pilotinea mentou ou ne bay or rellunt admits to having personally proposed an attempt to assassinate Castro, And although he claims that nothing came of his proposal, this is not true. The CIA's assassing nearly succeeded, but were caught and executed in Havana on the day of the Bay of Pigs invasion.

Another detail suppressed by Hunt is that the CIA's assassination plan involved giving the legal green light (and other aid) to a Cuban conspiracy against Castro sponsored by Las Vegas mubster Johnny Roselli and his organized crime allies in gambling-who wanted back their old llavana casinos. A detailed account of the assassination attempt by Andrew St. Georgehinself a one-time U.S. intelligence agent-suppresses the Mafia angle but confirms that this attempt was but one of a series, in which a prominent role. was played by Frank Sturgis' coconspirator. Rorke Alexander (Parade, April 12, 1964, p. 4). In fact Korke, according to St. George, died in an assassination attempt when his plane crashed in the Caribbean in September 1963 (cf. CD 1020.29). Rorke's 1963 attorney, Hans Tanner, had already published an account of his own assessination attempt in July 1961. His book gave several informed details about the International Anti-Communist Brigade of Frank Sturgis, which he considered to be "financed by dispossessed hotel and gambling room owners who operated under Batista" (Hans Tanner, Counter-Revolutionary Agent, G.T. Foulis, 1972, p. 127).

Hunt is said by an authoritative source to have been the CIA's contact for an assassination conspiracy against Castro in 1966, involving Rolando Cubela Secades, who confessed after being captured in Havana. Cubela, a former military leader of the DRE in Batista days, admitted that he had planned, with help from the CIA and Bay of Pigs leader Manuel Artime, "to shoot Premier Castro with a highpowered telescopic rifle and later share in top pests of a counter-revolutionary regime with Mr. Artime" (N)T, March 6, 1966, p. 25). These facts help explain why Artime for whose child fluit is a godfather-organized a defense fund for the Watergate butglars, whom he has since continued to

1973, p. 25, cf. June 19, 1972, p. 20). te same facts may also help us to derstand what was being covered up in Dallas.

Bernard Barker testified that he carried out two burglaries for Hunt in the expectation that this would eventually help to depose Castro. He also claimed that up to ten minutes before the Elisberg break in he knew only that he was working on a case involving espionage by a Soviet embassy. Such exploitation of anti-Castro militants had long ago been offered as an hypothesis for the Dallas assassination. According to this theory, evidence involving Oswald in a left-wing conspiracy had in fact been planted by militant anti-Communists, to make a case for a retaliatory U.S. invasion of Cuba. This would, for example, explain the oddly self-incriminating letter from "Pedro Charles," which the FBI quickly exposed as fimidulent, having been written on the same typewriter as the second warning letter from Havana (26 11 148).

According to a more suphisticated wersion of this hypothesis (involving a "two-tier conspiracy"), the clumsy, fraud was *meant* to be exposed. Having first served as a pretext to engage the services of anti-Castro Cubans, its ultimate intention was to justify not an invasion but a massive federal de-bunking of all traces of conspiracy the false and also the true.

We know at any rate that the direct result of such stories was to justify the creation of the Warren Commission. As Lyndon Johnson wrote in his memoirs. The Vantage Point:

We were aware of stories that Castro ... only lately accusing us of sending CIA agents into the country to assassinate him, was the perpetrator of the Oswald assassination plot. These runnors were another compelling reason that a thorough study had to be made of the Dallas tragedy at once. Out of the nation's suspicions, out of the nation's need for facts, the Warren Commission was born (p. 26).

[THE OSWALD NEXUS]

As the Commission's investigative arm, the FBI, with little other legal authorization, proceeded

and the second second

spirity, and others like them. For de distrating that Oswald was not a Cale agent, but "acted alone," the Filit and the Warren Commission drew applause not only from liberals but even from left-wing critics such as I. F. Stone. Yet in their efforts to establish the "lone assassin" hypotheses for Oswald and Ruby, both the FBI and the Warren Commission were guilty of covering up much evidence to the contrary.

