

FBI WASH DC

FBI NEW ORLS

11-11 AM 2-23-69 URGENT DAO

TO DIRECTOR 62-109060 AND DALLAS 89-43

FROM NEW ORLEANS 89-69 5P

REC-103
FEB 23 1969
TELETYPE

1, 2, 3, 4

ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN FITZGERALD KENNEDY, DALLAS, TEXAS, NOVEMBER TWENTYTWO, NINETEEN SIXTYTHREE. MISC. - INFO CONCERNING... OO: DALLAS.

Blitz
K

THERE APPEARED IN THE FEBRUARY TWENTYEIGHT INSTANT ISSUE OF THE NEW ORLEANS TIMES PICAYUNE NEWSPAPER AN ARTICLE SETTING FORTH THE AFTERNOON SESSION OF THE TRIAL OF CLAY L. SHAW ON FEBRUARY TWENTYSEVEN INSTANT.

ACCORDING TO THIS ARTICLE, THE AFTERNOON SESSION CONSISTED OF THE PROSECUTION CALLING REBUTTAL WITNESSES.

THE FIRST WITNESS CALLED WAS EMMETT J. BARBE, WHO TESTIFIED THAT IN NINETEEN SIXTYTHREE HE WAS THE GENERAL MAINTENANCE FOREMAN FOR THE WILLIAM D. REILY COFFEE COMPANY OF NEW ORLEANS. HE TESTIFIED THAT DURING THIS PERIOD LEE HARVEY OSWALD WAS EMPLOYED IN THE MAINTENANCE DEPARTMENT AND THAT ON JULY NINETEEN, NINETEEN SIXTYTHREE IT WAS NECESSARY FOR HIM TO FIRE OSWALD. THE DEFENSE HAD NO QUESTIONS TO ASK BARBE.

END CORR LINE 14 WRD 6 SHLD BE JULY

PAGE ONE

3 MAR 5 1969

62-109060-6804
EX-103
5-11-69

59 MAR 13 1969

PAGE TWO

THE NEXT REBUTTAL WITNESS WAS EUGENE C. DAVIS, THE OPERATOR OF A RESTAURANT AND BARROOM IN THE NEW ORLEANS FRENCH QUARTER. HE TESTIFIED HE HAS KNOWN DEAN ANDREWS SINCE ABOUT NINETEEN FIFTYSIX OR NINETEEN FIFTYSEVEN AND THAT HE HAD ORIGINALLY MET ANDREWS IN A BAR IN THE FRENCH QUARTER. SUBSEQUENTLY ANDREWS BECAME HIS ATTORNEY AND DID LEGAL WORK FOR DAVIS FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS. HE TESTIFIED THAT ANDREWS CONTINUED TO BE HIS ATTORNEY QUOTE UNTIL AROUND THE TIME OF THE INVESTIGATION INTO THE KENNEDY ASSASSINATION UNQUOTE.

HE TESTIFIED HE WAS NEVER INTRODUCED TO ANYONE AS CLAY BERTRAND AND THAT HE HAS NEVER USED THIS NAME. DAVIS TESTIFIED THAT ON THE DAY OF THE ASSASSINATION OF THE PRESIDENT HE WAS WORKING AT A BAR IN NEW ORLEANS AND THAT HE DID NOT TELEPHONICALLY CONTACT ANDREWS ON THE DAY OF THE ASSASSINATION OR THE NEXT DAY OR ON NOVEMBER TWENTYFOUR, NINETEEN SIXTYTHREE.

UPON CROSS EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE, DAVIS WAS QUESTIONED REGARDING HIS ASSOCIATION WITH ANDREWS IN REGARD TO LEGAL MATTERS. HE TESTIFIED THAT HE HAD SENT PERSONS TO SEE ANDREWS REGARDING LEGAL MATTERS. THE DEFENSE THEN ASKED SIMILAR QUESTIONS OF DAVIS

END PAGE 2

PAGE 3

REGARDING HIS ACTIVITIES AROUND THE TIME OF THE ASSASSINATION THAT HAD PREVIOUSLY BEEN ASKED BY THE PROSECUTION. DAVIS TESTIFIED HE WAS POSITIVE HE MADE NO TELEPHONE CALLS ON THE DAY OF THE ASSASSINATION NOR DID HE MAKE ANY TELEPHONE CALLS TO DEAN ANDREWS THAT DAY OR ANY DAY SHORTLY AFTER THE ASSASSINATION.

HE TESTIFIED THAT THE BAR AT WHICH HE HAD ORIGINALLY MET ANDREWS WAS A HANGOUT FOR HOMOSEXUALS.

