PLAYBOY INTERVIEW: JIM GAKRISON

a candid conversation with the embattled district attorney of new orleans

On February 17, 1967, the New Orleans States liem broke a story that would electrify the would-and hurl district attorney Jim Garrison into a bitter fight for his political life. An enterpriving reporter, checking vouchers filed with the city by the district attorney's office, discovered that Garrison had spent over \$8000 investigating the assassina-tion of President Kennedy. "Has the district attorney discovered valuable additional evidence." the State-Item asked Alitorially, "or is he merely saving some interesting new information that will gain for him exposure in a national magazine?" Mung. Garrison counterattacked, confirming that an inquiry into Kennedy's association was under way and charging that the States Item's "irresponsible" revelation "has now created a problem for us in finding witnesses and getting cooperation from other witnesses and in at least one case has endangered the life of a witness."

On February 18, newsmen from all over the world converged on New Orleans to hear Garrison annuncure at a press conference: "We have been investigating the sole of the city of New Orleans in the assassination of President Kennedy, and we have made some progress—I think substantial progress... What's more, there will be avests," As reporters flashed news of Garrison's statement across the world, a 19-year-old New Orleans pilot, David Ferrie, told Grewsmen that the district attorney had him "pegged as the getaway pilot in an elaborate plot to kill Kennedy." Ferrie, a bizarre figure who wore a flaming-red wig, false exchrones and make-up to conceal hurns he had suffered years before, denied any involvement in a conspinacy to kill the President. Garrison, he said, was out to frame him. Four days later, Ferrie was found dead in his shabby three-toom apartment in New Orleans, ostensibly of natural causes-though he left behind two suicide notes.

The piess had greeted Garrison's initial claims about a conspiracy with a measure of Arpticism, but Ferrie's death was front-page news around the world. Genrison booke his self-imposed silence to charge that Ferrie was "a man who, in my judgment, was one of history's most important individuals." According to Garrison, "Mr. Ferrie was one of those individuals I had in mind when I said there would be arrests shortly. We had reached a decision to arrest him early next week. Apparently we waited too long." But Garrison powed that Ferrie's death would not halt his investigation. and added. "My staff and I solved the assassination wreks ago. I wouldn't say this if we didn't have the evidence beyond a shading of a doubt. We know the key individuals, the cities involved and hear it was done."

On March I. Garrison eclipsed even the headlines from his previous press conference by announcing the arrest of Chry Shaw, a wealthy New Orleans businessman and veal-estate developer, on charges of conspiring to assassinate John F. Kennedy. One of New Orleans most prominent citizens, Shaw was a founder and director of the city's prestigious International Trade Mart from 1917 to 1965, when he retired to devote his time to playwriting and restoring historic homes in the old French Quarter. The day after Shaw's arrest, Garrison declared that "Share was none other than Clay Bertrand." the shadowy queen bee of the New Orleans homesexual underworld, who, according to attorney Dean Andrews' testimony before the Warren Commission, called him the day after the assassination and asked him to wish to Dallas to defend Oswald. Shaw heatedly denied his guilt: "I never heard of any plot and I never used any alias in my life." But New Orleans society, which had long counted Show one of its own, was stunned.

On March 14, a panel of three judges heard Garrison's case in a preliminary hearing 'to determine if there was enough evidence against Share to bring him to trial. Perry Raymond Russo, a 23year-old life-insurance salesman from Baton Rouge who had once bern Ferrie's "roommate." Testified that in mid-September of 1963, he had attended a meeting at Ferrie's apartment where Shaw, Lee Harvey Oswald and Ferrie discussed means of assassinating the President in a



"A number of the men who killed the President were former employees of the GLA involved in its anti-Castro underground activities in the New Orleans area.



"President Kennedy was killed for one reason: because he was working for a reconciliation with the U.S.S.R. and Castro's Culia. His assassins were a group of ja-



"To read the press accounts of my investigation. I'm a cross between Al Capone and Attila the Hun—bribing, threatening innocent men. Anybody who employs

.

0

黄

30

R

2

and the second

"triangulation of cross for." (, , , s's scool witness, Vernon Bun 4 29. year-old former naveaties adduct, testified that in the summer of 1963, he saw Shaw pass a sum of money to Lee Harry Oswald on the shore of Lake Pontchastrain. On March 17. after a foun-day hearing. Judges Malcolm V. O'Hara, Bernard J. Bagert and Matthew S. Bianiff ruled there was sufficient evidence to hold Clay Mare for trial. Garrison's hand way further strengthened on March 22. when a 12-member grand jury of prominent New Orleans attrens, empineled to hear Gamison's case, also suled there were sufficient grounds to bring Show to court, Pending trial-schich is scheduled to begin sometime this month-Share was allowed to go free on \$10,000 bail.

The American press remained dubious about Garrison's ability to prose his charges in court, and domestic converge of and commentary on the district attennev's case theseafter way, at best, low-key at scorst, contemptuous. But as Newsweek reported on March 20, "In Europe. where thousands still cling to the comspinary theory in spite of the Marren Commission's conclusion that Lee Harwy Ownld acted alone . . . Garrison and his investigation lower local the stuff of page-one headlines." "I'm encouraged by the support Europe is bringing me." he told a Paris-Match reporter. "Farry day, I service letters and telegrams from all the capitals. For even had six telephone calls from Moscow," One was from Literaturnaya Gazeta, a prestigious Moscone literary magazine, which can an interview with Gamison concluding that these was a conspisacy to kill Kennedy but that Oscald "definitely seasn't the key figure in it."

Garrison also had his supporters in the U. S. Boston's Richard Cardinal Cushing, father-confessor to the Kennedy family. said of the New Orleans probe on March 16: "I think they should follow it through. ... I never believed that the assassingtion was the work of one man." .Ind Representative Roman Pucinski. an Illinois Democrat, said: "I'm surprised more attention hasn't been paid to the ruling that Clay Shaw go on trial for parlicipating in a plot to assassinate President Kennedy. These men't nuts but three judges talking. It's a new ball game," Senator Russell Long of Louisiana also backed up Garrison-an old political ally-contending that he was only doing "what a district attorney should do." And perennial Warsen Report critic Mark Lane (himself a PLAYBOY interviewce last February), whose best-selling "Rush to Judgment" helped persuade Gaussion to launch his investigation, said after a conference with Garrison in New Orleans that the D.A.'s probe would "break the entire case wide open."

If nothing else. Garrison was certainly

poll of May 29 sevenied that on post of the American public nose believed there was a compliant to assissmale Kennedy, and "a major contributor to this swelling doubt is the investigation into the avassination by New Orleans distint attorney Jim Garrison." Excu with public opinion on his side, Garrison way sunning into difficulties on several fronts by early summer. Three witnesses he wished to question about their complicity in the assassination had fled Louisiana, and he was unable to obtain their extindition to New Orleans-a seldomencountered roadblock he credits to the CIA. "schich knows that some of its former employees serve involved in the Kennedy assassination and is doing everything possible to postrate my investigation in order to preserve the Agency's good name." The CLA refuses to comment on Garrison's charges.

Gausison was also under heavy for next the improper methods allegedly emplayed by his staff. The most blistering indictment of his prole was an NBC telection special on June 19, charging that Garrison's investigators had tried to bribe three potential scitnesses-Alian Beaubocuf, Miguel Torrey and Fred Leemany-to testily against Mase; that Garrison's staff had attempted to induce a burglar, John Cancler, to plant false esidence in Clay Mane's home: and that Garison had allowed Possy Russo and Vernon Bundy to testify against Shaw even though they had presiously failed lic-detector tests, NBC added that its inrestigators had also uncastled the real "Clay Bertrand"; and though NBC didn't name him, it said that he was not Clay Show, Subsequently, NBC might have had second thoughts about its expose, for the network granted Garrison an unpresedented 30 minutes of prime Saturdayevening time to vebut its own findings. Garrison charged that the three witnesses who claimed his aids had tried to tribe them were perjuses. He also denied that his office had approached John Cantler to burglarize Share's home, and stated flatly that both Russ and Bundy had payed their polygraph tests. On the key point of the "real" Clay Bertrand. Garrison said that he knew the identity of the individual NBC was talking about and that he was definitely not the man who called attorney Dean Andrews to gain legal and for Lee Harvey Oscald.

New Orleans to work as an assistant district attainey until 1961, when he sesigned with a scorching attack on Mayor Firtor II. Schiro, whom he charged with corruption and failure to rigorously enfaire the law.

Garrison entered the race for district attorney as a percely uncompromising reform candidate, lambasting the "po-litical machine" of Mayor Schiso and characterizing the incumbent district attorney, Richard Doseling, a "the great connectpator-he let everybody go free." Garrison, six feet, six, and 210 pounds. ŧ was quickly dubbed the "Jolly Green Giant." He had no political organization and not much money, but his personal magnetism and refusal to compromise appealed to the New Orleans electorate. He defeated Dowling handily and promptly began convicting men on charges his prederesson had dropped.

Garrison's fave years as district attorney have been stormy. He outraged many of his former supporters in the business community by launching a campuign against wice on Bourbon Street, charging that B-girly were mercilewly fleecing naive tourists. Garrison cleaned up Bourbon Street himself. personally padlocking many honky-tonks and striptcase clubs. But his toughest fight-until the current one-came in 1962, when he announced that the refund of the city's eight croainal-coust judges to approve funds for his inrestigations of organized crime "raised interesting questions about racketees infurners." The judges promptly charged Constion with defamation of character and eximinal libel-and a state court fined him \$1000, Garrison appealed the case all the way to the Supreme Court. and on November 23, 1961, in a landmark decision on the sight to criticire public officials, the nation's highest Indunal received his conviction, contending that "speech concerning public affairs is more than self-expression; it is the evence of veligovernment." Never one to turn the other check. Contison subsequently employed his political influence to unvest a number of the judges when they came up for re-election.

The district attorney's independence has at times nettled both left and sight in New Orleans. When the police department tried to provente a book-tealer for selling James Baldwin's "Another Country." Gavrison stepped in with a broadside against censorship and sean the man's release-promptly bringing down on his head the wrath of the local White Citizens Council. At the other end of the political spectrum, he has been criticized by the liberal American Civil Liberties Union, which once accused him of two ing an alleged vapist "in the press rather than in the courtroom." But Negro leaders in the city say Garrison has been a tais and impartial district attention in bis last hid for re-election, he disd as
well in the Negro precimits a did in the white.

× 1

Þ

4

-2

۵.

The years 1965 and 1966 wese-by Garrison's standards-relatively quiet. His only major public controversy during this period flared up when he interceded with Louisiana Genernor John McKeithen to win a pardon for a local stripper named Linda Birgette, who had been convicted on a charge of lewd dancing. Garrison claimed it was impossible to define obscenity in literature or the arts and argued that joiling Miss Birgette would be a "gross miscarriage of justice." McKeithen acceded to his pleas and, despite cries of protest from local bluenoses, the incident served to increase Garrison's popularity. The same could hardly be said of his

ocurrent probe, which has made him both a target for abuse-justified on otherwise-that has tended to obscure rather than clarify the issues involved in the investigation, and a victim of often onesided press coverage that NBC's half hour of equal time has done little to vectify. In PLAYBON's opinion, Garrison has not yet had the chance to present his side of the case-in court or out-without expurgation or editorializing. We feel he ought to have that chance. Toward this end, in mid-July, we approached the embattled district attorney with our offer of an impartial, openended interview. The 12-hour crossexamination that followed-in the midst of Gartison's round-the-lock investigation was conducted in the living room of the two-story home he shares with his blonde wife and three young children in a tree-lined residential neighborhood of New Orleans, As the dog-tired district attorney stretched his long legs across a couch, battered brian pipe in political trademark) in one hand. a vodka martini (his favorite drink) in the other, PLANBON interviewer Eric Norden began by asking him to answer the most damaging charges of his mitics.

PLAYBOY: You have been accused-by the National Broadcasting Company, Newsweek, the New Orleans Metropolitan Grine Commission and your own former investigative aide William Gurvich-of attempts to intimidate witnesses, of engaging in criminal conspiracy and of inciting to such fedonics as perjury, criminal defamation and public brikery. How do you respond to these charges?

GARRISON: I've stopped beating my wife. All the charges you enumerate have been made with one purpose in mind—to place our office on the defensive and make us waste valuable time answering allegations that have no basis in fact. Also involved is a psychological by-product valuable to those who don't want the truth about Kennedy's assassination to become known: The very repetition of a charge lends it a certain to believe that where there's su there's fire-although I find it difficult to believe that the public will put much credence in most of the dastardly deeds I've been accused of in the past few months, Just recently, for example, the rumor went around that my stall was pecklling marijuana to high school students and that one of our major witnesses had just confessed that his testimony was based on a dream induced by an overdose of LND. We've also been accused of planning an attack on the local FBI office with guns loaded with red pepper, having stolen money from our own investigative files and having threatened to shoot one witness in the derrière with an exotic gun propelling truth-scrum darts. I just hope they never find out about my involvement in the Boston Brinks robbery. I must admit, however, that I'm beginning to worry about the cumulative effect of this propaganda blitzkrieg on potential jurors for the trial of Clay Shaw, I don't know how long they can withstand the drumbeat obbligato of charges exonerating the defendant and convicting the prosecutor. For months now, the establishment's artillery units have been pounding away at the two themes NBC focused on-that my office uses "improper methods" with regard to witnesses and that we don't really have a case against Mr. Shaw and he should never be brought to trial. I hope you'll give me the chame to answer each of these charges in detail: but first, let me claborate a bit on the methods we employ in this or any other investigation. My office has been one of the most serupulous in the country with regard to the protection of individual rights. I've been on record for years in law journals and books as championing the rights of the individual against the oppressive power of the state. My office moved in and prevented police science from bookstores of tooks arbitrarily labeled "obscene." I intervened and managed to persuade the Louisiana legislature to remove a provision from its new code of criminal procedure that would allow judges to reach out from the bench and cite newsmen for contempt if they penned anything embarrassing to the judges. My office has investigated cases where we had already obtained convictions; and on discovering new evidence indicating that the defendant was not guilty, we've obtained a reversal of the verdict. In over five years of office. I have never had a single case reversed because of the use of improper methods-a record I'll match with any other D. A. in the country. In this particular case, I've taken unusual steps to protect the rights of the defendant and assure him a fair trial. Before we introduced the testimony of our witnesses, we made them undergo independent verifying tests, including polygraph examination, truth serum and hypnosis. We

unprecedented step in jurisprudence: instead, the press turned around and hinted that we had drugged our witnesses or given them posthypnotic suggestions to testily falsely. After arresting Mr. Shaw, we filed a motion for a preliminary hearing-a proceeding that essentially operates in the defendant's favor. Such a hearing is generally requested by the defense, and it was virtually unheard of that the motion be filed by the state, which under the law has the right to charge a defendant outright, without any evaluation by a judge of the pending charges. But I felt that because of the enormity of this accusation, we should lean over backward and give the defendant every chance. A three-judge panel heard our evidence against Mr. Shaw and his attorneys' rebuttals and ordered him indicted for conspiracy to assassinate the President, And I might add here that it's a matter of record that my relationship with the judiciary of our fair city is not a Damon-Pythias camaraderic. Once the judges had handed down their decision, we could have immediately filed a charge against the defendant just by signing it and depositing it with the city clerk-the customary method of charging a defendant. Nevertheless, out of concern for Mr. Shaw's rights, we voluntarily presented the case to a blue-ribbon grand jury. If this grand jury had failed to indiet Mr. Shaw, our case would have been dead as a doornail. But the grand jury, composed of 12 eminent New Orleans citizens, licard our evidence and indicied the defendant for participation in a conspiracy to assassinate John Kennedy. In a further effort to protect the rights of the defendant, and in the face of the endlesly reiterated accusation that we have no case against him-despite the unanimous vendict of the grand jury and the judges at the preliminary hearing-I have studiously retrained from making any public statement critical of the defendant or prejudging his guilt. Of course, this puts me at a considerable disadvantage when the press claims I have no case against him, facause the only way I could convince them of the strength of my case is to throw open our files and let them examine the testimony of all our witnesses. Apart from the injustice such an act would do Mr. Shaw, it could get our whole case thrown out of court on the grounds that we had prejudiced the defendant's rights by pretrial publicity. So I won't fall into that particular trap, whatever the provocation. I only wish the press would allow our case to stand or fall on its merits in court. It appears that certain elements of the mass media have an active interest in preventing this case from ever coming to trial at all and find it necessary to employ against me every smear device in the book. To read the press accounts of my investigationbetween Al Capone and A are, Hun, rublesly hounding innoc are, tram-pling their legal rights, bribing and threatening witnesses and in general violating every canon of legal ethics. My God, anybody who employs the kind of methods that elements of the news media attribute to me should not only not be a district attorney, he should be distanced. This case has taught me the difference between image and reality, and the power of the mythmakers. But I know I've done everything possible to conduct this investigation with honesty and insegrity and with full respect for the civil rights of the defendant. But a blanket denial of charges against me isn't going to convince anyone, so why don't we consider them one by one?

مدد ومناطق المنتقلية المراجع

3

0

角

H

R

Ħ

A

.

· · · ·

•

;

•

÷.

• 24 •

÷.,

.

٠.

- - -

PLAYBOY: All right. The May 15th issue of Newsweek charged that two of your investigators offered David Ferrie's former roommate, Alvin Beaubocul, \$3000 and an airline job if he would help substantiate your charges against Clay Shaw. How do you answer this accusation?

GARRISON: Mr. Beaubocul was one of the two men who accompanied David Ferrie on a mysterious trip from New Orleans to Texas on the day of the assassination, so naturally we were interested in him from the very start of our investigation. At first he showed every willingness to cooperate with our office; but after Ferrie's death, somebody gave him a free trip to Washington. From that moment m, a change came over Beaulogul; he refused to cooperate with us any further and he made the charges against my investigators to which you refer. Fortunately, Beaubocuf had signed an affidavit on April 12th-well after the alleged bribe offer way supposed to have been made-affirming that "no representative of the New Orleans Parish district attorney's office has ever asked me to do anything but to tell the truth. Any inference or statement by anyone to the contrary has no basis in fact." As soon as his attorney began broadcasting his charges, we asked the New Orleans police department to thoroughly investigate the matter. And on June 12th, the police department-which is not, believe me, in the pocket of the district attorney's office-released a report concluding that exhaustive investigation by the police intelligence branch had cleared my staff of any attempt to bribe or threaten Beaubocul into giving untrue testimony. There was no mention of this report, predictably enough, in Newsweek, Eet me make one thing clear, though: Like every police department and district attorney's office across the country, we have sums set aside to pay informers for valuable information-but we would never suborn perjury. This isn't because we're saintsshort cuts like that could be awfully tempting in a frustrating case-but because we're realistic enough to know that

also be bought by the other 50 So it's tather naise, apart from be withically objectionable, to assume that our investigators travel around the country with bags of money trying to brilse witnesses to lie on the witness stand. We just don't operate that way.

la superior de la company d

PLAYBOY: On an NBC television special, The J.F.K. Conspiracy: The Case of Jim Garrison," a former Turkish-bathhouse operator in New Orleans, Fred Leemans, claimed that one of your aides offered him money to testify that Clay Shaw had frequented his establishment with Lee Harvey Oswald. Do you also deny this charge?

GARRISON: Yes: and it's a perfect illustration of the point I was just making about how easy it is for the other side to buy witnesses and then charge us with its own misconduct. Mr. Lecmans came to us in early May, volunteering testimony to the effect that he had often seen a man named Clay Bertrand in his bathhouse, sometimes accompanied by men he described as "Latins," In a sworn affidavit, Leemans said he had also wen a young man called Lee with Bertrand on four or five occasions-a man who fits the description of Lee Harvey Oswald. Leemans also identified the Clay Bertrand who had frequented his establishment as Clay Shaw. Now, this was important testimony, and initially we were favorably impressed with Mr. Leemans. But then we started receiving calls from him demanding money. Well, Fyc told you our policy on this, and the answer was a flat no. He was quiet for a while and then he called and asked if we would approve if he sold his story to a magazine, since he hadly needed money. We refused to give him such approval. Apparently, the National Broadcasting Company was able to establish a warmer relationship with Mr. Leemans. In any case, he now says that he didn't really lie to us; he just "told us what he thought we wanted to hear." I'm sure he was equally cooperative with NBC-although he's beginning to spread his favors around. When a reporter asked him for more information after the broadcast, Leemans refused, explaining that he was saving himself for the Associated Press. "since I want to make something out of this." I would like to make one personal remark about Mr. Leemans, I don't know if he was lying to us initially or not -though I suspect from other evidence in my possession that his statement as he first gave it was accurate-but anybody. no matter what his financial straits, who trics to make a fast buck off the assassination of John Kennedy is several rungs below the anthropoid ape on the evolutionary scale.

PLAYBOY: On this same NBC show, newsman Frank McGee claimed that NBC investigators had discovered that your two key witnesses against Clay

-both failed polygraph tests prior their testimony before the grand jury. the case of Russo, who claimed to ha attended a meeting at David Ferri apartment where Shaw, Oswald a-Ferrie plotted the assassination, NI said that "Russo's answers to a series questions indicate. in the language the polygraph operator, 'deception c teria." He was asked if he knew Cl Shaw. He was asked if he knew L Harvey Oswald. His 'yes' answer to bos of these questions indicated 'decepti-criteria." Did Bundy and Russo f. Did Bundy and Russo f. their lie-detector texts?

GARRISON: No. and NBC's allegations this area are about as credible as other charges. The men who admin tered both polygraph tests flatly de that Russo and Bundy failed the te I'll offer right now to make Russo's at Bundy's polygraph tests accessible to a reputable investigator or reporter the d Clay Shaw's trial begins; I can't do before that, because I'm restrained in releasing material pertaining to Shav guilt or innocence. Just for your inform tion, though, the veracity of Bundy a: Russo has been affirmed not only throu. polygraph tests but through hypnosis as the administration of sodium amytal truth scrum. I want to make a propo tion to the president of NBC: If th charge is true, then I will resign district attorney of New Orleans. If i untrue, however, then the president -NBC should resign. Just in case he thin I'm kidding, I'm ready to meet with hi at any time to select a mutually acces able committee to determine once as for all the truth or falschood of th charge. In all fairness, however, I m add that the fact Bundy and Russo passtheir polygraph tests is not, in and of a self, irrefutable proof that they were te ing the truth: that's why we administere the other tests. The lie detector isn't foolproof technique. A man well 1 hearsed and in complete control of his self can master those reactions th would register on the polygraph as d ception criteria and get away wi blatant lies, while someone who is e treniely nervous and anxiety-ridden coutell the truth and have it register as a h Much also depends on whe administe the test, since it can easily be rigged. Fo example. Jack Ruby took a lie-detecttest for the Warren Commission and to lie after outright lie-even little lies th could be easily checked-and yet th Warren Commission concluded that I passed the test. So the polygraph is on one weapon in the arsenal we use a verify a witness' testimony, and we have never considered it conclusive; we ha abundant documentation to corrobora their stories.