A seemingly minor but significant example is the cover-up of Oswald's selationship (still unclear) to the FBI. On January 24, 1964, the Warren Commission first heard from Dallas District Attorney Henry Wade and Texas Attorney General Waggoner Carr of a rumor that Oswald had been an FBI informant since September 1962. Wade's evidence included hearsay that the name, phone and licenseplate number of FBI agent Hosty (who was responsible for surveillance of proand anti-Castro Cubans) were in ()swald's address book (just as Hunt's phone was in Barker's), and also that Oswald had a government voucher for \$200 at the time of his arrest (5 11 242). The first piece of hearsny turned out to be true (161164), but the Commission did not learn this easily: the FBI had supplied it with an itemized list of names in Oswald's notebook, from which Hosty's had been omitted (5 II 112).

You will look in vain for any of this information in the Warren Report. Instead, the Commission concluded from the sworr testimony of two CIA and five FBI officials, "corroborated by the Commission's independent review of the Bureau files," that "there was absolutely no type of informant or undercover relationship between an agency of the U.S. Government and Lee Harvey Oswald" (R 327). In fact, Chief Justice Warren declined on security grounds to inspect the FBI file on Oswald noting that others "would also demand ... to see it, and if it is security matters we can't let them see it" (5 11 13). According to the Commission lawyer in charge of this matter, no "independent review" was ever made of the file (Edward Epstein, Inquest, New York, Viking, 1969, p. 38).

In any case, the question of

RAMPARTS 15

Octain 1 T C: mice is considerably me evidence to indicate Oswald's involve ment with U.S. intelligence-evident that is obscured rather than laid to rest by the Commission Report.

Let us look at a few of the instances in which this "intelligence angle" was covered up. Oswald's mother, trying vainly to convince the Commission her son was "an agent of the government" (1 11 142, cf. 1 11 191), cited his "special work" in the Philippines (apparently in connection with the CIA military interven-

С

П

C

Ē,

S C li

Ö

e

ปี ย

e Set

0

67

U

U

r

E

ð

(1, 2, 2, 3)

crisis (1 11 233, cf. 22 H 723). The Warren Report, without directly refuting this last claim, implied that Oswald had only been in Taiwan for a day or so around September 30, 1958 (R 684); it relied on Oswald's personnel file, and a related Pentagon memo, which placed Oswald's Marine Air Group 11 in Atsugi, Japan (23 H 796, cf. 19 11 658). In reality, MAG-11 had moved from Atsugi to Taiwan, in response to the Quemoy crisis, for an extended period beginning September 8 (Department of Defense Annual



"The most important education journal going . . . " Herb Kohl

"This is what a journal of education should contain and look like . . . One wishes that schools of education would emulate such a journal."

Marcus Raskin

"This is definitely the magazine for all students of the alternative school movement"

> Free-Schooling America: An Alternative Schools Publication

A second s

Dear Reader,

Are you interested in more than the one-dimensional perspective so often taken in the analysis of educational problems? If so, we invite you to subscribe to our journal — a journal which provides a forum for radical criticism of and creative alternatives to today's educational malaise.

Subscription Rates: (published bi-annually)

\$5.00 individuals \$6.00 institutions and foreign

THE NEW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION JOURNAL 4304 Tolman Hall, University of California

Berkeley, California 94720

AMPARTS

NEPUIT, 1730-37, PP. 2-0-27. This change of status is noted in wald's pay records, which only ached the Commission nine days before its report went to press (26 H 709, 715). The pay records also show, in contradiction to the personnel file, that on returning to Atsugi (the base for CIA U-2 and covert commando operations in the Far East), Oswald left MAG-11 (now in . Taiwan) and was attached to its replacement MAG-13 (26 H 715), That Oswald's personnel file could put him in one unit, while his pay records put lum in another, suggests that Oswald, at least in 1958-59, was engaged in some kind of activity so sensitive that some of his records were altered to conceal it.

There are also discrepancies with regard to Oswald's "hardship discharge" from active duty in September 1959 to support his mother. The Warren Report cites affidavits that Mrs. Oswald "had been injured at work in December 1958, and was unable to support herself" (R 688). In fact, both Mrs. Oswald's regular doctor (CD 5.298) and an Industrial Accident Board denied that she had suffered a loss of wage-carning capacity. Nevertheless, Oswald received his release with an ease and rapidity that surprised some of his colleagues (8 11 257). and the second second

In Oswald's group at Santa Ana, Calif., where a "secret clearance ... was s minimum requirement" (811 298, cf. 232), the basic function was "to train both enlisted [men] and officers for later assignment overseas" (8 11 290). The swift handling of Oswald's release suggests that it was a cover: Oswald was being "sheep-dipped," just as U-2 pilot Gary Powers before him had been "released" from the Air Force for assignment to a covert intelligence role. Oswald's immediate application for a passport on September 4 "to attend the Albert Schweitzer College in Switzerland and the University of Turku in Finland" (22 11 78) suggests that that role concerned his "defection" in October to the Soviet Union.

Here, too, the Warren Commission chose to overlook discrepancies. How was the trip paid for? The Report blandly repeats Oswald's own story that he had saved \$1,500 from his

- X - S

the fact that his only known bar account contained a total of \$20 (2211 180). How did Oswald Ay Finland from London Airport, where his passport was stamped "Embarked 10 Oct. 1959" (18 II 162)? If he had taken the only commercial flight, he would have arrived too late to register before midnight (as claimed) at his Helsinki flotel (261132). The Report's solution was to conclude that Oswald had departed from London October 9, ignoring both the evidence of the conflicting date stamp and the possibility that his flight was not a commercial one at all (R 690, cf. Sylvia Mc.gher, Accessories After the Fact, New York, Bobbs-Merrill, 1967, p. 331).

The desire of U.S. Intelligence agencies to interview even casual visitors to the Soviet Union is well known. In June 1962, Lee Harvey Oswald was a returning Marine defector who had once served at a CIA base and had told the U.S. Embassy in Moscow of his intention to pass information to Soviet officials (18 11 98). For two years he had worked in a sensitive Soviet factory and was now matried to the niece of a colonel in Soviet intelligence, Yet the Report tells us that the returning Oswalds were met in New York City, not by the FBI or CIA, but by "Spas T. Raikin, a representative of the Traveler's Aid Society" (R 713).

Hie FBI interviews did not point out that Spas T. Raikin was also the Secretary-General of the American Friends of the Anti-Bolshevik Bloc of Nations, a small but vigorous group of right-wing revinchiste East Europeans in direct touch with the FBI and Army Intelligence and also with the Gehlen spy organization in West Germany, the Kucanistang in Taiwan, the mother of Madame Nhu, right-wing Cubans like Oswald's DRE contact Carlos Brinfunct, and other elements of a shadowy. **World Anti-Communist League." This WACL had contacts with U.S. anti-communists in New Odeans, in the building with the Comp St. address used by Oswald on In. pro-Castro literature, and also by the CIA's Cohan Revolutionary Connerl of which Bringmer had once "been press secretary. As I have indicated in my book, The War Conspir-

The set there -

dents in Taiwan (the Asian Peoples' Anti-Communist League) were intelligence agents involved in the Kuomintang's matcotics traffic -a fact dramatically illustrated by the 1971 arrest in Paris of the Chief Laotian Delegate to the Al'ACL, whose suitcase containing 60 kilos of highgrade heroin would have been worth \$13.5 million on the streets of New York.

Unfortunately, there has not been space to show the ways in which many if not most of the Commission's staff, like most of the FIII agents involved, did attempt an honest and thorough investigation. I have focused narrowly on some of the indications that there was a cover-up where security and intelligence matters were involved. And, as we have learned from the Watergate and "plumbers" break-ins, the cover-up of an intelligence matter can become a priority, thereby protecting perpetrators of crimes which have no intelligence justification.

[THE RUBY CONNECTION]

Tack Ruby, the second "lone assassin," was a more difficult subject for a cover-up; his personal and

stricas Sic min the poince and 'erworld in Dallas were widely wn, and there is evidence they inded considerably beyond Texas. Nevertheless, the Commission went to great lengths to argue that Ruby, like Oswald, "acted independently" (R 373), and in particular to downplay his close links to the Dallas police and also to organized crime. According to a brief and unconsciously humorous section of the Report, "the evidence indicates that Ruby was keenly interested in policemen and their work" (R 800). Nothing is said of the testimony of Detective Eberhardt, a veteran of the Special Services Bureau (SSB), "that he regarded Jack Ruby as a source of information in connection with his investigatory activities" (13 H 183)-i.e., as a police informant, specifically in the area of narcotics.

A word must be interpolated here about the SSB of the Dallas Police. Like similar "Special Units" in other police forces across the country (all of which work with one another), the Dallas SSB had a consolidated responsibility, in collaboration with the FBI and other agencies, for investigating subversive activities (allegedly the

