Total Remains at Eight in Shaw Trial

By CLARENCE DOUCET Eighty-two more prospective jurors in the conspiracy trial of Clay L. Shaw were excused Monday - seven by peremptory challenges-and one more juror was selected.

However, the selection of retired Fire Capt. Sidney J. Hebert Jr. had the effect of regaining ground lost earlier in the day when Criminal Court Judge Edward A. Haggerty announced be was excusing one of the jurors already selected because of illness.

Lloyd E. Heintz, 40, who was selected I as t Friday, took ill over the weekend and was taken to Touro Infirmary where he was still recuperating Monday.

Heintz had become the eighth

CHALLENGED BY STATE One other prospective juror seemed on the edge of acceptance just before Judge Haggerty adjourned the Monday session at 6:04 p. m., but the state exercised a peremptory challenge to excuse Miss Nancy L. Mc-Daniel.

Thus far, some 255 prospective jurors from the panels of three different sections of the Criminal Court have been questioned for possible jury đượ,

peremptory challenges on Mon dolph F. Becker Jr. The defense used four more day; the state, three. Each side is allowed 12 such challenges, legal moves by which they may excuse a juror without giving a reason. The state has four remaining and the defense five. Once each side has exhausted its challenges only the judge may excuse prospective jurors and then for cause.

BRANIFF'S SECTION

On Tuesday, those persons on er a criminal activity that allegedly took place in New Orleans.

Dymond that the Warren Report was not on trial, but rather a criminal activity that allegedly took place in New Orleans.

Dymond that the Warren Report was not on trial, but rather a criminal activity that allegedly took place in New Orleans of Judge Matthew S. Braniff's for cause, maintaining that in order to convict the defendant the state mest prove a constant the state mest prove a and then for cause.
ALCOCK, DYMOND ARGUE

Monday's session was pure selected—12 jurors and two tuated with a few arguments alternates—before the actual between Assistant District Atorney James L. Alcock and F. Irvin Dymond, the chief counsel for Shaw.

Shaw is charged with having mained the same. .. 1 participated in a conspiracy to assassinate President John F. Kennedy.

the New Orleans Fire Department in June, 1967, and is now an inspector for the Housing Authority of New Orleans. He resides at 4026 Eunice dr., is married and has one child. He is 55 years old.

Miss McDaniel was use woman called as a prospective juror thus far in the jury selected a prospective juror who it ion which began last Tuesday opinion: "I'm not surprised to the summer both marior opinion: "I'm not surprised to the surprised t ried, were excused when they hear you say that; it's been said jury duty would impose a in the paper for the past four hardship on their families. hardship on their families.

The jury selection will be resumed at 9 4 cm. Tuesday.

USE OF CHALLENGES
The state exercised its per-

juror, but until Hebert was agreed upon by both the state and the defense at 5:10 p. m. Monday, the jury had fallen back to seven members.

CHALLENGED BY STATE

The cannot proceed addition to two challenges during the morning, the defense jurors are physically present utilized two more during the Therefore, because of this psy-afternoon: Robert J. Klein and James McDermott Jr.

It was during the questioning

provide for such an excusal, and Haggerty to excuse the wit-Judge Haggerty told him he was nesses for cause because of aware of this, but added statements they made. "criminal law should be reasonable, not unreasonable."

The first nine prospective jurors called were those left over from the 44 names that were on the jury list for Judge. Questioned by Dymond, Klein Frank Shea's section of the said he did have some opinions court. The remaining 72 per-about whether the death of sons called during the day were President Kennedy resulted from the jury list of Judge Ru. from a conspiracy.

Fourteen persons must be

trial of Shaw can begin.

Although Judge Haggerty took a new approach to questioning jurors Monday, the results re-

Last week he asked them if sassinate President John F. because of what they had ennedy.

"read, seen, heard or discovered from custom they had formed a fixed they had formed the opinion. On Monday he asked them additional questions to determine how strong the opinion was, or if it was merely a strong impression, but a high number of the prospective jurors still managed to be excused because they said their Miss McDaniel was the third opinions were firmly fixed.

