

U.S. Department of Justice

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

FEDERAL BUREAU

OF INVESTIGATION

Date of this report

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

Serial

File Number

FIELD OFFICE FILE

mlw

DO NOT FILE IN FBI HEADQUARTERS FILES

RETURN TO FOIPA
ROOM 6296

FBI/DOJ

See Book Volume on

Exemptions 15

File No: 100-11001-1001 Re: D. H. Bernard

Date: 10/1/78 (month/year)

Serial	Date	Description (Type of communication, to, from)	No. of Pages		Exemptions used or, to whom referred (Identify statute if (b) or (3) cited)
			Actual	Released	
519	-	no to ror airtel	1	0	Presumably processed for
520	1/24/64	Re to Director airtel	3	0	" HHS
521	1/24/64	Director to me airtel	1	0	"
522	1/24/64	me to Director	2	0	"
523	1/29/64	See memo to Vice airtel	1	1	None
524	1/29/64	Report to Director, via airtel	2	0	Presumably processed HHS
525	1/29/64	me to Director	2	0	"
526	1/29/64	See memo to Vice airtel	1	1	None
527	1/29/64	Director to SP airtel	3	0	Presumably processed HHS
528	1/29/64	me to Director airtel	2	0	"
529	1/25/64	me to Director airtel	1	0	"
530	1/27/64	me to Director	3	0	"

File No: 100-10601

Re: F. H. Arnold

22 22

Date: 10/78
(month/year)

Serial	Date	Description (Type of communication, to, from)	No. of Pages		Exemptions used or, to whom referred (Identify statute if (b) or (3) cited)
			Actual	Released	
531	1/28/64	aerial Memor to PD, no	1	0	primarily processed 4/8/88
532	1/28/64	aerial 1st file. to WFO.	1	0	"
533	1/28/64	air tel-transmittal from aerial	1	0	"
534	1/28/64	see re Denver aerial	2	0	"
535	1/28/64	AT to Denver 40302	2	0	"
536	1/28/64	Anthony (Graham?) 40302	2	0	"
537	1/27/64	Warren R. Altman 40302	2	0	"
538	1/30/64	David White 40302	1	0	"
539	1/28/64	Joseph Thompson 40302	1	0	"
540	1/30/64	Wase Rogers	1	0	"
541	1/30/64	WFO report	28	0	"
542	1/30/64	Memor to Dir	1	1	None

65 3

File No: 100-16601 Re: J. H. Rowland Date: 10/1/78
(month/year)

Serial	Date	Description (Type of communication, to, from)	No. of Pages		Exemptions used or, to whom referred (Identify statute if (b) or (3) cited)
			Actual	Released	
543	1/31/64	airtel WFO to Director	3	0	Previously processed FD-305
544	1/31/64	airtel WFO to Director	1	0	"
545	1/31/64	teletype Director to ALL SACs	1	0	"
546	1/31/64	teletype DO to Director	2	0	"
547	1/31/64	airtel Director to FD	1	0	"
548	2/3/64	airtel WFO memo to SAC	1	1	None
549	2/3/64	airtel SAC memo to SAC	1	1	None
550	2/3/64	airtel WFO to Director	1	0	Previously processed FD-305
551	2/3/64	airtel WFO to Director, RL	2	0	"
552	2/4/64	airtel WFO to Director	2	0	"
553	1/31/64	Director to RL airtel	1	0	"
554	2/1/64	airtel RL to Director	1	0	"

File No: 100-14001

Re: J. W. Conrad

23 5

Date: 12/78
(month/year)

Serial	Date	Description (Type of communication, to, from)	No. of Pages		Exemptions used or, to whom referred (Identify statute if (b) or (3) cited)
			Actual	Released	
555	2/3/64	OSOC memo to SAC	1	1	none
556	12/11/63	teletype letter to special Kennedy	3	0	privately processed 4005
557	1/3/64	Franklin letter to Wharose airtel	1	0	"
558	2/5/64	Director to WFO airtel	2	0	"
559	2/5/64	WFO to Director airtel	1	0	"
560	2/5/64	WFO to SAC teletype	1	0	OK
561	2/4/64	WFO to Director	3	0	" 4005
562	2/5/64	WFO report	4	0	"
563	2/5/64	BSEE memo to SAC Cabrigan	3	3	none
564	2/6/64	Director to Sogut airtel	1	0	privately processed 4005
565	2/6/64	WFO to Director airtel	1	0	"
566	2/7/64	WFO to Director	1	0	"

