with render W/GRIM SPI/TX region -

Hr. Frederick D. Hess Grindnal Division Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Heas.

4/9/81

CRN-2546

With your latter of the 6th ware records from the 51-16-113 file, all pertaining to the FEI's destruction of a pro-canagesination threatening note from Lee Harvey Ossald in which he threated extreme violence, in one version, blowing up the Dallas field effice.

You make extensive claim tolkesydion 5 and I have some question about this.

I do not doubt thatma in some instances the claim may be justified. I do not believe that page after page has nothing reasonably segregable. I do not believe there was any belancing test (none is claimed) and I do believe that such can be released without harm to the deliberative process. This is an important historical case and the subject matter of the withholding may well be more significant you are in a position to know.

It is not only that a large number of FHI people, including at FHIH, know about the illogal act in the destruction of the letter and ware allent, not only that this letter was important in any investigation, it also is the fact that the letter was destroyed to cover the FHI. <u>After</u> the assamplication.

The FBI story, which comes close to fitting the present flap over Hinchley's prior arrest with three pistels at an airpart, was that it did not inform the Dallas police about Oswald's presence in Dallas and did not inform the Secret Service about him because there was nothing in his record or its records to indicate that he had any predisposition toward visionce.

quite obviously, any threat against the FMI gave the Lie to this pretonse, and a ' threat to blow it up? ? ?

Retired SA Gordon Shanklin, a lawyer now practising law, without reasonable doubt did parkure hisself, whether or not prosecution would have amount to beestrapping a prosecution. There is a question about others, besides the other law violations indicated in the records provided. The FEI has disclosed a large volume of records without, to the best of my recollection, disclosing that prosecution of Shanklin was being considered.

Because so many FBI people violated laws and suppressed significant evidence than the assassination of a President was being investigated, this outtor assesses even greater importance and the people have a right to know all possible details.

If you are not willing to release more of this information I request that you refer these claims to Quinlan Shea of the FOIPA appeals office and now, before there is the possibility of other improper processing, which will mean more work, cost and trouble.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg