

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

Shaw Bids for Evidence to Prove Fair Trial Risk

Asks DA Be Ordered to Produce Documents

Attorneys for Clay L. Shaw Thursday requested a subpoena ordering District Attorney Jim Garrison to produce certain documents to support the contention that Shaw cannot get a fair trial in New Orleans.

The request was contained in one of seven motions for material which defense attorneys hope to use in a Criminal District Court hearing on a motion for a change of venue.

Also requested were subpoenas for all stories by The Times-Picayune and New Orleans States-Item on Shaw and Garrison's Kennedy death probe since Shaw's arrest one year ago.

Similarly, the defense requested tapes of all material broadcast about Shaw and the investigation by three local television stations and a local radio station.

The defense also sought copies of interviews and speeches by Perry Raymond Russo, a key state witness in having Shaw held over for trial and indicted last year.

DECISION FRIDAY

Attorney Salvatore Panzeca filed the seven motions with Judge Edward A. Haggerty Jr., Thursday afternoon. Judge Haggerty said he would decide on the requests Friday.

They followed closely the filing of subpoenas for personal appearances by 20 persons, including Garrison, to testify at the hearing on the change of venue motion.

The hearing is scheduled for Tuesday in Judge Haggerty's courtroom.

Some of the material the defense wants Garrison to produce in court concerns a magazine article which quoted Garrison as saying, "There is

no way that Clay Shaw can get an acquittal."

The defense wants copies of "all correspondence" with Gerald Footlicke, the author of the article, which the defense says appeared in the National Observer in January.

Also requested was the original or copies of a letter in which Garrison supposedly commented on the film "Rush to Judgment."

The defense claimed that the letter was reproduced in the New York Times as an advertisement for the film.

LARGE PART

An attached copy of the advertisement shows a letter signed by Jim Garrison. The letter says that Rush to Judgment "played a large part in convincing me to begin the investigation of the conspiracy which led to the assassination of President Kennedy."

The defense also requests of Garrison:

-Copies of all press releases and public statements and speeches made by him or members of his staff concerning Shaw or the assassination probe.

-Copies of all subpoenas issued by the DA's office for the Grand Jury in connection with the assassination investigation.

-A copy of the investigative report dealing with an alleged attempted battery of Jim Hicks.

Hicks, an out-of-state witness who testified before the Grand Jury, claimed he was beaten while staying at the Fontainebleau Motor Hotel here.

The subpoena for Russo would order him to produce copies of all speeches and interviews made by him since Feb. 24, 1967.

"More particularly," requested, the defense motion states, are speeches made at Tulane University and at a YMBC meeting in Baton Rouge.

The other motions requested material from:

-The Times-Picayune and New Orleans States-Item, 3800 Howard ave., through George W. Healy Jr., executive editor.

-WVUE-TV, 1418 Cleveland ave., through Alec Gifford, news director.

-WWL-TV, 1024 N. Rampart, through Michael Early.

-WWL-Radio, Roosevelt Hotel, through Bill Dean.

-WDSU-TV, 520 Royal, through A. Louis Read.

LISTS 20 NAMES

Also Thursday the names of the 20 witnesses subpoenaed by the defense for Tuesday's hearing were released:

They are:
District Attorney Jim Garrison.

William Gurvich, 610 Poydras, a former aide to Garrison who broke with him claiming the charges against Shaw were false.

Perry Raymond Russo, 4122 Prytania, who testified he heard Shaw plot the assassination with Lee Harvey Oswald and David William Ferrie, both now dead.

Mark Lane, 617 Dauphine, attorney and author of a book critical of the Warren Commission Report. He has been associated with Garrison in the assassination probe.

Mort Sahl, whose address was listed as the DA's office. A night club entertainer, he has been associated with the case in recent months.

Harry Kelleher, National Bank of Commerce Building, an attorney who had no previous association with the case.

W. Ford Reese, 5830 Pitt, an attorney with no previous association with the case.

Ed Devenport, WWL-Radio, a newsman.

Ed Planer, WDSU-Television, a news executive.

George W. Healy Jr., executive editor of The Times-Picayune and the New Orleans States-Item.

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

PAGE 1

SECTION 1

TIMES PICAYUNE

NEW ORLEANS,

Date: 3-1-68

Edition:

Author:

Editor: GEORGE W. HEALY

Title: ASSASSINATION OF

PRESIDENT JOHN F.

KENNEDY DALLAS, TX

11-22-63

Character: AFO

or Classification: 89-

Submitting Office: N.O., LA

Being Investigated

62-104000-6231

Ross Yockey, WVUE-TV, a director.
Maurice I. Rouga, 1930 Chef
Hoke S. May, a States-Item Mentour hwy., Louisiana News
newsmen. Inc.
James L. Alcock, an assistant Alec Gifford, WVUE-TV, a
district attorney. newsman.
Richard V. Burnes, an assist- Harold Lidin, 2945 Beck, for-
ant district attorney. merly with WVUE-TV.
William Reed, WWL-TV, news- Luis Ivon, an investigator for
Garrison.

Robert Jones, WWL-TV, a
newsman.

FORBIDS WITNESSES

After the names were re-
leased Judge Haggerty issued
a statement in which he forbade
the witnesses from giving any
interviews about forthcoming
testimony.

The statement follows:

"The list of witnesses sub-
penaed by the defense in the
forthcoming hearing set for
March 3, 1968, on the question
of a change of venue has been
submitted to the clerk of court
and is a public record and is
therefore, open to the public.

"I specifically am calling at-
tention to the previous orders
of the court where I have order-
ed that witnesses and all law-
yers are forbidden from making
any extra-judicial statements of
any kind about the case. These
20 persons have been officially
listed and subpoenaed, and I ex-
pressly forbid them giving any
interviews concerning their
forthcoming testimony to any
news media, whatsoever.

"I further forbid all attor-
neys for both sides from giving
any resume or synopsis of what
they hope or expect to prove
with any or all witnesses.

"The proper forum for deter-
mination of the legal questions
of whether a change of venue
should or should not be granted
is in open court, and nowhere
else."