Laboratory Transmittal Form Mr. Rosen Mr. Conrad Mr. Griffith Mr. Mortimer LAB FILE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535 Ter FBI, Memphis (44-1987) October 4, 1968 Re: MURKIN CR John Edgar Hoover, Directo 00: Memphis FBI File No. 44-38861 Lab.-No. D-680928070 JK Examination requested by: Memphis Reference: Airte1 9/26/68 Examination requested: Document ///// Specimen received 9/28/68 K489 Two envelopes, one postmarked "MEMPHIS TENN. AUG 12 '68" and the other postmarked "MEMPHIS TENN, SEPT 13 '68" and accompanying letters bearing known handwriting and hand printing of JAMES EARL RAY ALSO SUBMITTED: Envelope postmarked "ST. LOUIS MO. 6 AUG 1968" and accompanying letter beginning "Dear Jimmy Just 1968 a few lines..." and signed "Jerry Ray" ö MAILED Remarks: 8 Inasmuch as K489 does not sufficiently augment other Rnown handwriting of JAMES EARL RAY to permit further identifications in this matter, K489 is returned herewith to Memphis with the "ALSO SUBMITTED" material. 38811 No Laboratory report is being submitted. Tols 2010 CT . 7 1968 DeLocal Photographs of K489 have is al () fade. Mohr Bishe Cash Enclosures (2) (K489, ALSO SUBMITTED) Calla Coni Felt Galé ß Rosen ATM: AW : NOB (9) Sullivan 1968 DMINISTRATIVE PAGE H MAIL ROOM LE TELETYPE UNIT Gandy

9-26-68

AIRTEL

AM

DIRECTOR, FBI (44-38861) ATTN: DOCUMENT SECTION FBI LABORATORY

SAC, MEMPHIS (44-1987) P

PROM:

eri928070

MURKIN

Submitted herewith are five separate communications which Sheriff WILLIAM N. MORRIS, JR., Shelby County, Memphis, Tennessee, made available to SAC this date. These prepared by subject JAMES EARL RAY. These are all known handwriting and hand printing of subject RAY. They should be utilized for any additional handwriting comparison needed in captioned case.

-In addition, there are furnished herewith the originals of two letters which were forwarded by RAY to the Honorable W. PRESTON BATTLE, Judge of the Criminal Court, Memphis, Tennessee. Judge BATTLE will be the presiding Judge in the JAMES EARL RAY trial. These letters were furnished by Judge BATTLE to SAC. In connection with these letters, District Attorney General PHIL utilization of material furnished the Judge might be prejudicial at some future date. CANALE pointed out that since Judge BATTLE feasible to utilize handwriting in letters sent Judge BATTLE. Therefore, it is requested that handwriting examination pre-MORRIS as primary Sources for examination purposes.

Results of examination regarding letters received by Sheriff MORRIS should be handled in separate communication. Handwriting examination relative to letters received by Judge BATTLE should not be incorporated in the examination of handwriting contained in letters received by Sheriff MORRIS. The letters received by Judge BATTLE should be made a matter of a

3 BUREAU (Enc. 7) (AMSD - REGISTERED) 1 MEMPHIS RGJ:BN (4) ME 44-1987 a separate examination and a separate Laboratory report. District Attorney General CANALE's views should be incorporated in any results pertaining to examination of the letters received by Judge BATTLE. The originals should be returned to the Memphis Office so that they can be delivered to Sheriff MORRIS and Judge BATTLE ... and the second second . . . Sec. Sec. the . <u>, 1 4</u>