Wost Pledge Fair Trial in Shaw Case

A wide majority of jury panel members testifying today said they believe they could give Clay L. Shaw a fair trial on charges of conspiring to kill President John F. Kennedy. -

Twelve more of the panelists testified this morning before Criminal Disirict Judge Edward A. Haggerty Jr., who is holding a hearing on a detense motion to move aw's trial at least 100 miles miside New Orleans on rounds that widespread publicity has made it impossible the defendant to get a rair trial here.

OF THESE, eight said they ાપાલ render an impartial ver-Lit. Four said they could not and were immediately excused-" Judge Haggerty.

This ran the total, including "ic 40 persons testifying yeserday, to 42 yes, nine no and · · · undecided.

At one point this morning, udge Haggerty ruled out a me of questioning from the leiense about Perry Ray-"ond Russo, the state's star iness in a preliminary Faring for Shaw.

Russo testified then that he Lee Harvey Oswald and

David William Ferrie plot the President's death with Shaw icie in September, 1963.

DEFENSE COUNSEL F. Irin Dymond was questioning "You are excused."

"Yes, sir," was the reply.
Judge Haggerty then said,
"You are excused." a witness about his opinion of Shaw a lair trial.

Shaw, a 55-year-old retired businessman and playwright, Pas charged more than a year ago in the probe initiat-ed by District Attorney Jim Garrison. The DA was absent again today and the state's questioning was handled by assistant DA James L.-Alcock.

Judge Haggerty dismissed the first witness this morning after he testified that he already had formed an opinion in the case.

As was the case yesterday, Dymond took a bill of excep-

tion, contending that he should have a a chance "to cros examine the witness ?

THE FIRST WITNESS WAS Raymond H. Batey, 4930 state to cross-examine first Marion dr., an employe of a end the defense to question life insurance company, who the witness later. furor.

He was excused after only wo questions from the judge. Batey testified that he had read or heard material con-, of venue and that the defense cerning the Shaw case. He has the opportunity to fully was then asked by Judge Hag- cross-examine each witness. gerty, "Have you formed an opinion?"

William C. Byrnes Jr., 4467

and could give Shaw a fair

AS WAS THE CASE yesterday, each juror today was first questioned by the judge, then cross-examined by attorneys for Shaw and the state.

Byrnes was asked by Judge Haggerty. "If you were se-lected for a juror, do you think you could give Clay L. Shaw a fair and impartial trial?"

"I do." was the reply.

Dymond attempted to get
Byrnes to testily that, although he might not have a fixed opinion on the case at this time, he did have some ideas or impressions about the case because of the publicity connected with it.

Byrnes testified that, although he might have some impressions, he had made no decision in connection with the case.

FINALLY, ALCOCK asked Byrnes, "You have no opintions to the guilt or innocence of Mr. Shaw?"

"That is correct," said Byrnes.

Prior to the first witness taking the stand this morning, Dymond sought to have the procedure for questioning changed.

All day yesterday, the judge questioned the witnesses, then the defense cross-examined.

Dymond today wanted the

JUDGE HAGGERTY denied the motion, contending that the burden is on the defense to prove the need for a change

Dymond took a bill of ex-ception to the ruling.
At the start of the second

day of hearings, Judge Hag-gerty told the jury venire, Russo's veracity but the judge Spain, a printer who served which had been essembled in dopped it, contending the as a criminal court juror last This is missers. mily relevant point is wheth-vear, testified that he has not this morning and 28 more this shew a lair trial afternoon,

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

> PAGE STATES-ITEM neworleans. I

3-19-68 Edition: RED FLASH Author: GEORGE W. HEALY Title: ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN F KENNEDY PALLAS, 11-22-63

Classification: 89-Submitting Office: N.O. LA.

Being Intestigated

The next witness was Sam A. Ganci. 2423 Hyman pl., Algiers. He said in response to Judge Haggerty's question-ing that although he had heard about the case, he had

UNDER QUESTIONING by defense attorney William Wegmann, he said he had read about the case in the newspapers and had seen Garrion on television, including the Johnny Carson Show, and part of a documentary However, he said he hadn't read any magazine articles or books concerning the case.

He said he did not read or

no fixed opinion as to Shaw's

guilt or innocence.

watch television reports about the preliminary hearing of Chaw. . .

haw. Asked whether he had any opinion or impression about the case, he replied, "None whatsoever."

Following Canci's testimony. Conrad — McCartney, 4910
Berkley, Algiers, told Judge
Haggerty that, although he
had read newspapers and had seen television programs concerning the case, he had no opinion about it and could render an impartial verdict.

