

CIA referrals in 1996 - attached appeal 6/7/80

Our Motion PSJ and their response

322. 2 items botto off
JPP-20-AG
in providing even excerpted copies of any of the abstracts the FBI acknowledges that it can disclose the information and that there is no remaining excuse, if there ever was one, for withholding the underlying records. This appeal also quotes the OPR's notes on the withheld parts of two of the nine abstracts and underlying records, so that such also can't be withheld but is withheld still and is appropriate for PSJ.

I believe that this rebuts their earlier response, with the Liebenau affidavit, as their present disclosures also do.

You can use it instead of an affidavit if the need presents itself and I think you should get it before the Court as soon as you have time because she can act at any time.

There has to be some purpose in the sudden disclosure of what had been withheld and the usual phoney covering letter, suitable for later (mis)quotation. Because I do assume some purpose and misuse I dropped other things and prepared this immediately.

HW

for attachments see:

CA 75-1996

Motion For Partial Summary Judgment - CA Referrals - 4/9/80

04/17/80

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 04/17/80 BY SP-10/BJL

DATE 04/17/80 BY SP-10/BJL

DATE 04/17/80 BY SP-10/BJL

DATE 04/17/80 BY SP-10/BJL

DATE 04/17/80 BY SP-10/BJL

DATE 04/17/80 BY SP-10/BJL

DATE 04/17/80 BY SP-10/BJL

DATE 04/17/80 BY SP-10/BJL

DATE 04/17/80 BY SP-10/BJL

DATE 04/17/80 BY SP-10/BJL

DATE 04/17/80 BY SP-10/BJL

DATE 04/17/80 BY SP-10/BJL