.

and John Cancler, told N J Vertion Buildy admitted having lies in his testimony linking Clay Shaw to Lae Oswald. Do you dismiss this as just another NBC fabrication?

بالأباد والانتار .

.

19 me

....

s.<u>.</u>.,

• • .

~ 1

Þ

0

8

34

×

ы,

۵.

GARRISON: Messrs, Cancler and Torres were both convicted by my office, as were almost hall the men in the state penitentiary, and I'm sure the great majority of them have little love for the man who sent them up. I don't know it they labricated their stories in collusion with NBC or on their own for motives of revenge, but I'm convinced from what I know of Vernon Bundy that his testimony was truthful. NBC manipulated the statements of Cancler and Torres to give the impression to the viewer that he was watching a trial on television-my trial-and that these "objective" witnesses were saying exactly what they would say in a court of law. Actually-and NBC scrupulously avoided revealing this to its audience-their "testimony" was not under oath, there was no opportunity for cross-examination or the presentation of rebuttal witnesses, and the statements of Cancler, Tories and all the rest of NBC's road company were edited so that the public would hear only those elements of their story that would damage out case. The rules of evidence and adversary procedure, I might add, have been developed over many years precisely to prevent this kind of plany side show. Of course, these two convicts have been used against my office in a variety of respects. Miguel Torres also claims I offered him a full pardon, a vacation in Florida and an ounce of heroin if he would testify that Clay Shaw had made homosexual overtures to him on the street. What on earth that would have established relevant to this case I still don't know, but that's his story. I think it was actually rather cheap of me to offer Torres only an ounce of heroin; that wouldn't have lasted out his vacation. A kilo would be more like it. After all, I'm not stingy. Torres' friend John Cancler, a burglar, has also charged that one of my investigators tried to induce him to burglarize Clay Shaw's house and plant false evidence there, but he refused because he would not have such a heinous sin on his conscience. I suppose that's why Cancler's prison nickname is "John the Baptist." I can assure you, if we ever wanted to burglarize Shaw's home-which we never did -John the Baptist would be the last man on earth we'd pick for the job. By the way, Mr. Cancler was called before the grand jury and asked if he had told the truth to NBC. He replied: "I refuse to answer on the grounds that my answer might incriminate me"-and was promptly sentenced to six months in prison and

PLAYSOY: The NBC special alse for to have discovered that "Clay, or Clem. Bertrand does exist. Clem Bertrand is not his real name. It is a pseudonym used by a homosexual in New Orleans. For his protection, we will not disclose the real name of the man known as Clem Bertrand. His real name has been given to the Department of Justice. He is not Clay Shaw." Doesn't this undermine jour entire case against Shaw?

