and the trial that could give Clay L. Shaw a may be filed. fair trial. By early afternoon, 10 had said yes, three had

stand the question.

gerty Jr. on a motion by Schuber Jr., '39 Warbler; Shaw's attorneys to have his Carlos H. Kirby Sr., 136 Dantrial moved 100 miles outside New Orleans on grounds that, my dr.; Ernest J. Talbot, 3220 made it impossible for him to get a fair trial here.

SHAW, A SS-YEAR-OLD retired businessman, is charged with conspiracy in the assassi-

Judge Haggerty plans to bill of exceptions, call 80 members of the jury Those in this category intenire by Wednesday in an cluded: effort to settle the change of John

not held until April or May. cused him.

CITING RECENT U.S. Suegan parading to the witness mann said conditions may stand to tell whether they change so much between now and the actual beginning of the trial that a new motion

morning and early afternoon that they could give Shaw a said no and one didn't under fair trial included:

the question.

The testimony came at a Loyola; Pasquala Polumbo, Learing before Criminal Dis-2561 Madrid; Guy C. Harris, Richtsinge Edward A. Hag-6229 Caldwell dr.; Fred L. Warbler; Warbler; Warbler; Marshall McKinley,

widespread publicity has Plymouth; August Parker Sr., 2040 Caffin; James P. Cooney, 516 Soniat; Hamilton Neal, 8437 Apricot, and Richard P. Mansfield, 1469 Aphis.

THOSE WHO SAID they nation of President John F. had already formed an opin-Kennedy. District Attorney ion were excused by Judge Jim Garrison charges Shaw Haggerty. Neither the state plotted the Dallas slaying nor the defense was allowed here with Lee Harvey Oswald to question them. The defense and David William Ferrie. : objected to this and filed a

John A. Terranova, 6700 renue question. But a Shaw Dorian; Rudolph McCormick, aftorney said today the whole 3433 Benefit, and Oliver I. hearing may be irrelevant. Williams, 4624 Rhodes dr. The attorney, William Weg-

mann, said a new change of ry, 2707½ First, took the senue motion could be filed stand and was questioned, before the trial gets under but Judge Haggerty decided way and today's hearing will be did not understand the le-ter inclevant if the trial is got questions involved and ex-

Williams, the first panel members today preme Court decisions, Weg- called, was asked whether he could give Shaw a fair trial and said he could. But in response to another question, he said he believes Garrison's : theories" on the case.

Those testifying during the morning and early afternoon that they could give Shaw a that they could give Shaw a tioning was handled by Assistant DA James L. Alcock.

Shaw was in court with his battery of attorneys.

Judge Haggerty plans to question 80 jury venire members in all, 10 from each section of Criminal District Court. The defense had asked that all 1,300 persons listed in the jury wheel be questioned but this was rejected by the judge.

THE JUDGE HOPES to finish this process by Wednes-day. Then both the defense and the state have the right to call more witnesses if they wish.

If the change of venue is denied, the trial could get under way before Judge Hag-gerty in April or May, when a new jury venire is available. The same prospective jurors cannot take part in both the hearing and the trial.

Members of the jury venire referred to Judge Haggerty's court by his fellow judges began lining up outside of the courtroom long before 10 P. m. . .

EACH INDIVIDUAL WAS

PAGE SECTION. 1

TIMES PICAYUN

NEW ORLEANS.

3-18-68 Date: Edition: FINAL Authora EditoGEORGE W. HEALY Title: ASSASSINATION C JOIN F

aractet **AFO**

Classification: 89-Submitting Office: N.O., LA.

Being Intestigated

THE PERSON OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF

6-1 104060-6: 70

checked off on a list at a The judge said, "Press infortable outside of the count mation is unsworn, tuncount room, then allowed to go in firmed and uncontradicted." side.

entered and sat in the back presented . . ."

Shaw and his attorneys entered the court about 10:10 and Judge Haggerty, who already was on the bench, started proceedings.

"I wish to thank my brothcı judges for cooperating in selecting 10 jurors for this court," said Haggerty.

HE TOLD THOSE subpensed that they were not called as jurors in the trial of Clay Shaw Rather, said the judge, they were there for the sole purpose of determining whether Shaw could get a fair trial in New Orleans.

"You will be questioned by myself and by the counsel for the defense and for the state," said the judge.

He said the only question

"Would you be able to give Clay L. Shaw a fair and inf-partal trial?"

