FEIGHT & BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE COMMUNICATION SECTION MAR 1 9 1968

TELETYPE

FBI NEW ORLS

FBI WASH DC

Mr. Dyofp Mr. Calper Mr. Callahan Mr. Callahan Mr. Callahan Mr. Felt Mr. Felt Mr. Sullivan Mr. Sullivan Mr. Tavel Mr. Trotter Tele. Room Miss Holmes Miss Gandy Miss Gandy

910PM 3/19/68 URGENT WHD

TO: DIRECTOR (62-109060) AND DALLAS (89-43)

FROM: NEW ORLEANS (89-69)

ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN FITZGERALD KENNEDY,

DALLAS, TEXAS, NOV. TWENTYTWO, SIXTYTHREE, MISCELLANEOUS INFO CONCERNING, OO: DALLAS.

THE RED FLASH EDITION OF THE NEW ORLEANS STATES-ITEM

FOR MARCH NINETEEN INSTANT CONTAINS A FRONT PAGE ARTICLE:
WHICH STATES THAT A WIDE MAJORITY OF JURY PANEL MEMBERS

TESTIFYING INSTANT DATE WERE STATING THAT THEY

COULD DIVE CLAY L. SHAW A FAIR TRIAL ON CHARGES OF

CONSPIRING TO KILL PRESIDENT JOHN FITZGERALD KENNEDY.

THE ARTICLE NOTED THAT TWELVE MORE OF THE PANELISTS

TESTIFIED INSTANT DATE BEFORE CRIMINAL DISTRICT JUDGE

EDWARD A. HAGGERTY, JR. WHO IS HOLDING A HEARING ON A

DEFENSE MOTION TO MOVE SHAW'S TRIAL AT LEAST ONE HUNDREDD MAR 21 1968

MILES OUTSIDE NEW ORLEANS ON GROUNDS THAT WIDESPREAD

PUBLICITY HAS MADE IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR THE DEFENDENT TO GET

A FAIR TRIAL IN NEW ORLEANS.

END PAGE ONE

MR. DELGACH FUR THE DIRECTOR

5.4 MAR 2 8 1968 3

PAGE TWO

OUT OF THE TOTAL OF TWELVE PANELISTS WHO HAD TESTIFIED INSTANT DATE, EIGHT SAID THEY COULD REMDER AN IMPARTIAL VERDICT AND FOUR ADVISED THEY COULD NOT AND THESE WERE IMMEDIATELY EXCUSED BY HAGGERTY.

FAR, INCLUDING THE TWELVE WHO TESTIFIED INSTANT-DATE AND OF THIS TOTAL FORTYTWO HAVE TESTIFIED THAT THEY COULD GIVE CLAY SHAW A FAIR TRIAL. NINE TESTIFIED THEY COULD NOT AND ONE REMAINED UNDECIDED.

THE ARTICLE NOTED THAT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE HEARING PROCEDURE OF THE PREVIOUS DAY, EACH JUROR WAS FIRST QUESTIONED BY THE JUDGE THEN CROSS EXAMINED BY ATTORNEYS FOR THAW AND THE STATE. PRIOR TO THE FIRST WITNESS TAKING THE STAND INSTANT DATE, DEFENSE ATTORNEY IRVIN F. DYMOND SOUGHT TO HAVE THE PROCEDURE FOR QUESTIONING CHANGED WHEREBY THE STATE WOULD CROSS EXAMINE FIRST AND THEN THE DEFENSE. HAGGERTY DENIED DYMOND'S MOTION STATING THAT THE BURDEN END PAGE TWO

PAGE THREE

IS ON THE DEFENSE TO PROVE THE NEED FOR A CHANGE OF VENUE AND THAT THE DEFENSE HAS THE OPPORTUNITY TO FULLY CROSS EXAMINE EACH WITNESS. DYMOND TOOK A BILL OF EXCEPTION

TO THIS RULE.

Memo

NO LHM BEING SUBMITTED.

END

SVW

FBI WASH DC