THE NEXT PROSECUTION REBUTTAL WITNESS WAS IDENTIFIED AS NICHOLAS M. ~~STADIN~~^{LA}, OF NEW ORLEANS, WHO TESTIFIED THAT HE IS EMPLOYED AS A BUSINESS AGENT FOR A LOCAL MUSICIANS UNION. HE TESTIFIED THAT IN NINETEEN SIXTYFOUR HIS SON WAS TAKING FLYING LESSONS FROM DAVID FERRIE AT THE NEW ORLEANS LAKEFRONT AIRPORT. DURING THE TIME HIS SON WAS TAKING THESE LESSONS HE ACCOMPANIED HIS SON TO THE AIRPORT FREQUENTLY. HE TESTIFIED THAT ON ONE OCCASION IN THE SUMMER OF SIXTYFOUR HE AND HIS WIFE WENT TO THE AIRPORT AND OBSERVED FERRIE AND CLAY L. SHAW EMERGING FROM A HANGAR. HE TESTIFIED THAT HE HAD PREVIOUSLY KNOWN SHAW, HAVING OBSERVED SHAW ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS IN THE FRENCH QUARTER. ON THIS OCCASION AT THE AIRPORT HE ASKED FERRIE IF THE PERSON WHO WAS WITH HIM WAS A NEW STUDENT AND FERRIE REPLIED

END PAGE 3

PAGE 4

IN THE NEGATIVE. FERRIE TOLD HIM THAT THIS INDIVIDUAL WAS CLAY SHAW WHO WAS IN CHARGE OF THE INTERNATIONAL TRADE MART.

UPON CROSS EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE, TADIN TESTIFIED THAT THE ABOVE EVENT TOOK PLACE SOME TIME BETWEEN JUNE AND AUGUST, NINETEEN SIXTYFOUR, BUT HE COULD NOT RECALL HOW SHAW WAS DRESSED. HE TESTIFIED THAT HE FIRST GOT IN TOUCH WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ON THE MORNING OF FEBRUARY TWENTYSEVEN, NINETEEN SIXTYNINE, AND HAD BEEN READING ABOUT THE TRIAL IN NEWSPAPERS AND WATCHING TELEVISION COVERAGE. HE TESTIFIED THAT HE WAS AWARE THAT SHAW HAD INDICATED THAT FERRIE WAS UNKNOWN TO HIM AND HE BECAME DISTURBED AND HE WANTED TO SET THE RECORD STRAIGHT. HE TESTIFIED THE REASON HE HAD NOT COME FORWARD PREVIOUSLY WAS THAT HE DID NOT WANT TO GET INVOLVED.

THE NEXT REBUTTAL WITNESS FOR THE PROSECUTION WAS IDENTIFIED AS MRS. NICHOLAS M. TADIN. SHE TESTIFIED THAT SHE USED TO GO TO THE NEW ORLEANS LAKEFRONT AIRPORT IN NINETEEN SIXTYFOUR DURING THE TIME THAT HER SON WAS RECEIVING FLYING LESSONS FROM FERRIE. ON ONE OCCASION IN THE SUMMER OF NINETEEN SIXTYFOUR SHE OBSERVED FERRIE

END PAGE 4

PAGE 5

WALKING WITH CLAY SHAW BUT SHE DID NOT KNOW SHAW'S NAME AT THE TIME. SHE POINTED OUT SHAW IN THE COURTROOM AS BEING THE PERSON SHE HAD SEEN WITH FERRIE IN NINETEEN SIXTYFOUR.

SHE TESTIFIED HER HUSBAND HAD TOLD HER WHO SHAW WAS BUT SHE DID NOT HAVE ANY CONVERSATION WITH FERRIE.

UPON CROSS EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE, SHE SAID SHE COULD NOT RECALL HOW SHAW WAS DRESSED AND THE ONLY THING SHE REMEMBERED ABOUT SHAW AT THE AIRPORT WAS HIS HAIR AND HIS FACE AND THAT HE APPEARED TO BE DISTINGUISHED LOOKING. SHE ADMITTED SHE KNEW ABOUT THE CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS AGAINST SHAW FROM THE TIME OF THE PRELIMINARY HEARING TWO YEARS AGO BUT HAD REFUSED TO COME FORWARD BECAUSE SHE DID NOT WANT TO GET INVOLVED. SHE TESTIFIED SHE WOULD NOT HAVE COME FORWARD AT ALL EXCEPT THAT HER HUSBAND HAD MADE HER COME FORWARD.

ACCORDING TO THE ARTICLE, THE PROSECUTION INDICATED THAT IT HAD OTHER REBUTTAL WITNESSES WHO WERE NOT PRESENT IN THE COURTROOM AT THIS TIME BECAUSE SOME OF THEM WERE QUOTE SNOWBOUND IN THE EAST UNQUOTE.

COURT WAS THEN RECESSED FOR THE DAY AND JUDGE HAGGERTY TOLD THE JURY HE WOULD DELIVER THE CASE TO THEM FOR A VERDICT ON THE AFTERNOON OF FEBRUARY TWENTYEIGHT, NINETEEN SIXTYNINE.

NO LHM BEING SUBMITTED.

END

WA...ELR

FBI WASH DC