NI CELIT AGOD HELENIN

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

1emorandum

S. Peelman

SUBJECT: ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY, 11/22/63

DALLAS, TEXAS

DATE: 4/18/77

1 - Mr. Moore 1, - Mr. Ingram

1 - Mr. Peelman

1 - Mr. Lawn

PURPOSE: To advise of the inaccuracy of a statement made by Richard Scrague, former Chief Counsel, House Assassination Committee, that the FBI hid or disguised embarrassing evidence regarding Lee Harvey Oswald. 4

RECOMMENDATION: For information. u

DETAILS: On 4/11/77, Richard Sprague, former Chief Counsel, House Assassination Committee, held a press conference, at which time Sprague made known his observations regarding the Committee as well as his personal opinion as to the direction that the assassination probe should take. With reference to the FBI, Sprague indicated that according to a J. Edgar Hoover memorandum, FBI Agents who knew Lee Harvey Oswald listened to a CIA tape recording of a conversation between Oswald and the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City. Sprague advised that although the CIA claimed the tapes had been erased after being transcribed, the FBI was able to review these tapes and conclude that the voice was not that of Oswald. U

JSG/gjw (þ)

REC-126 CONTINUED - OVER


105-82555 (Lee Harvey Oswald) Murend Clas Munking Per CIA Letter 9/23/88.

> Classified by 3002 I'mempt from GDS, Category Date c. Declarcilication Indefinite

67c

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularty on the Payroll Savings Plan

22 AFR 20 1977



Re: ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY

The basis for Sprague's statement is a J. Edgar Hoover letter to the President dated 11/23/63, the day after the assassination, which enclosed a five page LHM setting forth the background regarding the assassination and Lee Marvey Cswald (62 109060-433). The LHM specifically set forth the fact that EBI Agents

of information was subsequently determined to be incorrect and the matter was researched and reported during the Senstudy Project in 1976.

Our Legat in Mexico City sub-

sequently advised that

(105.82555-338, 371, 967 and 62-116395-1468). (Secret)

In conclusion, Richard Sprague's statement is based upon information obtained by his staff's review of excised copies of the Lee Tarvey Oswald file. However, his conclusion, based upon incomplete information is incorrect. Inequal as his staff personnel do not have clearances for classified material, his people could not be permitted access to the classified material which would have resolved the matter. The Committee staff has recently made an effort to obtain security clearances which will enable them to have access to such material. U

This communication is being classified Secret as information contained herein has been so classified by the $\text{CIA.}\, \mu$