He said the case is based only on the bill of information from the Orleans Parish grand jury.

"WE ARE NOT TRYING the Warren Commission. We are not trying the CIA. We

are not trying the FBI."

The judge then read the bill of information which states in part that Clay L. Shaw "wilfully conspired" to murder the late President John F. Kennedy.

He said the bill was handed down March 22, 1967.

"I would assume you have read, heard or seen something about this case involving Clay L. Shaw," said Haggerly to the panel members. He pointed out however that in a jury trial the prosecution is required to prove guilt "beyond a reasonable doubt."

He told the venire that information that appears in the press may be true but may be irrelevant."

"What we are trying to find Newsmen were kept out of out is whether if you were the court until members of selected, could you put out of the jury venire subpeaned your mind what you have had been seated. heard, seen and read and Then only a few reporters make the decision on what is

HE THEN OUTLINED .

plan for the questioning of the venire members which called for 30 persons to appear today. 30 more tomorrow and 20 on Wednesday.

Alcock then asked to approach the bench and after a conference involving the judge and defense attorneys, Hag-gerty announced that he would approve an alternate plan that calls for 40 witnesses to be called today and 40 tomor-ISA-

He also said there would be a request for sequestration of witnesses.

William Wegmann, one of the attorneys for Shaw, told the judge, "I would like the the judge, "I would like the court to instruct the witnesses what sequestration means."

HE POINTED OUT that the press will report the proceed ings and ask Judge Haggerty to instruct the witnesses not to read the press reports.

Haggerty agreed, commenting, "I often wonder what good sequestration is when the witness can read it in the paper."

Nonetheless, Haggerty in-structed the witnesses not to read about the case or watch TV reports of it.

The court crier then began calling the names of witnesses. who were to be questioned to-day. The rest were to be released until tomorrow.

From Sec. A: Warren L. Carragan, 908 Belleville; Lloyd A. Caruso, 1707 Richland rd.; Cooney; Harold R. Johnson, 147 28th st.; Newman T. Johnson, 3923 Annunciation; George J. Kehoe, 1421 S. Peters, Victory Victor, 1421 S. Peters, Victory Victor, 1421 S. Peters, 1421 S ters; Kirby; Mansfield; Mc-Cormick; James A. McLaughlin, 2925 Eads; Schuber.

rad E. McCarthy, 4910 Berk- benko, 1226 Camp; Leon L. Jy dr.: Barry: Bernard

St. Roch; Joseph V. Waddell Jr., 2016 Lapeyrouse; Walter E. Keys Jr., 6061 Gen. Diaz; Avie Richard, 407 S. Broad.

Section C: Wilburn L. Abel, 1440 Chartres: Willie Samuel, 3519 Eagle; Lucien Roy Austin Jr., 3129 Frenchmen; Rudolph A. McLeod, 5029 Columbia; Joseph Andrew Massey, 4726 Ramsey dr.; McKinley; Parker: Henry Roland Cocreham Jr., 223 22nd st.; Edou-ard Menard Ferrier, 1210 Calhoun: Talbot.

Section D: Louis Thomas Aites, 2025 Congress; Louis P. Dewenter Sr., 5322 Magazine; Walter Feltman, 4101 Norman Mayer; Lawrence Joseph McAillster, 1202 Louisa; Harry Joslin O'Neill, 5617 Marshal Foch; Joseph Danfel Hauer, on Seventh st.; William Hall, 841 Burgundy; Harliam Hall, 841 Burgundy; Annalysis; Thomas Harvey, 2115
Peniston; Paul Irby Joffrion, Attorney William Wegmann 6103 Laurel; George Sartis, objected, saying that; the wile-3210 Somerset dr.

Section E: Emmiett Hagan, 1334 Flood; Willie M. Harrison, 508 Socrates; Herbert J. son, 306 Socrates; heroert J.
Meyers Sr., 2719 St. Peter;
Charles J. McClelland, 5340
Merigny; Edward W. Fortner, 5011 Piety; Jack Klutz,
223 Hidalgo; Nicholas J. Calico, 823 Franklin; John J. Meyer, 110 Sherwood Forest dr.; Norman P. Baquie, 936 Andry; Charles J. Nait, 1125 Southlawn blvd.

Section F: Douglas Allen, 6406 N. Tonti; Felix Anthony De Genova, 5075 DeBore Circle; Sam Anthony Ganci, 2423 Hyman pl.; David J. Meilleur, 2218 Bartholomew; Pe-ter Joseph Miller, 4738 Maid ay. The rest were to be reased until tomorrow.