File No: 100-16601

Re: *St. M. C. ...*

Date: 10/22 (month/year)

Serial	Date	Description (Type of communication, to, from)	No. of Pages		Exemptions used or, to whom referred (Identify statute if (b) or (3) cited)
			Actual	Released	
567	2/1/64	airtel WFO to Director	1	0	Continuously processed - FDOS
568	2/1/64	airtel WFO to Director	2	0	
569	2/10/64	aff. report	1	0	
570	2/10/64	affidavit airtel	1	0	"
571	2/12/64	airtel to Director	1	0	"
572	1/1/64	for file to Bureau	1	1	None
573	1/1/64	through letter to FBI SA concur	1	1	None
574	2/15/64	airtel to WFO	1	1	"
575	2/1/64	airtel to WFO	1	1	"
576	2/1/64	airtel to WFO	1	1	"
577	2/1/64	airtel to WFO	2	0	Continuously processed - FDOS

118 15

File No: 100-16601

Re: J.H. Oswald

118 15

Date: 10/78
(month/year)

Serial	Date	Description (Type of communication, to, from)	No. of Pages		Exemptions used or, to whom referred (Identify statute if (b) or (3) cited)
			Actual	Released	
578	2/2/64	affidavit barron	1	0	previously processed MRS
579	2/12/64	memo to Director	1	0	"
580	2/12/64	memo to SCS airtel	1	1	none
581	2/12/64	RM to Director airtel	2	0	previously processed MRS
581A	2/17/64	RM to Director airtel	3	0	"
582	2/12/64	memo to SCS airtel	1	0	" DL
583	2/11/64	Director to DL airtel	3	0	"
584	2/5/64	memo to Director 4D502	1	0	"
585	2/2/64	George E. Pritchett 4D302	1	0	"
586	2/2/64	Percy Whitworth 4D502	1	0	"
587	2/12/64	John Givante Jr 4D502	1	0	"
588	2/5/64	memo to Director 4D502	1	0	"

File No: 100-16601

Re: A. H. Bernard

109 110

Date: 10/79
(month/year)

Serial	Date	Description (Type of communication, to, from)	No. of Pages		Exemptions used or, to whom referred (Identify statute if (b) or (3) cited)
			Actual	Released	
589	2/5/64	4D 302 Fairfax schedule	1	0	Presumably processed 7/8/88
590	2/2/64	4D 302 Water Down	1	0	"
591	2/2/64	4D 302 Memo for W. J. H. J.	3	0	"
592	2/7/64	4D 302 Memo Dennis T. Rangan	2	0	"
593	2/13/64	4D 302 Stetotype	31	0	"
594	2/13/64	4D 302 Memorandum to SAC: W. J. H. J.	1	0	"
595	2/13/64	4D 302 Memo to Director	1	0	"
			309	16	

THIS VOLUME IS COMPLETE PLEASE SEE NEXT VOLUME.

Memorandum

TO : FILE (100-16601)

DATE: 1/29/64

FROM : SAC

SUBJECT: LEE HARVEY OSWALD
IS - R

On 1/28/64, at 6:38 p.m., Assistant Director W. C. SULLIVAN called from the Bureau. Mr. SULLIVAN advised that allegations have been made that OSWALD was an FBI informant and in this regard it is necessary for the Agent who interviewed OSWALD at New Orleans to submit an affidavit.

Mr. SULLIVAN advised that the Agent should draw up an affidavit which is to include the number of times the Agent talked to OSWALD and include in the affidavit whether or not at any time during the conversation or interview or questioning, consideration was given to utilizing OSWALD as an informant. SULLIVAN stated that in view of prior information furnished to the Bureau by New Orleans, it was obvious that no such consideration was given and that the affidavit should include a statement to the effect that since OSWALD was not an informant and no consideration was given to making him an informant, that OSWALD at no time was furnished any money for serving as an informant.

