We have, in turn, furnished their names to the Secret Service. I think 5,000 names up to the present time already have been submitted



Associated Press

J. Edgar Hoover

and there are at least unee or four thousand more that will be submitted within the next few months.

Call on Local Authorities

Then you come to the problem of what you are going to do when the Secret Service gets those names. They have to call upon the local authorities. Just recently, in the city of Chicago, when the President was there, the local authorities were asked to give assistance as they usually do to the Secret Service and they went to the homes of some of these people, and it resulted really almost in a house arrest.

Now, I don't think there is any place in this country for that kind of thing, but these people who belonged to extreme subversive organizations or organizations that advocated the overthrow of government by force and violence were told that they couldn't leave their house or if they did they would be accompanied by a police officer.

That gives me great con-cern because in New York City alone, you run into may-be three or four thousand such individuals who would be members of subversive organizations, and then you get into the twilight zone of subversive fronts.

Merely because a man be-longs to subversive front organizations in my estimation doesn't mean that he is blacklisted, and is a menace to the country for life. We found no indication at all that Oswald was a man addicted to violence.

The first indication of an act of violence came after ne. Oswald, had been killed, and Mrs. Oswald told us about the attempt on General Walker's life by Oswald.

No one had known a thing about that.

We went back into his Marine Corps record. He was a "loner." He didn't have many friends. He kept to himself, and when he went abroad, he defected to Russia.

The first evidence we had

of him in our file was a statement to the press in Moscow.
And then, later, about 22
months later, he returned to
the embassy there and according to the report of the embassy we have and which the commission has been furnished with, the embassy gave him a clean bill.

He had seen the error of his ways and disliked the Soviet atmosphere, et cetera, and they, therefore, cleared him, paid his way and paid his wife's way to come back to this country.

Representative Hale Boggs

—You have spent your life studying criminology and violence and subversion. Would you care to speculate on what may man? have motivated the

'Dedicated Communist'

Mr. Hoover-My speculation, Mr. Boggs, is the fact that this man was no doubt a dedicated Communist. He preferred to call himself a Marxist but there you can get into the field of semantics. He was a Communist, he sympathized thoroughly with the Commu. nist cause.

I don't believe now, as I look back on it, that he ever changed his views when he asked to come back to this country. I personally feel that when he went to the American Embassy in Moscow originally to renounce his citizenship he should have been able right then and there to sign the renouncement.

He never could have gotten back here. I think that should apply to almost all defectors who want to defect and become a part of a system of government that is entirely foreign to ours. If they have that desire, they have got that right, but if they indicate a desire for it, let them re-nounce their citizenship at once.

We had interviewed him, I

think, three times. Or course, our interviews were predicated to find out whether he had been recruited by the Russian intelligence service, because they frequently do that.

Mr. Boggs-And had he been?

Mr. Hoover—He had not been, so he said, and we have

been, so he said, and we nave no proof that he was.

He had been over there long enough but they never gave him citizenship in Russia at all. I think they probably looked upon him more as a kind of a queer sort of individual and they didn't trust him too strongly.

They do have espionage and sabotage schools in Rus-

sia and they do have an assassination squad that is used by them but there is no indication he had any associa-

tion with anything.

There are many people who read The Daily Worker, or what is now The Worker, and you certainly can't brand them as hazards to the security of the country or as potential assassins. It is in that area that I am partic-ularly concerned that we don't become hysterical and go too far in restricting the citizens of our country from exercising their civil and constitutional rights. The mere fact a person disagrees with you in a matter on communism doesn't mean he should be arrested.

But, all in all, I think that the enforcement of security and the enforcement of laws dealing with subversion ought to be handled in the Ameri-

can manner,

Prior to the assassination of the President, a defector, before he came back, was albefore he came back, was al-ways cleared for return by a representative of the State Department or the military abroad. When he came back we immediately interviewed him. It had to be done at once to determine whether he could be a potential intelli-gence agent.

Expanded Lists

Now, in December of last year, following the assassination, we expanded the criteria of what should be furnished to the Secret Service, and all defectors automati-cally go on the list to be furnished to the Secret Service.

There are 36 defectors that we know of in this country who have been under investigation. Some of those men may have changed their views sincerely. Some of them may not have But as a matter of general precaution, as as result of the Oswald situation, we are seeing that all go to the Secret Service.

J. Lee Rankin—I think the

commission would desire to have your comments or whatever you care to tell them, concerning the reasons why you did not furnish the information you had concerning Lee Harvey Oswald to the Secret Service prior to the time of the President's assassination.

That was obviously one of the questions that I had in my mind when the tragedy occurred in Dallas.

In going back over the record, and I have read each one of the reports dealing with that and the reports of Mr. [James] Hosty [F.B.I. agent in Dallas] who had dealt with the Oswald situation largely in Dallas, we had the matter that I have previously re-ferred to, the report of the State Department that indi-cated this man was a thoroughly safe risk, he had changed his views, he was a loyal man now and had seen the light of day, so to speak.

How intensive or how ex-tensive that interview in Moscow was, I don't know. But, nevertheless, it was in a State Department document that was furnished to us.

Interviewed on Arrival

Now, we interviewed Os-wald a few days after he ar-rived. We did not interview him on arrival at the port of entry because that is always undesirable by reason of the fact it is heavily covered by press, and many relatives generally are there, so we prefer to do it after the man has settled down for two or three days and become all composed. We do it in the privacy of our office or wherever he may be, or in his own home, or apartment. "We interviewed him twice,

in regard to that angle that we were looking for. We had no indication at this time anything other than his so-called Marxist leanings,

called Marxist leanings,
Marxist beliefs.
We wanted to know whether he had been recruited by
the Soviet Government as an intelligence agent, which is a frequent and constant practice. There is not a few goes by but that individuals and

groups of individuals, says times on those cultural changes, go through Russiand recruits are enlisted by the Russian intelligence, and the doesn't come back for if he doesn't come back to this country and work for them they will expose the fact that he is a homosexual or degenerate or has been indiscreet.

Went Voluntarily

In Oswald's case, we had no suspicion that any pressure like that had been brought to bear on him because he had gone voluntarily and had obviously wanted to live in Russia and had married a Russian woman.

After those interviews had been completed the next incident was the difficulty he had at New Orleans.

We were concerned there as to whether he was functioning officially for the Fair Play for Cuba Committee, which was financed and supported by Castro and Castro's government, and, if he was, where he obtained money and with whom he had dealt.

He apparently had the leaflets printed himself. There was no reason for us, then, to have any suspicion that he had any element of danger in him.

However, we did not ignore or forget the fact that he was still in the country. We kept track of him. When he went from New Orleans to Dallas, and that was one of the read sons why Hosty went to the home of Mrs. [Ruth] Paine [with whom Mrs. Oswald was staying]. She told us where Oswald was working, at the Texas Book house. Hosty gave her his telephone number and his name so that if there was any information or any contact she wanted to make she could phone him at the Dallas office.

Up to that time, there had been no information that would have warranted our reporting him as a potential danger or hazard to the secuirity or the safety of the President or the Vice President so his name was not furnished at the time to Secret Service.

Under the new criteria which we have now put inte

which we have now put interforce and effect, it would have been furnished because we now include all defectors.

Mr. Dulles—How many names could the Secret Service process? Aren't their faicilities limited as to dealing with vast number of names because of their limited personnel?

Small Organization

Mr. Hoover - I think they are extremely limited. The Secret Service is a small organization and that is why we are fortifying them, so to speak, or supplementing them by assigning agents of our bureau, which is, of course, quite a burden on us.

Many local police depart-ments are capable and effiments are capable and efficient, some are not. Many have good judgment and some have not. Wherever you have a police department of ten, fifteen, twenty thousand men you are bound to find a few will just have in few who will just barge in and do something which better judgment would dictate should not be done as in the incident which occurred out in the Midwest, where they

placed these people practically under house arrest.

I think it was very sugaring the second of the