224/

٠,-

2.50

ترمین کرد اور ایک میکرد. مسلم کار مرکز اینده دارمیک

GARRISON: Your faith in NBC's veracity is touching and indicates that the Age of Innocence is not yet over. NBC does not have the real Clay Bertrand; the man whose name NBC so inclodiamatically turned over to the Justice Department is that of Eugene Davis, a New Orkans bar owner, who has firmly denied under oath that he has ever used the name Clay, or Clem, Bertrand, We know from incontrovertible evidence in our possession who the real Clay Bertrand is-and we will prove it in court. But to make this whole thing a little clearer, let me tell you the genesis of the whole "Clay Bertrand" story. A New Orleans lawyer, Dean Andrews, told the Watten Commission that a few months before the assassination of President Kennedy, Lee Harvey Oswald and a group of "gay Mexicanos" came to his office and requested Andrews' aid in having Oswald's Marine Corps undesirable discharge changed to an honorable discharge; Owald subsequently returned above with other kgal problems. Andrews further testified that the day after President Kennedy was assassinated, he received a call from Clay Bertrand, who asked him to rush to Dallas to represent Os wald. Andrews claims he subsequently saw Bertrand in a New Orleans bar, but Bertrand fled when Andrews approached him. This was intriguing testimony, although the Warren Commission dismissed it out of hand; and in 1961. Mark Lane traveled to New Orleans to speak to Andrews. He found him visibly frightened. "I'll take you to dianer." Andrews told Lane, "but I can't talk about the case. I called Washington and they told me that if I said anything, I might get a bullet in the head." For the same reason, he has refused to cooperate with my office in this investigation. The New York Times reported on Febmary 26th that "Mr. Andrews said he had not talked to Mr. Garrison because such talk might be dangerous, but added that he believed he was being 'tailed."" Andrews told our grand jury that he could not say Clay Shaw was Clay Bertrand and he could not say he wasn't. But the day after NBC's special, Andrews broke his silence and said, yes, Clay Shaw is not Clem Bertrand and identified the real Clay Bertrand as Eugene Davis. The only trouble is, Anfor years and have been seen frequen In each other's company. Andrews lied so often and about so many asp of this case that the New Orleans Par grand jury has indicted him for perju I feel sorry for him, since he's alraid getting a bullet in his head, but h going to have to go to trial for perju [Andrews has since been convicted.] PLAYBOY: You expressed your reaction the NBC show in concrete terms on J seventh, when you formally charged Wit ter Sheridan, the network's special intigator for the broadcast, with attemptto bribe your witness Perty Russo. you really have a case against Sherid or is this just a form of harassment? GARRISON: The reason we haven't lost major case in over five years in office that we do not charge a man unless can make it stick in court. And I'm r in the business of harassing anybo-Sheridan was charged because evider was brought to us indicating that attempted to bribe Perty Russo by ofi ing him free transportation to Californ free kidgings and a job once there, p ment of all legal fees in any extraditu proceedings and immunity from office. Mr. Russo has stated that She dan asked his help "to wreck the Ga son investigation" and "offered to set a up in California, protect my job a guarantee that Garrison would new get me extradited." According to Rus Sheridan added that both NBC and t CLA were out to scuttle my case.

and a second second

ر الله المعادية من معهد بن المعادة . ج المعاد متعادة من المعادة . ج المعادة المعادة .

I think it's significant that the chief vestigator for this ostensibly object: broadcast starts telling people the day arrives in town that he is going to stroy Garrison"-this at the same ti he is uncluously assuring me that N wanted only the truth and he had an a tirely open mind on my case. Let me t you something about Walter Sherida background, and maybe you'll understa his true role in all this. Sheridan was o of the bright, hard young investigatwho entered the Justice Department t der Bobby Kennedy. He was assigned nail Jimmy Hofla, Sheridan employed wide variety of highly questionable t tics in the Justice Department's relentl drive against Hoffa; he was recently si poenaed to testily in connection w charges that he wire-tapped the offiof Hoffa's associates and then play back incriminating tapes to them, was ing that unless they testified for the Ge ernment, they would be destroyed alo with Hoffa. A few years ago, Sheridan L the Justice Department---officially, at le. -and went to work for NBC. No honreporter out for a story would have completely prejudged the situation a been willing to employ such tactics. think it's likely that in his real to desu my case, he exceeded the author

al Cost -

÷. .

÷.

م جنوب

.

<u>م</u> . .

÷

York. I get the impression majori-ty of NBC executives pre hought **3** 0 Sheridan's team came down here in an uncompromising search for the truth. 2 When Sheridan overstepped himself and It became obvious that the broadcast was, Æ to say the least, not objective. NBC realъł ized it was in a touchy position. Cooler ٩. heads prevailed and I was allowed to present our case to the American people. For that, at kast, I'm singularly grateful to Walter Sheridan.

ما د مرد گردند.

PLAYBOY: How do you respond to the charge of your critics-including NBC -that you launched this probe for political reasons, hoping the attendant publicity would be a springboard to a Senate seat or to the governorship?

GARRISON: I'd have to be a terribly cynical and compt man to place another human being on trial for compiracy to murder the President of the United States just to gratify, my political ambition. But I guess there are a lot of people around the country, especially after NBC's attack, who think that's just the kind of man I am. That rather suddens me. I'm no Albert Schweitzer, but I could never do a thing like that. I derive no pleasure from prosecuting a man. even though I know he's guilty; do you think I could sleep at night or look at myelf in the mirror in the morning if I hounded an innocent man? You know, I always received much more satisfaction as a defense attorney in obtaining on arquittal for a client than 1 even bave as a D.A. in obtaining a conviction. All my interests and sympathies tend to be on the side of the individual as opposed to the state. So this is really the worst charge that auxone could make against me-that in order to get my name in the paper, or to advance politically, I would destroy another human being. This kind of charge reveals a good deal about the personality of the people who make it: to impute such motives to another man is to imply you're harboring them your-elf. But to look at a different aspect of your question. I'm inclined to challenge the whole premise that launching an investigation like this bolds any political advantages for me. A politically ambitious man would hardly be likely to challenge the massed power of the Federal Government and criticize so many honorable figures and distinguished agencies. Actually, this charge is an argument in form of my investigation: Would such a slimy type, eager to profiteer on the assassination, jeopardize his political ambitions if he didn't have an ironclad case? If I were really the ambitious monster they paint me, why would I climb out on such a limb and then saw it off? Unless he had the facts, it would be the last thing a politically ambitious man would do. I was perfectly aware that I might have signed my political death warrant the

