## POLA PA appeals

82.00

Barald Maisbarg 11/25/79

Under the new executive order I asked for a review of all elemetrication claims made in all my requests and cause in court. I have had no response after such more than a year. Frier to the effective date of the new H.C. and after it I provided much preof that elemetrication claims were made and permisted in for information that was within the public downin. There had not been even a pro forme denial. There has been only silence.

Whether or not this visiates the Heles as I balieve it does, it does visiate FOLA and clearly subsects the intent of the Congress in proceduring the dots

One of the areas of considerable historical importance and one that has have embarrowing to the Government is information relating to Openid in Namion. At best the official investigations did not yield satisfactory results. Lange questions remain. Despite its proved beasts the FHI neither resolved thes nor, free the information available, made my real effort to. is an example of what I do not recall including in my appeals because the explanations did not appear to be appropriate to the withholdings, the Osmald signatures at the border erosaing in the sorning and on the unemployment application the afternaon of the same day are not identical and there was no public means of transportation by which Oswald could have gotten from the horder to Dallas to file the application. Related to this and entirely inconsistent with all official accounts and explanations is the fact, not included in the Warran Beport or those by the FRI pursuant to Fresidential directive, is the fact that Osmald purchased his bus ticket a month bafore he used it although his sale incame, from the official story, was unexployment compensation. These are among the many indications, sublimated by the official investigations, of Omenid not being entirely alone. If for any reason you desire proofs of the FRI's knowledge of this and its failure to do anything about it I will gladly provide copies, for the period impdiately prior to the assassination.

To make this comprohensible by a simple illustration I alto one of the countiess appeals on which you have not acted, my 1/1/68 information request for the identifications of the fingerprints, gat Oscald's, on the literature has estamethly and has distributed in New Orleans before he want to Mexico. The New Orleans police got the literature directly, supposedly from Oswald, the PSI developed prints its records disclose are not Oswald's, and there the matter rests, from what is not still withheld.

2

Going alone with this and indicating also that Canald was not alone are several instances of eyestimess accounts of an associate or associates who were never interviewed by the FEL. In two instances relating to a single event there were five such witness of whom I know from FEI records in my pessession. There were others. I will be amplifying this in connection with an appeal relating to the FEL's interview of a Ern. Elize Corniglia, who hended Catholic Cuban relief efforts in New "risense From my own interview of Ers. Corniglia I have some knowledge of her information that is not included in the information disclosed in either Hendquarbars ar New Orleans records.

These are only some of the reasons I ballove that the claims to "mational meanity" to withhold what is withheld are actually for other purposes not menotioned by the Act and in fact opposed to its purposes and contrary to its legislative history.

Under the Agt the burden of proof rests on the Government. I have alleged and have provided much proof that what is withheld is in fact largely if not entirely within the public domain, placed there by Government in pursuit of special political objectives over a period of years.

I therefore ask that with regard to information relating to Osmald in Herico the Government meet its burden of proof by disputing with computent proof that the withheld information is not within the public domain. As an alternative, if the Government is unwilling to meet its burden of proof, I will accept truthful first-scores officiarity disputing my allegations.