IN RESPONSE TO a quesfirn by Dymond as to whether he had some impression or pinion from discussions and reading about the case, he replied, "I do not have an opinion but I recognize that anyone reading anything could have a subconscious opinion."

McCariney told Alcock that he had no conscious opinion and that he could be an impartial juror.

Warren Smith, Negro, 4140 l'aris ave., told Judge Haggerto that he had a fixed opinion Lout the case. Judge Hagerty phrased a question about having a fixed opinion differetly and Smith replied, "Icouldn't give a fair trial because I have too many thoughts on the subject."

in response to another qui flon, he said that he had read

हर्ने seen too much concerning. Shaw's upcoming trial.

HAGGERTY ASKED, "You partial juror and judge this wase like you would any other."

"Your honor," Smith said, "this case is extraordinary. It's been in the news and that would interfere with my constructive thinking on the matter."

"You're excused," Judge Haggerty said.

Under questioning by Judge Haggerty, Frederick F. Hot-stream, 7118 Perrier, said that he had no impression on the case and could be a fair juror. He said that he had read about the case in the newspas pers, watched it on television and had read the Playboy magazine issue with a Garrison interview but had skipped that article.

Under questioning by Dy mond, Hotstream said that h had an opinion but that it could be changed by evidence.

Alcock asked him, "Wouldn't you just try the case on the facts?

"Right." "You haven't heard enough facts to try the case, isn't that right?" Alcock asked.

"Right." He was excused.

Louis T. Aites, 2025 Congress, who said he has lived in New Orleans for 12 years and served on a jury last week, testified that he could give Shaw a fair trial, if chosen as a juror.

ON CROSS-EXAMINATION, Altes testified that he had read none of the books nor seen any of the special television programs concerning the case.

As with other witnesses, Dymond attempted to establish the difference between a fixed opinion and a potential juror having an impression or an opinion that could be changed by testimony.

When Aites insisted that he would have to hear the evidence before he could make a decision in the case, Dymond asked him about a personal opinion right now.

come by the evidence?" asked Dymond.

"Yes, I would say so," the witness replied.

ALCOCK THEN asked Altes, "You have no opinion one way or another as to the guilt or innocence of Mr. Shaw, isn't that right?"

"No, I don't," said the wilness.

The next kitness was Albert J. Anderson, 3300 Tra-falgar, who also testified that he could give Shaw a fair trial.

Dymond asked Anderson if he believed the testimony of Perry Raymond Russo, a star witness in the preliminary hearing on Clay-Shaw.

ANDERSON SAID he had an opinion about Russo's testimony but changed it. "What changed your opin-

ion?" asked Dymond.

"Well, my son went to school with this boy . . . "

AT THIS POINT, Haggerty stopped the questioning, con-tending that the only thing that mattered in the change of venue hearing is whether the witness can testify that he could give Shaw a fair trial.

After some legal argument, Dymond asked the witness, "Do you have an opinion either way as to whether Perry Russo was telling the truth?"
"No," was the reply.

AFTER SOME MORE ques-tions, Dymond noted, "Your testimony changes from an opinion on Perry Raymond Russo to no opinion at all."

Wilburn L. Abel, 1440 Chartres, an engineering company supervisor, was dismissed by the judge after he testified that he had a definite opinion as to the guilt or innocence of Shaw.

The next witness was Norman P. Baquie, \$36 Andry, a bank clerk, who said he had served as a juror. Baquie testified that he had no fixed opinion as to the guilt or inno-cence of Shaw and felt that he could give the defendant a fair mil.

Pression that could be over ion on the veracity of testimony by Perry Russo.

ALCOCK OBJECTED when Dymond asked Baquie if he had an opinion as to the truthfulness of Russo's testimony.

Dymond jumped up, con-tending that the state's case in the preliminary hearing was "based 90 per cent on Perry Raymond Russo's testimony."

Judge Haggeriy countered,
"you can't make this state-

ment ... " Dymond contended "He was the only witness that testified concerning an alleged conspiracy meeting involving Shaw."

Judge Haggerty sustained Alcock's objection.

Donald S. Miller, 1230 Har-mony, told Judge Haggerty that he had a fixed opinion about the case and did not feel he could serve as a juror.

AFTER THE JUDGE ex-

cused Miller, Paul E. Bass, Negro, took the stand and said that he had no opinion and could refider a fair and impartial verdict based on evidence.

Under questioning by Dymond, he said, "I have heard so much, read so much, I

can't make up my mind." Bass said, "I just don't know what to think about it" and that he could "go into it with an open mind."

Following his testimony, Judge Haggerty generated the court for lunch.