Mr. Richard L. Huff, Co-Director Office of Information and Privacy Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Huff,

In my 8/13 response to your letter of 8/10 relating to your alleged inability to locate any of my many appeals relating to Oswald in Mexico, with more particular reference to the interceptions of his conversations, I informed you that I had made only a partial search of a file of duplicates that is not an appeals file. I also informed you that these particular copies did not include the attachments I provided with the appeals, there being no need to have them in that file. When I refiled the records of which I sent you copies, I noticed that the next record in that file is one of which I had provided a copy as an attachment, and when I removed it, I saw that the next record was a carbon copy of still another relevant appeal. Copies of both are provided herewith.

Dallas 89-43-346 reports, as I had stated, that FBIHQ had requested "that a copy of the transcript be furnished (to FBIHQ) immediately." The note in the margin reports "Done."

As my appeals state, no copy was provided to me from either FBIHQ or the Dallas files, nor from New Orleans if one was sent there.

My 9/30/79 appeal relates to what I regarded (and still regard) as improper claim to "national security," an appeal to which I do not recall receiving any response, although there were many.

My uncontested subject-matter expert opinion of the historical importance of all information relating to Oswald in Nexico is confirmed by the conslusions of several Congressional committees.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg