

Dear Jim, for 75-226 appeal

2/20/78

As I again try to clear the desk I have come across the attached, of which I'll make copies later. This is from the FBI's 12/7/78 releases.

It relates to what to a degree I have in Post Mortem, the finding of a piece of skull bone near the scene of the JFK assassination. I am sure it was not provided in 226, including not on discovery. Some copies are stamped for Lab filing yet Kilty did not provide it from Lab files. Others are for FBI HQ central files filing, yet it did not come to us from there despite its having the JFK assassination file number. It is marked for indexing but the so-called search of the indexes allegedly did not show it.

Or, this would seem to bear heavily on whether there was any kind of a search.

It says no spectro was run. Naturally not. There was X-ray examination. We have been given no results. There were photos, of which we have nothing and I believe no prior records of any kind.

A piece of the skull was blown out by the exploding bullet, which deposited many small fragments. But the FBI, which conducted no crime scene search and when it learned that a piece of the skull was missing no search for it also appears not to have made any record reflecting whether or not any tiny fragments of metal were imbedded in the piece of Presidential skull that, despite the FBI, was recovered.

In the end we have here what we have on no other record, that when there is not to be a report something so states. Here it is in the lower left-hand corner of the lab work-sheet, "No report to be made."

There was a blood analysis and there is no explanation of it that could be comprehended by lawyers or Commissioners or the people who care.

There was X-ray examination, but it was only the missing piece of the head of the assassinated President so nobody else had to understand it. Especially because the FBI was going to keep it secret for more than 14 years. And there is no explanation of the X-ray examination.

I believe that these withheld pages can be used to argue that when there is to be no report somewhere it is stated that there is to be no report- that the purpose of the lab work is to inform others and it is a fair and reasonable presumption that the Lab does report to inform others. (Especially in the context of the original Williams defense, which omitted the total defense, that there were no reports.)

Copies indicate that the files of Wick and his Division also should have been searched.

By now I think we can say that when the FBI obtained negative evidence, that is, evidence of other than the original Hoover preconception of Oswald's lone guilt, the FBI did what it could to withhold that evidence. (One of the things Griffin might be able to help with.)