At approximately 6:45 p.m., SA QUIGLEY was telephonically contacted and was instructed to immediately prepare an appropriate affidavit on the morning of 1/29/64 so that same could be dispatched to the Bureau by the fastest possible means. SA QUIGLEY was impressed with the necessity that time was of the essence in regard to this matter.

At approximately 8:05 a.m., 1/29/64, SA QUIGLEY was verbally furnished with the above instructions and was told to immediately prepare an affidavit.

At 9:00 a.m., 1/29/64, SA QUIGLEY was followed concerning the preparation of the affidavit and was instructed to continue giving this matter immediate attention.

HGM:sam
(2)

100-16601-523

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JAN 29 1964	
FBI - NEW ORLEANS	

Quigley

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : FILE (100-16601)

DATE: 1/29/64

FROM : SAC

SUBJECT: LEE HARVEY OSWALD, aka
IS - R

At 10:42 a.m., 1/29/64, Assistant Director W. C. SULLIVAN called and requested that SAC MAYNOR also submit an affidavit concerning possibility that OSWALD acted as an informant and was paid for his services.

Mr. SULLIVAN suggested the affidavit point out that SAC MAYNOR was SAC while OSWALD resided in New Orleans, gave no instructions that OSWALD be developed as an informant and knows no effort was made to develop him as an informant.

Further, SULLIVAN suggested that MAYNOR point out that since OSWALD was not an informant, he was not paid any money for such alleged services and it would be impossible for OSWALD to have been paid since any funds for such purposes are under the control of the SAC.

HGM:sam
(2)

100-16601-526

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JAN 29 1964	
FBI - NEW ORLEANS	

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC NEW ORLEANS (157-221)

DATE: 1/30/64

FROM : SA M. R. KAACK

SUBJECT: DESEGREGATION OF UNIVERSITY
OF MISSISSIPPI
RM

OO Memphis

Re Airtel from Memphis 1/25/64 requesting New Orleans through established sources to attempt to locate the film entitled "Oxford U.S.A."

Memphis Airtel 1/27/64 in the OSWALD case (100-16601) requested New Orleans to review the film "Oxford U.S.A." if a copy of the film is available.

LEAD AT Jackson, Miss.

If film "Oxford U.S.A." is located and is available will forward it to New Orleans Office for review.

2 cc NO 157-221
1 cc NO 100-16601

100-16601-542

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JAN 30 1964	
FBI - NEW ORLEANS	

PKV

Memorandum

TO : SAC (100-16601)

DATE: 2/3/64

FROM : ASAC

SUBJECT: LEE HARVEY OSWALD, aka.
IS - R

At 8:25 a.m., SAC SHANKLIN of the Dallas Office advised that they had obtained and forwarded to the FBI Lab. the pants that OSWALD allegedly had worn at the time of the assassination of President KENNEDY. He advised the Lab. discovered in one of the side pockets a slip of paper containing the following which appeared to be telephone numbers:

- 1) OR 9-9450
- 2) AC 2-4611
- 3) CO 7-3110 (formerly CH 7-3110)

Mr. SHANKLIN advised the CH had been crossed over and CO inserted.

Mr. SHANKLIN advised the Bureau desired investigation as to these particular numbers, who they were issued to and/or what do they mean.

He stated a teletype should be sent the Bureau and Dallas concerning this matter.

2 New Orleans
JTS:jam
(2)

2-5-64 HA
Shanklin advised to Department
these are my numbers of
substantive

Bureau
checked in
SP-5

100-16601-548

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FEB - 3 1964	
FBI - NEW ORLEANS	

Kaske

Memorandum

TO : SAC (100-16601)

DATE: 2/3/64

FROM : ASAC SYLVESTER

SUBJECT: LEE HARVEY OSWALD
IS - R

DON E. MOORE, of the Bureau, telephonically advised the New Orleans Office at 2:20 p.m., 2/3/64, that the Bureau received the following letter from J. LEE RANKIN, General Counsel of the Presidential Commission in the OSWALD case:

"It has recently come to the attention of the Commission that ROLAND SPIGALE, employee of the Southern Bell Telephone Company at New Orleans prior to December 3, 1963, informed SHIRLEY LAMPRECHT, his fiancee, that he had learned that telephone records in possession of his company showed that JACK RUBY and LEE HARVEY OSWALD at one time lived in the same apartment house in New Orleans.

