

FD-340 (REV. 8-17-62)

File No. 100-10461- 1A 344

Date Received 6-15-64

From Bureau  
(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(CITY AND STATE)

By \_\_\_\_\_  
(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)

To Be Returned Yes   
No

Description: Copy of transcript  
of testimony of SA  
James P. [unclear] Jr.

9 I noticed it, and then because of the domestic difficulty and the fact that I knew I would be interviewing his wife in the near future, I requested that the case be re-opened. I requested the supervisor in Dallas to re-open the case to me.

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

Mr. Stern. Was that in writing or verbally?

Mr. Hosty. Actually, it was, it would appear in writing. I did this by sending a letter to the Bureau, to the F.B.I. Headquarters in Washington, setting forth the information I had developed, and then on our office copy I made a request that this case be re-opened. This is a normal procedure that we go through when we open cases, or re-open cases.

The Chairman. Mr. Hosty, did the letter from your New York office say what the nature of the contact with the Daily Worker was?

Mr. Hosty. It said he was on the mailing list, sir, of the Daily Worker.

The Chairman. On the mailing list?

Mr. Hosty. Yes, sir.

The Chairman. Proceed.

Mr. Hosty. Then, like I <sup>said</sup> say, I made a judgment that it would be best not to interview Mrs. Oswald at this time until there was a certain cooling off of their domestic difficulty, because it is not wise to interview a person of that type under a strain.

So I set it up that I would go back and recheck in 45 days.