Those called included: bon; Warren Smith, 4140 Parom Sec. A: Warren L. Carris; James Taplin, 807 Harmony.

Section G: (No addresses given.) Daniel J. Granier, James Edward Faull, Frederick Hotstream, Warren G. Aicklen Jr., Paul E. Bass, Salvadore J. Uli, William C. Byrnes Jr., Harold Anthony Bourgeois Jr., Milan King Yager, Joseph M. Impastata.

Farr, 5322 Pasteur blvd.; no- Landry, 717 Leontine; Ray-land J. Ayo, 5010 S. Claiborne, mond H. Batey, 4930 Marion Api. A; Henry L. Diebel, 1319 dr.; Terranova; Anthony J. Dante; Hugh J. Farnet, 4485 Bartlett, 4005 Dergado; Mur-St. Roch; Joseph V. Waddell phy George Sanchez Sr., 4525 St. Anthony; R. L. Williams, 5627 Pratt dr.; John G. Schoen, 7331 Beryl; Byron L. Kane, 3611 Herald; Albert J.

Anderson 2300 Trainigar.

After the 40 names had been called, the second 40 were dismissed until tomorrow morning. Of those called, 32 were excused until 1 p. m.

The first witness to be called was McKinley, 70, a Negro. McKinley was asked by Judge Haggerty if he had read anything about the charge against Shaw.

McKinley said, "I've read about it."

Judge . Hangerty then asked, "Have you formed a fixed opinion in your mind as to whether Mr. Clay L. Shaw can get a fair trial?" BEFORE M'KINLEY could

judge was influencing the witness's testimony by the way the question was put.

Wegmann said that whether this man says he has a fixed opinion is not relevant.

Wegmann, citing a number of U.S. Supreme Court deal sions, said that the fact that for can get a jury now is not relevant. He said that in a number of cases it had been shown prospective jurors had testified that they could give an impartial hearing when, in fact, they could not.

He also said the fact that the court might be able to ge t a jury now is not relevant because conditions could change before the case actually comes to trial.

Wegmann said it could be necessary, if the trial is held of until May or April, to file a new change of venue motion later (under the isw, the defense has until two days before the trial to file a change of venue motion. If the current change of venue motion is overruled, the defense still cal file another one until two days of the trial date.)

The judge overruled the objection and said he was going to proceed and wegmann took a bill of exceptions. be a juror, could you give; a fair trial to Mr. Shaw?"

McKinley answered, "I

could."

"Do you understand that the trial must be based on what happens in court?" the judge asked.

McKinley answered, "Yes."
Under questioning, McKinley said he is retired, that he has been on a jury panel but never has served on a jury.

The judge then told the defense they could examine Mc-Kinley and Wegmann said, "These are not our witnesses . . . I don't know why we should question the witnesses hefore the state."

THE JUDGE ORDERED the defense to question the witness first and Wegmann took a bill of exceptions.

F. Irvin Dymond, chief defense attorney, then proceeded to ask McKinley if he were a native of New Orleans.

Thater questioning by Dy-mond, McKinley said he had been in the city since he was 10 years old, that he can read and write, that he listens to television news "most every night," that he never has read a book called, "Rush to Judgment" or seen a movie by that title and that he sometimes reads old magazines but subscribes to 100

ASKED IF HE subscribes to local newspapers, he said, "Yes, I get The Picayune every morning."

Asked if he reads the pa-per, McKinley replied, "If anything interests me, I read it . . . I don't read every-thing."

Dymond then asked McKinley if he had formed any opinion as to whether Lee Harvey Oswald acted alone in the shooting of President Kennedy.

At this point Alcock objected and Haggerty sustained him.

- DYMOND ASKED THE judge, "Aren't you even going to hear my argument?"

The judge said for him to

THE JUDGE ASKED MeKinney, and you were called to
has made up his mind that
has made up his mind that -conspiracy existed then the state will not have to prove to him that part of its case.

The judge said that he was not interested in any Dallas events, only in a New Orleans conspiracy, and he continued to sustain the objection. Dymond took a bill of exceptions

Dymond then asked McKinley, "Do you have any fixed opinion as to whether there was a conspiracy between Da-

miled by Haggerty and Dy-mond was allowed to finish his question.
"... and any other per-

"I HAVE NO OPINION about that at all," said Mc-kinley. "I don't believe be did it by himself."