"Accordingly, the Commission has asked me to request that your Bureau conduct appropriate interviews to determine whether or not SPIGALE made the statement attributed to him and if so, his basis therefor."

JTS:sam
(2)

100-16601-549

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FEB 19 1964	
FBI - NEW ORLEANS	

Kanck

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC (100-16601)

DATE: 2/3/64

FROM : ASAC SYLVESTER

SUBJECT: LEE HARVEY OSWALD, aka
IS - R

SAC SHANKLIN, Dallas, advised at 10:42 a.m. there was no need to check any further on the telephone numbers as they had been determined to be in New York City and that this office need only put a memo in the file.

SHANKLIN advised he had discussed this matter with JAMES R. MALLEY at the Bureau.

JTS:sam
(2)

100-16601-555

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
1954	
FBI - NEW ORLEANS	

[Handwritten signatures and initials over the stamp]

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC (100-16601)

DATE: 2/5/64

FROM : ASAC SYLVESTER

SUBJECT: LEE HARVEY OSWALD

RM 18-17

SAC SHANKLIN, Dallas, at 10:35 a.m., 2/5/64, telephonically advised he had received a telephone call from FRED BAUMGARDNER of the Bureau asking if they had a Notary Public in their office and he advised they did. He stated all of the sworn affidavits in the OSWALD case concerning whether or not OSWALD was an informant should be notarized by a Notary Public in the office.

He stated BAUMGARDNER stated he should call New Orleans and find out whether or not we had a Notary Public. He was advised we had none. He advised that in view of the fact we did not have a Notary Public, we should call BAUMGARDNER and find out what should be done before having the affidavits notarized outside of the office.

At 11:41 a.m., FRED BAUMGARDNER advised we should have a Notary and the person should obtain a Notary Commission today in the New Orleans Office; that this matter had been discussed with N. P. CALLAHAN and no bill should be sent in to the Bureau for this fee; that we should immediately obtain notarized affidavits from the SAC and SA QUIGLEY and forward them in a separate envelope, attention Assistant Director W. C. SULLIVAN, Hand Deliver; that this should be done today, if possible.

JTS:sam
(2)

100-16601-563

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FEB 5 1964	
FBI - NEW ORLEANS	

At 3:45 p.m., 2/5/64, I discussed with BAUMGARDNER the revised statutes on obtaining a Notary seal, as follows:

- 1) Make application to Secretary of Notary Examination Committee, Orleans Parish.
- 2) Will be notified when examination will be held, usually late May and November each year.
- 3) File petition in Civil District Court of Orleans Parish, and attach
 - a) affidavit of two reputable citizens.
 - b) certificate applicant is duly registered voter
 - c) affidavit from newspaper that notice has been published
 - d) affidavit of applicant to effect he has no criminal record
 - e) affidavit describing building where his office will be

I also advised him that AUSA RUDELL advised that unless the person was a lawyer or a member of the bar, they would have to take an examination. I further pointed out that Miss RUDELL stated a \$20,000 bond must be posted and there must be an advertisement in the paper for a certain period of time before it is authorized.

Mr. BAUMGARDNER then referred me to N. P. CALLAHAN and I reiterated the above to him. I had asked BAUMGARDNER what he wanted us to do and he stated we should discuss it with CALLAHAN.

After discussing it with Mr. CALLAHAN, he stated there was nothing we could do at this time and suggested the

NO 100-16601

possibility of having a Notary come in from Birmingham or Dallas . I pointed out that legally, this Notary could not notarize anything in the State of Louisiana, as he would be outside his jurisdiction, and pointed out to him that the only way it could be done would be for SAC MAYNOR and SA QUIGLEY to fly to Dallas. CALLAHAN stated this should not be done and referred me back to BAUMGARDNER.

BAUMGARDNER said nothing should be done in this matter after being advised of CALLAHAN's decision, unless we heard from the Bureau to the contrary.



MARS 9E 34332
MILITARY AFFILIATE RADIO SYSTEM
RADIOGRAM

100-16601-572
TO: COMMANDER
230 STATE ST
LAW CHARLES LA

- (1) I am glad you are going to the Bicentennial Conference. I will see you there. I hope you will be into detail.
- (2) I have to write more about ...
- (3) Please write to the National Office about being Institute Publicity Chairman.
- (4) I sent the pictures and you should have received them. Write soon.

