FEDERAL BUREAU O: INVESTIGATION U. S. DEPARTMEN' OF JUSTICE COMMUNICATION SECTION MAR 2 6 1968

TELETYPE

Mr. Callaban

Mr. Conrad.

Mr. Felt.

Mr. Sullivan

Mr. Tavel. Mr. Trotte

Tele. Room Miss Holmes. Miss Gandy

FPI WASH DO

723 PM 3/26/68 URGENT WHD

DIPECTOR (62-109060) AND DALLAS (89-43)

NEW ORLEANS (89-69) FROME

ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN FITZGERALD KENNE Dallas, Texas, Nov. Twenty Two, Sixty three, Miscella Neous - ----JNFO CONCERNING. OO: DALLAS.

AN ARTICLE IN THE NEW ORLEANS STATES ITEM FINAL EDITION, MARCH TWENTYSIX INSTANT, STATED - CRIMINAL JUDGE EDWARD A. YAGGERTY, JR. SAID TODAY, AFTER HEARING ORAL AGRUMENTS, THAT HE WILL RULE FRIDAY ON A BID TO HAVE DE KENNEDY ASSASSINATION CONSPIRACY TRIAL OF CLAY L SHAW MOVED AT LEAST ONE HUNDRED MILES FROM NEW ORLEANS.

MEANWHILE, ACCORDING TO THE ARTICLE, ASSISTANT DA JAMES 🚉 L. ALCOCK SAID THAT A MOTION PICTURE FILM OF THE KENNEDY ASSASSINATION TAKEN BY ABRAHAM ZAPRUDER WILL BE TURNED OVER TO THE ORLEANS PARISH GRAND JURY. ALCOCK STATED THAT HE HAS BEEN NOTIFIED BY TIME THAT THE FILM WILL SENT TO. "EW ORLEANS FOR DELIVERY TO THE JURY TH END P. 1

APR 2 1968

MR. DELOACH FOR THE DIRECTOR

P. 2

THE ARTICLE STATED THAT THE ORIGINAL FILM NEVER WAS SHOWN TO THE WARREN COMMISSION, WHICH USED COPIES IN ITS INVESTIGATION OF THE PRESIDENT'S DEATH.

GARRISON CONTENDS THE ORIGINAL FILM WILL SHOW THAT
THE PRESIDENT WAS SHOT FROM THE FRONT; THE COMMISSION SAID
THE WAS SHOT FROM THE BACK.

IN ANOTHER ASPECT OF THE PROBE, ACCORDING TO THE ARTICLE, MRS. RUTHTPAINE IN IRVING, TEXAS, SAID SHE IS "NOT TERRIPLY KEEN" ABOUT COMING TO NEW ORLEANS TO TESTIFY BEFORE-THE GRAND JURY.

ASKED ABOUT A PART OF THE SUBPOENA THAT ALLEGES SHE TOOK OSWALD'S GUN FROM NEW ORLEANS TO DALLAS MRS. PAINE SAID "SURE, I CARRIED ALL OF OSWALD'S WORLDLY POSSESSIONS FROM NEW ORLEANS TO DALLAS IN SIXTYTHREE. FOR ALL I KNOW, THE RIFLE WAS IN THERE." HOWEVER, THE ARTICLE STATED THAT SHE MAINTAINED SHE COULD NOT TELL THE JURY ANYTHING THAT WAS NOT INCLUDED IN THE WARREN REPORT.

END P. 2

J-,

P. 3

THE ARTICLE STATED THAT DURING THE ORAL ARGUMENTS ON
THE SHAW CHANGE OF VENUE MOTION BEFORE JUDGE HAGGERTY
TODAY DEFENCE ATTORNEY WILLIAM WEGMANN ARGUED THAT THE
CHESTIONING OF EIGHTY PROSPECTIVE JUROPS IN COURT LAST WEEK
PROVED ONE THING: "THEY HAVE BEEN INFLUENCED BY THE
CONTROVERSY SURROUNDING THIS CASE. THERE HAS BEEN SO MUCH
PUBLICHTY—AND CONTROVERSY THAT THESE PEOPLE ARE INCAPABLE
OF ANSWERING THE QUESTIONS PUT TO THEM."

ON A SECOND POINT WEGMANN INSISTED THAT SHAW IS

DUE A CHANGE OF VENUE BECAUSE AT LEAST ONE WITNESS THE DEFENSE

FEELS IS NECESSARY TO ITS CASE HAS REFUSED TO COME TO NEW

ORLEANS TO TESTIFY, PROFESSING FEAR.

THE ARTICLE STATED THAT ASSISTANT DA ALCOCK ANSWERED FOR THE STATE BRIEFLY SAYING THAT HE FEELS THAT THE ORDINARY PERSON IS NOT AS INTERESTED IN THE CASEAS THE DEFENSE CONTENDS AND THAT THE EIGHTY MEMBERS OF THE JURY PANEL QUESTIONED PROVED HIS POINT. ALSO, THAT THE STATE WOULD HAVE BROUGHT ITS OWN CHANGE OF VENUE MOTION IF IT END P. 3

 $B_{\rm s} H_{\rm c}$

P. 4BELIEVED A FAIR TRIAL HERE FOR THE STATE WAS IMPOSSIBLE AND WOULD HAVE JOINED THE DEFENSE IN ITS MOTION IF IT BELIEVED THAT A FAIR TRIAL FOR SHAW WERE IMPOSSIBLE.

NO LAM BEING SUBMITTED.

END.

MX S

FBI WASH DC

CC MR. SULLIVAN