Q. "Have you ever heard Mr. Garrison speak on TV concerning Clay Shaw?"

A. "Yes, I've heard him." At this point Alcock objected to the line of questioning but William Wegmann contended that there was no time limit on the cross-examination of a witness, that "We're able to pursue the questioning all day"
"YOU'RE NOT ALLOWED

to pursue it if it is irrelewant and that is my objection," countered Alcock.

Dymond then asked the question again.

"Have you ever heard Mr. Garrison talking on TV concerning Clay Shaw . . ."

A. "A couple of times I heard him. I didn't even remember what he said now."

Q. "You do believe at this case beyond a reasonable time Garrison's theories on doubt?"

the Clay Shaw case?"

A. "I could."

time Garrison's theories on the Clay Shaw case?"

A. "Yeah."

Q. "And you would have to be convinced he is wrong?"

A. "I would have to be con-vinced."

ALCOCK THEN TOOK up the cross-examination of Mc-Kinley. He asked McKinley if he could give Shaw a fair trial

-A. "I think he would ge

a fair trial."

Asked if he would pass judg- Ramparts Magazine or the ment on Shaw, McKinley said "No, I couldn't but I think be

could get a fair trial. I couldn't judge him."

Q. "If the court failed to carry the burden of proof, you wouldn't convict him, would you?"

A. "I couldn't."
Q. "Do you have an opinion that Clay Shaw is guilty?"
A. "I couldn't say that."

THE NEXT WITNESS WAS Polumbo, a \$7-year-old native of Brooklyn, N. Y., who has lived in New Orleans aince 1945. He answered in the affirmative when Haggerty asked if he had read and discussed the trial and if he had been a juror in-a criminal case.

"Having served on juries, I am sure you have been in-structed that the burden of proof is on the state," said Haggerty.

A "Yes sir."
Q. "Tell us whether you have fallen into a fixed opinion as to the guilt or inno-cence of Clay L. Shaw . . . " A "No."

DYMOND THEN OBJECT-ED to the word "fixed" in the question and Haggerty then rephrased the question.

O. "Have you formed any opinion fixed or not on the

opinion fixed or not on the guilt or innocence of Clay L. Shaw?"

A. "No, air."

Q. "If you were called as a prospective juror, would you try the case like any other case?"

A "Ves air"

A. "Yes, sir."
Q. "Could you give . . . a
fair and impartial trial and require the state to prove its

WEGMANN THEN TOOK OVER the questioning, ask-ing Polumbo if he had read stories in connection with the charges against Shaw. "You couldn't miss it," said

Polumbo then answered no as to whether he had read a Garrison story in Players

Polumbo testified that he had not seen the movie or attended a lecture by Mark Lane, author of the book.

Dymond asked Polumbo if "as an individual you have an opinion" concerning the

A. "Yes, sir."
Q. "You as an individual have an opinion as to whether Clay Shaw conspired with David Ferrie and Lee Harvey Oswald to assassinate the president?"

A. "I have no such spin-ion."

After further questioning, Polumbo told Dymond that he had no opinion in the case.

THE NEXT WITNESS WAS Harris. He said he had served as a juror more than 10 times. Judge Haggerty asked Harris if he had "formed an opinion as to the guilt or in-nocence of Clay L. Shaw."
"No," was the reply.
Q:"If you were to be called

on the trial of Mr. Ciay L Shaw could you give a fair end impartial trial?"

A. "I believe so, yes. Just as I believe I've done in the past."

Dymond then took over the questioning.

Q. "Do you watch TV news broadcasts?"

A. "Yes."
Q. "Have you heard Mr. Garrison speak on the sub-

ject on TV?"

A. "Yes, I have."

Q. "Have you heard Mark Lane . .?'

Q. "Mort Sahl?"

A. "I'm completely unfami-

liar ..."
Q. "Did you read the article on Clay Shaw in Playboy Magazine?"

A. "No, I didn't."
Q. "Has the publicity . . . affected your thinking in any way?"

"A" "I don' think so Mr. Dymond. I don't feel so."

HE WAS THEN asked by Dymond if he as an individto questions from Wegmann wal, not as a potential juror. had an opinion in the case.

A. "As an individual right Magazine, a Garrison story in now, I don't think I have any

inion as to the validity . . I haven't given it any thought myself. I con't anticipate any bridges until I get there."