Page 4cc.

100-16601-572

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FEB 1966	
FBI - NEW ORLEANS	

100-16601-572 - into his 1 page 64 KLA

Note: ... deleted as I refused to accept ...
KLA.

San Antonio, Texas
1 January, 1964.

Federal Bureau of Investigation
San Antonio, Texas.

Confidential:

110-16601-573 md
Name is Ray I. Valbright. Address: 107 Broadway, San Antonio 9, Tex.
Telephone SA 27209. Occupation: Retired Army Officer. I have amateur radio as one
of my hobbies.

I am furnishing the following narrative to your office as a matter of information.

Incidental to the hobby of amateur radio I am also a member of the South Texas
League, Inc. (P.O. Box 100, Independence, Texas 75241) at 1900 Mrs. Tealway, Frequent
3000 kv.).

On 12-11-63 during the last operation I received a call from what sounded
like a young man, who identified himself as PAUL L. W., telephone number SA 3 4332.
Paul stated he also was a radio amateur radio and had been listening to our net
and heard a station in Lake Charles and asked if I would give the Lake Charles station
a message. He called back a few minutes later and gave me the attached message to
transmit. I suggested he delete the sentence about CONRAD as it was (the name) was
obnoxious to me and probably would also be so to the receiving operator. He agreed
to the deletion.

Before transmitting the message I inquired of the caller about the organization
referred to in the message. He stated it was related to the National Institute of
Youthful Organizations and said it had been the subject of the ban in Georgia.
I informed him that I never heard of such an organization and hoped it was not of
the type, the left variety, as organizations of that type are very obnoxious to me.

Please note the similarity to Mike and PAUL Larson.

27-33 det.

Ry L. [Signature]

110-16601-573
SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED
FEB 8 1964
FBI - NEW ORLEANS

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, NEW ORLEANS

FROM : *JMK* SAC, SAN ANTONIO (62-0) (C)

SUBJECT: ^D PAUL LENSON
INFORMATION CONCERNING

DATE: 2/5/64

Enclosed herewith for the information of the New Orleans Division is a copy of a letter received by the San Antonio office dated 1/1/64 from ROY L. ALBRIGHT and a copy of a message he transmitted to a Lake Charles station, apparently for CLAUDIA AVNER, 235 State Street, Lake Charles, Louisiana.

Both of these documents are self-explanatory, and they are being furnished for the information of the New Orleans Division.

San Antonio is taking no action in this matter.

The indices of the San Antonio office failed to contain any derogatory identifiable information with either PAUL LEE or ROY L. ALBRIGHT or PAUL LENSON.

2 - New Orleans (Enc. 2) *JK*
1 - San Antonio

JMK/dnb
(3)

100-26601-574

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FEB 8 1964	
FBI - NEW ORLEANS	

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : FILE (100-16601)

DATE: 2/7/64

FROM : SAC

SUBJECT: LEE HARVEY OSWALD, aka.
IS - R

At 2:14 p.m., 2/7/64, Mr. WILLIAM BRANNIGAN of the Bureau called. He stated he was calling at the request of Mr. BELMONT.

BRANNIGAN stated in regard to interview of OSWALD on 8/9 or 10/63 the Bureau's information was to the effect that OSWALD had been interviewed at OSWALD's request. BRANNIGAN stated Mr. BELMONT desires to know the following:

- 1) How did FBI know OSWALD wanted to see an FBI Agent?
- 2) Why did OSWALD want to see an FBI Agent?

BRANNIGAN said if we do not have an answer to question #2 above that the jailer or other appropriate individual, who relayed the request to the FBI should be interviewed to find out what OSWALD said to him that would indicate why OSWALD desired to be interviewed.

Mr. BRANNIGAN stated he desired a telephone call furnishing the answers to the above questions.

I advised BRANNIGAN I would have to talk to SA QUIGLEY and it might take a little while to locate QUIGLEY but I would handle this as soon as possible.

