

Total withholdings

These are of two kinds, replacement of the abstract by a (dis)information sheet and total obliteration of the content of the abstract. In all cases both are without regard to what the FBI has learned since the initial processing and in all cases have the clear purpose of trying to hide the initial improper processing and withholding.

What the FBI has learned is that it withheld what it also disclosed, that it withheld improperly, that it withheld the public domain. You informed me that it agreed that reprocessing is necessary and you so testified. Yet as of now the same old FBI is playing the same ~~same~~ ^{old} FBI games and withholding what is public, among other things.

Other attachments include the total withholding of the abstracts for Serials 44449, 4692, 4694, 4698, 4699. All of these or all but the first pertain to FBI operations in other countries of foreign cooperation with the FBI. 3932 pertains to Judge Casey.

4251. Total withholding here includes what the FBI itself discloses and what the OPR disclosed. The worksheet indicates the record is of two pages, both entirely withheld under (7)(C) and (D) claims. OPR's note reads, "RCMP report. Quinitals state the 2 women (sic) visiting JER were older and do not resemble Ms. Stein." With regard to this, and all of it was made public by the FBI in other MURKIN records, it is one of the cases of which I informed the Court and you and the FBI, that with Ray having slept with but one of these women, there is potential harm to the other by the continued withholding of what she and the FBI both disclosed, that the ~~woman~~ ^{women} who slept with Ray is Mrs. Clara ^Wasting. (Another case is that of Marjorie ^Katters, where the FBI, having been informed, as you and the Court also were informed, in the abstracts perpetuated the same withholding and creates the same confusion.)

4403. The underlying record is a two-page Minneapolis office letter, for which (b)(2) and (7)(C) were claimed. On the abstract (7)(C) and (D) are claimed. It is entirely improbable that nothing is reasonably segregable if, in fact, all of it has not been disclosed, as is true of a number of Minneapolis items, like those pertaining to Dyrell Dennis and Giesbrecht, all appeals ^{and} ignored.

4438. This abstract covers an RCMP report of two pages, entirely withheld under (7)(C) and (D) claims. Other RCMP and other foreign police information have been disclosed, including in facsimile. Some were used in the prosecution. As you will see in the next batch of abstracts, the FBI asked each if its information could be disclosed, each specified what might not be (only to have the FBI disclose it anyway when it served the FBI's political purposes), and each agreed to use by the prosecution, which means disclosure.

4439. Same as 4438.

4440. Same as 4438.

4804. A (dis)information sheet is used. The claim for total withholding and of nothing segregable is "information of a personal nature regarding a third party; information furnished by a confidential source." Neither qualifies for withholding as expressed. Moreover, when the abstracts indicate that the underlying record was given the wrong serial number, this makes it impossible to determine which is being referred to, the wrong or the correct serial number. The (dis) information sheet does not say.