Q. "Is there any particular opinion you would have to get rid of . . ."

A. "I believe I would have to have some facts of which I have none. I've been on juries before."

He was then asled if any of the publicity on the case had made any impression. Harris concluded that, "Yes, it affects you" but that the juror should be able to put it

aside.
Q. "You would be hopeful he able to that you would be able to cast it aside?"

A. "Yes."
Q. "But you edmit that you

do have an opinion?"

A. "Yes, I don't know how much in depth . . ."

Q. "You believe you could overcome any opinion?" A. "Yes."

ALCOCK THEN ASKED Harris if he as a juror would require the state to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

"Yes, sir," was the reply. Schuber, the next witness, also testified that he could give Shaw a fair trial. He told Judge Haggerty that be had served on juries twice in thic

past. From what you have seen and read do you have any opinion or impression on the guilt or innocence of Clay Shaw?"

A. "No, sir."

Judge Haggerty: If you were to be called as a juror, could you, irrespective of what you have read, heard or seen, give this defendant

a fair trial? Schuber: "Yes." Judge Haggerty then turned the witness over to the de-

fense for questioning. Dymond: "Did you read about the case in The Times-Picayune and the New Or-

leans States-Item?" Schuber: "Yes." Dymond: "Do you read Ramparis magazine?"

Schuber: "No, I have seen it but I do not read it."

Dymond: "Did you read a

Nok. called, "Rush to Judg-

Schuber: "No." Dymond: "Did you set uis" movie?"

Schuber: "No."

Dymond: "Would you say you have been unimpressed or have you formed an opinion?"

Schuber: "I have formed no opinion as to the guilt or innocence at this time.

There then followed an argument between Dymond and Alcock regarding the difference between court opinion and court expression.

Alcock charged that the defense had been using the two words interchangeably. Al-cock charged that the two are very different in meaning. Judge Haggerty interjected that anyone who has reached the "age of reason" can form an opinion.

-ANYONE WHO HAS reached the age of reason," asserted Haggerty, "and who can read forms an impression of what he has read.

Haggerty said that the first impression doesn't remain a lasting impression.

Dymond denied that the defense had used the two words, opinion and impression, interchangeably as Alcock stated.

On the contrary, he said that the defense had used the words discriminately.

The fifth witness called to the stand was Terranova, who said that he is a native of New Orleans, is 43 years old, is employed in the shipping department of American Can Co. and has served on one jury.

TERRANOVA SAID that he has formed "somewhat of an opinion."

Under questioning by Alcock, he said he would require the state to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

The sixth witness called was Barry, who said he is a re-tired former Veterans' Administration employe, a native of St. Charles Parish and that he had not served on a jury before.

Judge Haggerty asked him some of the same questions he had asked other witnesses and, because Barry apparently did not understand the nature of the questions, he was

excused without giving the defense or the state an oppertunity to question him.

AFTER TWO MORE witnesses testified, in general,

that they felt they could give Today, the association fig-Shaw a fair trial, the court ures that Garrison owes each recessed for lunch

OVER THE weekend, the convention of the National District Attorneys Association here came to an abrupt end as Garrison canceled the group's badquet. It had been schedulad for Saturday night.

Garrison, who was chairman of local arrangements for the convention, canceled the dinner in a huff when the group told him he could not use the banquet as a public forum for his Kennedy assas-

sination probe.

GARRISON WAS TO have been the principal speaker at the banquet. Association president William-J. Raggio of Reno, Nev., said his group, reluctant to be drawn into the Garrison probe in any way, asked him not to make the banquet speech after learning that he planned a sharp at tack on federal courts.

Last week, Garrison ad-dressed the group at a regular session and attacked federal district court judges here for their decision to enjoin his office from subpenaing Life magazine reporter David L. Chandler to testify before the Orleans Parish Grand Jury.

Chandler's attorney filed a motion asking that federal district court cite Garrison for contempt. A hearing on this motion is scheduled for Wednesday.

ALLEGEDLY, GARRISON. wanted to question Chandler in connection with an organized crime probe. But, in his speech to the DAs, Garrison said the failure to get Chandler was another attempt by the federal government to

block his assassination probe. Over the weekend, Garrison accused the DAs association of trying to census the planned remarks.

Raggio said, "His actions In the first place, it wasn't his banquet. The banquet was one of the things covered by the \$50 registration fee paid by each delegate."

delegate about \$8, the price per person for the panquet.