1 - New Orleans
HGM:jam
(1)

100-16601-575

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FEB - 7 1964	
FBI - NEW ORLEANS	

Reack *WMA*

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC (100-16601)

DATE: 2/7/64

FROM : ASAC

SUBJECT: LEE HARVEY OSWALD, aka.
IS - R

Mr. FRED BAUMGARTNER of the Bureau called at 3 p.m. CST and advised SA QUIGLEY and SAC MAYNOR should take the first flight out to Dallas and get the statements notarized by the notary in the Dallas Office. Mr. BAUMGARTNER advised these statements would have to be in the Bureau no later than noon, Monday, 2/10/64, that how they arrived there, whether by being mailed or hand delivered to a captain of a plane and arrangements made with an Agent from WFO to pick up and hand deliver to the Bureau, was being left to the New Orleans Office.

① - New Orleans
JTS:jam
(1)

100-16601-575A

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FEB - 7 1964	
FBI - NEW ORLEANS	

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : FILE (100-16601)

DATE: 2/7/64

FROM : SAC

SUBJECT: LEE HARVEY OSWALD, aka.
IS - R

On 8/10/63, Lt. FRANCIS MARTELLO, First District, NOPD, telephonically advised the New Orleans Office his Department had arrested an individual on 8/9/63, who desired to talk with an FBI Agent.

SA JOHN LESTER QUIGLEY proceeded to the First District Station on 8/10/63, at which time MARTELLO was contacted at MARTELLO's Office. MARTELLO had on his desk several documents that appeared to be connected with the "Fair Play For Cuba Committee" and while looking at the literature another officer brought OSWALD into the office, however, OSWALD's identity was not known to QUIGLEY at that time. MARTELLO then introduced QUIGLEY to OSWALD as being an FBI Agent, at which time SA QUIGLEY showed OSWALD his credentials and thereafter interviewed OSWALD concerning his activities on 8/9/63 and his background. At no time during the interview did OSWALD indicate why he wanted to talk to an FBI Agent and OSWALD was not specifically questioned in this regard since MARTELLO had previously stated that OSWALD desired to talk to an FBI Agent.

in Martello's office

On 2/7/64, Lt. FRANCIS MARTELLO was questioned by SA QUIGLEY to determine the basis for MARTELLO's prior advice to effect that OSWALD desired to talk to an FBI Agent. MARTELLO stated that he had interviewed OSWALD on the morning of 8/10/63 and had as a result of the interview secured some information concerning OSWALD's activities in the "Fair Play for Cuba Committee" in New Orleans. Near the end of the interview, MARTELLO stated that OSWALD informed him that he would like to speak with an FBI Agent. MARTELLO said that he did not know why OSWALD wanted to see an agent and he did not question him as to why but merely complied with his request.

2 - New Orleans
HGM:jam
(2)

*4:25 pm 2/7/64
gone above to
Brazner by phone
HGM*

100-16601-576

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FEB - 7 1964	
FBI - NEW ORLEANS	
<i>Kaach</i>	<i>M. H.</i>

Memorandum

TO : SAC (100-16601)

DATE: 2/10/64

FROM : SA PAUL R. ALKER

SUBJECT: LEE HARVEY OSWALD
IS-R

At 6:15 PM, 2/10/64, Supervisor ROBERT E. LENIHAN of the Bureau telephonically advised that the affidavit submitted by you must be amended in that the sentence beginning "Funds for payments to informants are personally handled by me ..." must be changed to read "Payments to informants are personally approved by me...".

LENIHAN stated that Mr. CALLAHAN and Mr. MOHR have advised that no reference can be made to funds for informant payments or confidential funds in any matter going outside the Bureau.

LENIHAN further advised that the revised affidavit can be notarized by someone in the U. S. Attorney's office in New Orleans but must reach the Bureau no later than 2/11/64 as it must be delivered to the Commission by 9:00 AM, 2/12/64.

The fact that the U. S. Attorney's Office is presently closed and everything is closed in New Orleans until 2/12/64 because of Mardi Gras was pointed out to Mr. LENIHAN. He advised that he was merely passing along instructions and that, if the deadline could not be met, you should talk directly with Mr. BELMONT.

PRA
(1)

100-16601-580

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED <i>m</i>	FILED <i>m</i>
FEB 11 1964	
FBI - NEW ORLEANS	

[Signature]