

FBI

Date: 3/24/77

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

AIRTEL

Via _____
(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-109060)

FROM: SAC, DALLAS (89-43)

SUBJECT: ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT
JOHN FITZGERALD KENNEDY,
11/22/63,
DALLAS, TEXAS

JH

AKC

Re Bureau airtels to Dallas and Houston, 1/5/77,
and 2/28/77.

Enclosed herewith for the Bureau is the
original and five copies of an LHM dated and captioned
as above, two copies of which should be designated
to Legat, Mexico City.

LA

Two copies of the LHM are being designated
for Houston in the event further investigation should
be requested.

No attorney by the name of SCOTT has been
located in Fort Worth, Texas, who knew
J. E. EBB ROSE, or had any knowledge of the J. H. Rose
Truck Lines.

4-Bureau (Encs. 6) **ENCLOSURE** ST-106 1REC-60 62-109060-7680

(2-Legat, Mexico City 89-6) (Enc. 2)

2-Houston (62-2115) (Enc. 2)

2-Dallas

UHS:tjd

(8)

4/1/77

3 MAR 26 1977

1-610 AFG. City

3/29/77

1- SA DO. CLARK (MFA)

1- SA J. J. ...

1- SA ...

Ray

DL 89-43

[redacted] employment at C & H Transportation Co., Dallas, Texas, was verified between 8/19/63 and 1/23/64. [redacted] was a billing clerk with a top hourly rate of \$1.65. In [redacted] signed statement of 8/8/75, which is set forth in Legat, Mexico City, LHM dated 8/15/75, Page 2, [redacted] stated as follows, referring to Mr. ROSE:

"He told me to go to C & H Transportation Co., Dallas, and there would be a good job there for me which I did."

In the interview with Mr. [redacted] as set forth in the attached LHM, Mr. [redacted] advised he has never referred anyone to C & H, nor has C & H referred anyone to him since C & H is one of his main competitors. It should also be noted that [redacted] categorization of his work at C & H as being a good job appears to be inconsistent with his top hourly rate of \$1.65 as a billing clerk. Also, [redacted] in his interview, stated that he worked for C & H until 1965, when in fact he was employed there from August, 1963, till January, 1964.

Texas Ranger [redacted] Fort Worth, Texas, reviewed [redacted] signed statement of 10/21/76 and advised that in the mid-1950's there were numerous gangland type killings, possibly the result of a local prostitution war, and several bodies were recovered in the Lake Worth area, which is close to Fort Worth, Texas. He advised all of these cases received a lot of publicity. He advised that [redacted] statement is so vague and it is of no investigative assistance. [redacted] stated that [redacted] appears to be relating events that were highly publicized between 1955 - 1960, and anyone living in the Fort Worth area during that period of time would be vaguely familiar with those events.

DL 89-43

[redacted] appears to be familiar with the geography and the publicized events of the Dallas-Fort Worth area between 1955 - 1973, making his allegations virtually impossible to completely resolve through independent investigation.

Since to date [redacted] appears to be steadfast in his allegations, it is recommended [redacted] be re-interviewed at [redacted] [redacted] thus far appears to have furnished his information without having been thoroughly interrogated on each specific alleged fact. Detailed physical descriptions of all individuals he mentions should be obtained, as well as specific facts as to his employment with C & H Transportation, such as duties and salary. He should be asked how he spent the alleged \$3,000 and whether he has ever confided his allegations to any other individual(s). He should be asked specifically why he quit his employment at C & H and what type of vehicle he was driving at the time. Every facet of his allegation concerning his alleged contact with LEE HARVEY OSWALD and JAMES HOLLAND, as well as his murder by contract allegations should be explored in the greatest possible detail in order that his allegations can be resolved.

If [redacted] allegations can not be resolved through detailed interrogation, he should be asked if he would be willing to take a polygraph examination. If [redacted] consents, Bureau should take under advisement the authorization of such an examination in an effort to resolve [redacted] allegations.