

Dear Dan and Jim,

7/3/89

As you will see from the enclosed, which I've rushed to get into the mail tonight, the FBI has, with any kind of justice, hoist itself on its own petard in what it filed in the Greg Stone case. I got the filings from "reg only today.

I could have used more from its Response but I wanted to hold it down to a page and to be able to make the only outgoing mail, which I'll have to drive into town to do.

Among the crap that is poisonous and dishonest included in its disclosures I don't know how much such stuff as articles written five years later by HUAC's Robert Stripling. The FBI's records not disclosed have to include the proof that he lied in those articles and that both he and the FBI knew he lied.

And what does the State Department's firing of me have to do with how the FBI functions? It had nothing to do with that firing. But in disclosing this, as I'm sure my earlier letter went into, it withheld what J. Edgar Hoover said, that the FBI would not have taken such an action in those cases, there being no basis for it.

Their own interpretation of FOIA denies them the right to disclose any information they have, from any source, that does not relate to how they function.

Does it not seem likely that at least beginning with the Reporters decision they can be sued for any such disclosures?

And that they can henceforth be ended?

In any event, as best a nonlawyer can have a valid opinion, I believe that their use of the Reporters decision can be turned against them.

Best,

