

Re Changed Serials, King assassination records

Harold Weisberg 7/23/80

FA request
FOIA request
Removal of records from 44-38861

In the [redacted] 06-91 of 44-38861 there is a series of "changed to" forms representing the shifting of a number of records pertaining to the King assassination investigation to another file, 62-117290, where in all cases they have X in their new serial [redacted] appear to be a [redacted] serialization.

My records indicate that 62-117290 [redacted] files the request of the House [redacted] committee for my FOIA requests.

All of these shifts appear to have [redacted] different days.

[redacted] remove records [redacted]

[redacted] serials would be [redacted] this.

If the records [redacted]

[redacted] on [redacted]

[redacted] one [redacted] Serial 6235.

Because this seems unusual [redacted] about removed serials [redacted] inadequate and based on an [redacted] to

[redacted] as provided to me. If the blue [redacted] I [redacted]

no [redacted] because [redacted]

[redacted] records [redacted] a [redacted]

[redacted] general. However, [redacted]

[redacted] not noted. I am [redacted]

[redacted] to [redacted] have done nothing about.

[redacted] records [redacted] novel to;

[redacted] it was [redacted] Memphis; tickets; art

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted] of

harassment Louisville policemen. The thoroughly disagreed HSCA report was continued with an asterisk from these fabrications, after which I broke the whole thing up by providing dependable information to the lawyer for the harassed Louisville policemen.) and the FBI's providing of Royal Canadian Mounted Police and Memphis Police records to the HSCA, with at least the mk letter's approval of giving those records to HSCA, which means making those public while in some cases the FBI withholds them from us.

There is absolutely no doubt that this is the kind of information that is not normally removed from one file and placed in another but rather is the kind of information the FBI crosses and files in duplicate by Not Recorded Serials. It includes information potentially embarrassing to the FBI on all of the subjects above and if you had noted on my appeal.

Of course all of this information should be in the abstracts and all of it once was abstracted and now the abstracts also have been removed.

A typical sentence, from the first of these removed records, is "This case pertains to the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr." Such a record should be removed from the MURKIN file? With the captioned subject "MURKIN"? The record has the same captioning. I'm not checking all; but would expect this to be true of all.

Serial 5189 discloses that former FBI Morris Davis was disclosed to HSCA long before the FBI asked his permission. This confirms what I informed the Court and you, that the FBI used him to intimidate the committee. Naturally the FBI would not want this to surface in a review of the MURKIN records, which it is captioned.

Serial 6199 discloses Davis fear that he would be "compromised" by the way HSCA was handling him - more than two months before the FBI's records reflect him asking his permission to identify him to HSCA. By then the press was on to him.

Serial 6206 reports that the Memphis Police informed the agent SA Earl F. Johnson, who provided an affidavit in C.A. 75-1996 without including this then recent information, that its records "may be released" to HSCA "without the expressed consent" of the FBI. Because this is inconsistent with the claims in this litigation there is motive for removing it from the MURKIN file.

For example, if you were to check the MURKIN file in evaluating my appeal you'd not find this pertinent record, and having been persuaded incorrectly by the FBI about this "changed to" change would not have any reason to go further.

Serial 6222~~4444~~ passes on prejudicial information to HXIA, that the racist J.B. Stamer Federally represented ~~of~~ indicated lawyer named James Travis Buckley. It also quotes Stamer as having said exactly what I informed IED in 1969, leading to another FBI fabrication of defraction of me.) Naturally this was basis enough for the HXIA to select, from all possible lawyers, only Buckley to represent Mrs. Carol Pepper, James Earl Ray's sister, when it refused to permit her to have counsel of her choice, Vin Lerner. (Mrs. Pepper insisted she wanted Lerner, after one experience with Buckley, he then persisted, HXIA backed down, and the effort to frame her as a bag woman who allegedly funneled to her brother James, who she'd not seen since she was a baby, the money money her other brothers allegedly got in bank robberies, the FBI's conspiracy theory, subsequent collapsed.) Buckley was only too aware of the federal charges against him and he did nothing that would displeasure anyone in Washington the one time he represented Mrs. Pepper.

Of course, this is only coincidence, as is the removal of 25 other clearly MURKIN records from the MURKIN file rather than its following its normal practice, of filing duplicate xeroxes. Perhaps the FBI will argue that it was cheaper to make no cash outlay and to waste clerical time of considerably higher cost not to have to pay Xerox the approximately half-cent a page it charged for use of its automatic machines?

I am aware that you told me on 5/19 that you are doing nothing about the King case, even though the judge involved you in it. I am also aware that you have done virtually nothing about two file drawers of King records appeals I have filed. I know of your staff turnover and that the Department has not replaced the lost personnel. However, this case is not going to go away, I had serious purposes in filing all these appeals, and the abstracts are a very important record. I therefore inform you in this appeal and again request action on all the "changed to" removals of records from all pertinent files in all cases. There is no doubt that these records belong in the file from which they were removed and are necessary for evaluation of my appeals.