USE OF CHALLENGES

Judge Haggerty announced emptory challenges to excuse the plight of Heintz as the trial John H. Schluter, Elmo C. resumed Monday morning, ex-Waltzer and Miss McDaniel. In plaining: "We cannot proceed addition to two challenges dur-

Mr. Heintz."

It was during the questioning
Dymond objected immediate of Klein and also McDermott
ly arguing that law does not that the defense asked Judge

Klein, who admitted to having read parts of the Warren

Judge Haggerty reminded Dymond that the Warren Re-

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

PAGE 1

SECTION 1

THE TIMES-PICAYUNE

NEW ORLEANS, LA.

Date: 1-28-69 Edition:

Editor: GEORGE W. HEALY TRUE ASSISSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY, TEXAS

or 11-22-63

Classification: 89-

Submitting Office: N.O., LA.

Being investigated

Haggerty again sustained the state's objection and a few minutes later Dymond used a peremptory challenge to excuse Klein.

McDermott had said, when questioned about the death of Kennedy, he had a feeling "it just couldn't be one person" involved. After additional quescusal for cause, but again the state objected and the judge sustained the state's objection.

itself, Alcock maintained that all persons having an opinion about whether the death of the President resulted from a confor cause by the defense, and ended. those with an opinion about the death resulting from the actions of one man, by the state.

It has been stated repeatedly in the court that conspiracy and murder are two separate crimes. Conspiracy involves an ing the object of the conspiracy.

At one point during the morning Judge Haggerty told a prospective juror that the Warren Report is not an official document and is "fraught with hearsay and unsworn testimony.'
TWO CHALLENGES

The defense exercised two more of its peremptory challenges during the morning session, one to excuse Anthony J. Sciortino and the other to excuse Earl P. Marcel.

In all, 25 prospective jurors

might have been involved in a had a fixed opinion, and reason and a conspiracy and Alcock again objected asserting Dymond's for the same reason. It was question was "totally absurd." O'Rourke's appearance that set Dymond pointed out that the stage for the first fire Shaw is accused of having conspired with O'swald and though the flare-up between Alcock and Dymond was minor. At one point Judge Haggerty B. Felton.

DYRSONS EXCUSED

Identity Involved in a had a fixed opinion, and reason if selected: Alton F. Durio, ion; Terry R. neadding, proposition; Robert Griffin, hard-George, Sidney A. Minor III, ship; John M. Hebert, because of professional test he must take; Ervin M. Arata, knows a member of the district attorney's stair personally; Wesley A. Senette, fixed opinion; Robert Griffin, hard-George, Sidney A. Smith, Edward Reynolds S. Rawis, John Scott Jr., ship; John M. Hebert, because of professional test he must take; Ervin M. Arata, knows a member of the district attorney's stair personally; Wesley A. Senette, fixed opinion; Robert Griffin, hard-George, Sidney A. Smith, Edward Reynolds S. Rawis, John Scott Jr., ship; John M. Hebert, because of professional test he must take; Ervin M. Arata, knows a member of the district attorney's stair personally; Wesley A. Senette, fixed opinion; Robert Griffin, hard-George, Sidney A. Minor III, ship; John M. Hebert, because the stage for the first fire. Floyd S. Rawis, John Scott Jr., ship; John M. Hebert, because the stage for the first fire. Floyd S. Rawis, John Scott Jr., ship; John M. Hebert, because the stage for the first fire. Floyd S. Rawis, John Scott Jr., ship; John M. Hebert, because the stage for the first fire. Floyd S. Rawis, John Scott Jr., ship; John M. Hebert, because the stage for the first fire. Floyd S. Rawis, John Scott Jr., ship; John M. Hebert, because the stage for the first fire. Floyd A. Smith Scott Jr., ship; John M. Hebert, because the stage for the first fire. Floyd A. Smith Scott Jr., ship; John M. Hebert, because the stage for the first fire whether Lee Harvey Oswald was excused because ne said no following because of financial ians.

might have been involved in a had a fixed opinion, and Walter problems they would encounter conspiracy and Alcock again L. O'Rourke was also excused if selected: Alton F. Durio, lon; Terry R. Heaberlin, fixed opinions of the same reason. It was Googge Gray Ir Woodrow W. er, when Dymond answered a during the afternoon: question Alcock had asked the Timothy R. Clifford, fixed judge, Alcock raised his voice and said, "I'm not asking you, opinion; Leonard J. Flattering, Mr. Dymond." The judge plead, medical erosenses Norman J. ed for decorum in the court-Dubuclet, would not be paid; room.

