

332 W. 10th St. St. Louis, MO 63101  
Miss [unclear] 4/29/78

King assassination records, [unclear] 2/22/80  
Your letter/report of 9/27/78, #1  
Records to Congressional Committee, and  
The St. Louis alleged conspiracy/ the Ayers matter (both page 5)

Your formulation of reasons for not regarding records provided to Congressional committees as within FOIA requests is a bit tricky. I do not dispute that there can be a requirement to provide these committee with what is exempt by the Act. This, however, is not the real point to address one of these points, the information entering into the public domain. What you avoid and what I regard as important is the surfacing on non-exempt records in what is provided to committees that is not provided to an FOIA requester. This did happen with the assassins committee and with the Ray family, as I stated in an appeal to which you have not responded. I have seen copies of these records, provided to the Rays by the committee and not provided to me in C.A. 75-1997.

With regard to the second (Ayers) matter, my appeal has not been acted on. If there are no other records I do not recall being informed by the FBI. My appeal included matters you do not mention. For example, it is public domain that Ayers allegedly was snuffing out an FBI informant who informed on Ayers' own criminal activities, one Richard J. O'Hare as I recall the name. Now while I might expect the FBI to claim (7)(D) claim for O'Hare's name, such records themselves are not immune. In this case they are quite important because of the partial disclosure and subsequent (mis)use by the committee and those to whom partial information was leaked.

There are other questions pertaining to which it would not be strange for records to exist. The Ayers connection was a quite common one in the records I have read. Are there records reflecting whether or not the FBI compared this with the other ones like it, some of which did come from the jail in question?

This is not a new matter. It is within my C.A. 75-1996, under which what was provided to me was sent to me.

for attachment see:

# MCK Appeals - Records to Congressional Committees

Information of activities and records for not reporting records provided to congressional committees as within this report is a list of records. It is not intended that these records be provided to congressional committees as a matter of course, but rather as a matter of discretion. The information is not to be provided to congressional committees as a matter of course, but rather as a matter of discretion. The information is not to be provided to congressional committees as a matter of course, but rather as a matter of discretion.

With regard to the second (2) records, the records are not being provided to congressional committees as a matter of course, but rather as a matter of discretion. The information is not to be provided to congressional committees as a matter of course, but rather as a matter of discretion.

Records are being provided to congressional committees as a matter of discretion. The information is not to be provided to congressional committees as a matter of course, but rather as a matter of discretion. The information is not to be provided to congressional committees as a matter of course, but rather as a matter of discretion.

Records are being provided to congressional committees as a matter of discretion. The information is not to be provided to congressional committees as a matter of course, but rather as a matter of discretion. The information is not to be provided to congressional committees as a matter of course, but rather as a matter of discretion.