December 3, 1975


fot


Director, FBI

TEDERAL GOVERNMENT

ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN F. LENNEDY

Reference is made to my memoranda of July 29, 1975, and October 1, 1975, captioned as above, which advised you of the results of this Bureau's inquiry concerning an allegation that Lee harvey Oswald had visited the FBI office in Dallas sometime prior to the assassination of President Kennedy for the purpose of taking to Special Agent (SA) James P. Posty, Jr. In the absence of Mr. hosty, Oswald allegedly left a note which was threatening in nature. This visit and note were not reported following the assassination of Fresident Kennedy by Oswald.





Upon his return to the Dallas Office following this testimony he had occasion to look in volume I of the Oswald file and noted that both copies of the airtel were then the top serial in this volume. The observed that his name had been crossed out and former Assistant Special Agent in Charge (ASAC) Kyle Clark had written his, Clark's, name below Hosty's name and initialed the airtel for filing. He concludes that from the afternoon of Horember 22, 1963, until sometime in Nay, 1964, former ASAC Clark had retained the serial in his possession; however, felt that this would be proper in view of the fact the case was reassigned to Clark shortly after, the assassination.

SECRET

He stated he had not proviously furnished this information concerning this particular airtel and its contents because it did not appear to be pertinent to previous inquiries until it became apparent that the House Committee might reopen the entire Oswald case.

Upon being advised of the contents of SA Hosty's sworn statement, the SAC of the Dallas Office was instructed to review pertinent files in his office. It was determined that the Washington Field Office airted to the Director, two copies to Dallas, dated November 19, 1963, is serial 57 in the Oswald file (Xerox copy attached). A review of this serial determined that SA Hosty's name is crossed out in the block stamp but is initialed for filling by an individual using the Initial "II." Former ASAC Clark's name does not appear on this serial, and It is believed highly probable that the initial "II" in this block stamp is that of Supervisor Lowe. This determination is based on the following information contained in the Dallas files:


Serial 50 of the Oswald file is a copy of an airiel with two enclosures which the New Orleans Office sent to the Bureau, with copies to Dallas, dated October 24, 1963 (Xerox copies of this serial and the two enclosures, serials 49 and 48, attached). On serial 50 there appears the following handwritten notation: "48 - 49 - 50 c/o to JPH 10/28/63. Obtained from his box and initialed into file to complete file following 11/22/63 H." The interpretation of this written notation is as follows:


These three serials were charged out to SA Hesty on October 28, 1963, and apparently were still in his worklook the date of, or shortly after, the assassination and initialed into the case file by Supervisor Howe in order to have continuity of an extremely fast-moving case. It is also noted that EA Hosty's name is crossed off on all three of these serials and apparently initialed into the file by Supervisor Howe. While no such written notation appears on the above-mentioned serial 51, it is logical to assume that the same action was taken on this serial in order to get all pertinent material into the Oswald case file. The BAC, Dalias, in furnishing this information, advised that the "H" appearing in these block stamps is not identical to the "H" which SA Hosty used when initialing mail for file.

The SAC, Dallas, has also advised that the Cswald file has been "stripped" which means that duplicate copies of various serials in the file have been destroyed. This is standard operating procedure in our Chief Clark's Offices in order to conserve space, and when a file is being stripped


and there are duplicate serials available, the action copy is retained in the file. It can only be assumed that the second copy of the airtel in question has been destroyed since it was not located in any other logical file in the Dallas Office, such as the file on Marina Oswald or the assassination file itself. However, under normal operating procedures, when two copies of a communication are received in an office, both copies are block stamped; one is initialed by the supervisor for filing, known as the file copy, and the other copy is routed to the Agent who has the case assigned to him, known as the action copy. We know in this instance that the action copy has been kept since indexing is done from this copy and the one in file shows indexing of a name mentioned in the communication.

While the Chief Clerk in Dollas could not be positive, it is her definite opinion that after the stripping occurred, the various volumes were consolidated in order to save space. It has been determined that volume I of the Oswald file now contains 174 serials, thus placing serial 57 in the first half of this volume.





In conclusion, SA hosty stated that after reviewing copies of the above-referred-to serials, it appears that serials 42, 49 and 50 were placed in the file as the note indicated, to bring the file up to date. He states it is possible serial 57 was handled in the same manner; however, he still has doubts this was true with serial 57 because he was mable to locate either copy in the file and because of the statement made by Mr. Belmont that he was not to see this airtel.



Ficlosures - 6

- 1 The Deputy Attorney General (Enclosures 1 Assistant Attorney General Criminal Division (Enclosures - 6)

NOTE: Based on memorandum Bassett to Callahan, dated 12/3/75, captioned as above. "HNB:bhg.