CAUSE OF ARGUMENT The argument developed when Alcock asked to have O'Rourke his position as head of a busitions by Dymond, the defense repeat a statement which Al- ness; Randolph W. Nicaise Jr., attorney asked for another extusal for cause, but again the
state objected and the judge
sustained the state's objection.

Regarding the assassination

Regarding the assassination that he coulded hear but had lenge by the state; Edward J. waited a minute or so. Alcock Pinkney Jr., fixed opinion.
maintained that he could not Also, the following because
decide if the witness should be they would not be paid or suffer

Sciortino was then challenged because he had taken time off from his job to complete some research, and, if selected, would in effect suffer a loss of arrangement or meeting of the minds coupled with an overt act by one of the members furtherby one of the members furtherJohn Ed Simpson, because he would have undue concern for his family; Harold G. Meyers Jr., because he would receive only 30 days' pay, and Warren Angelo Hains, because he said he did not think he could be a

fair and impartial juror.
This exhausted the 44 names of the prospective jurors from Judge Shea's list, and following a brief recess Judge Haggerty began calling the names of jurors from Judge Becker's section.

spiracy before showing Shaw as a part of it and the prospective be called furor already admitted to have furor already admitted to have furor already admitted to have for a partial loss of earning for Lucious Jenkins Jr., because he would sure a partial loss of earning for Lucious Jenkins Jr., because he would sure for J. Verret, will lose part of Lucious Jenkins Jr., because he would have for his fixed opinion.

OBJECTION SUSTAINED he said, "I'd be looking for Alcock objected and Judge Haggerty sustained the objection.

The mond then asked Klein a Carald Seymour Hennessey Hennessey Judge Haggerty excused the enforcement officers over civil-

whether Lee Harvey Oswald was excused because he said he following because of financial lians.

opinion; Leonard J. Harding; Raleigh J. Santiago, fixed opinion: John I. Hebert, because of

spiracy or was the act of one excused for cause if he could not a loss of part of their earnings: spiracy or was the act of one hear what he had said, but John M. Parker III, Henry J. cusal by Dymond's thinking: finally agreed to accept Judg Muniz Jr., Maurice J. Shea, Those with an opinion about a matter and the brief flare - up Martino, Noel A. Dolliole Sr., Louis J. Hebert, Herbert L. Spiorting was then challenged to accept Judg Muniz Jr., Maurice J. Shea, Haggerty's judgment in the Lawrence Martin, Charles J. Conspiracy would be challenged to accept Judg Muniz Jr., Maurice J. Shea, Haggerty's judgment in the Lawrence Martin, Charles J. Caires David Science Parket States and S Pierce, Percy L. Gaines, David by the defense, and in rapid J. Smith, Lawrence N. Hunsingorder the following were ex-, er, Richard K. Reynolds. Jercused: Harrison W. Johnson, my L. Powers. Ray J. Mclinie. my L. Powers, Ray J. Melinie, Louis J. Mayronne, Godfrey L. Milton, Raymond Jackson Jr., Albert Nemona, Fernando L. Patron, George J. Cassard, Cleveland Grant, Leslie C. Jones.

Others, Lester J. Held, because of a report he must get out; William Rothchild Jr., because he said the defense would have to prove Shaw's inno-cence; Edward A. Simmons Jr., fixed opinion; Edward M. Slaughter, fixed opinion; John J. Fernandez, illness in family; Alfred C. Green, fixed opinion; Robert J. Klein, peremptory challenge by defense; James McDermott Jr., peremptory challenge by derense; Kenneth

These persons were excused Matthew E. Gormly Jr., fixed opinion, and Miss McDaniel, peremptory challenge by state.