couldn't care less as long as I CA. <u></u>]1 tion. As a matter of fact, after this last murderous year, I find myself thinking more and more about returning to private life and having time to read again, to get out in the sun and hit a golf ball. But before I do that. I'm going to facak this case and let the public know the truth. I won't quit before that day. I wouldn't give the bastards the satisfaction. PLAYBOY: According to your own former chief investigator, William Gurvich, the truth about the assassination has already been published in the Warren Report. After leaving your staff last June. he announced, "If there is any truth to any of Garrison's charges about there being a conspiracy. I haven't been able to find it." When members of your own staff have no faith in your case, how do you expect the public to be impressed? GARRISON: First of all, I won't deny for a minute that for at least three months I trusted Bill Gurvich implicitly. He was never my "chief investigator"-that's his own terminology-decause there was no such position on my staff while he worked for me. But two days before Christmas 1966, Gurvich, who operates a private detective agency, visited my office and told me he'd heard of my investigation and thought I was doing a wonderful job. He presented me with a beautiful color-TV set and asked if he could be of use in any capacity. Well, right then and there. I should have sat back and asked myelf a tex searching questions-like how he had heard of my probe in the first place, since only the people we were questioning and a few of my stall, as far as I knew, were aware of what was going on at that time. We had been under way for only five weeks, remember. And I should also have recalled the old adage about Greeks hearing gifts. But I was desperately understaffed-I had only six aides available to work on the assassination inquity full time-and here comes a trained private investigator offering his services free of charge. It was like a gift from the gods, So I set Gurvich to work; and for the next couple of months, he did an adequate job of talking to witnesses, taking photographs, etc. But then, around March, I learned that he had been seeing Walter Sheridan of NBC. Well, this didn't bother me at fust, because I didn't know then the role Sheridan was playing in this whole affair. But after word got back to me from my witpesses about Sheridan's threats and harassment, I began keeping a closer eye on Bill. I still didn't really think he was any kind of a double agent, but I couldn't help wondering why he was rubbing closes with people like that. Now, don't forget that Gurvich claims he became totally discusted with our investigation at the time of Clay Shaw's arrest-

tinued to wax enthusiastic about es aspect of our case, and I have a do witnesses who will testify to that effe I guess this was something that shohave tipped me off about Bill: He + always enthusiastic, never doubtful cautionary, even when I or one of staff threw out a hypothesis that reflection we realized was wrong. Anbegan to notice how he would pick mind for every scrap of fact pertaining the case. So I grew suspicious and to him off the scusitive areas of the invel gation and relegated him to chauffeur and routine clerical duties. This seen to really bother him, and every day would come into my office and pump for information, complaining that wasn't being told enough about the c I still had nothing concrete against I and I didn't want to be unjust, bu guess my manner must have coe perceptibly, because one day about a months before he surfaced in Washi ton, Bill just vanished from our sig And with him. I'm sorry to confess, v ished a copy of our master file. How you explain such behavior? It's possi that Bill joined us initially for reas of opportunism, seeing a chance to in at the beginning of an earth-shak cise, and subscruently chickened when he saw the implacable determi tion of some powerful agencies to dest our investigation and discredit every associated with it. But I really don't lieve Bill is that much of a coward. also possible that those who want prevent an investigation learned e what we were doing and made a decis to plant somebody on the inside of investigation. Let me stress that I have sceret documents or monitored telephcalls to support this hypothesis; it seems to me the most logical explanat for Bill's behavior. Let me put it way: If you were in charge of the C and willing to spend scores of million dollars on such relatively penny-a projects as infiltrating the National ? dents Association, wouldn't you make effort to infiltrate an investigation a could seriously damage the prestige your agency?

Same.

PLAYBOY: How could your probe d. age the prestige of the CIA and Ca them to take countermeasures aga you?

GARRISON: For the simple reason that number of the men who killed the Pi dent were former employees of the C involved in its anti-Castro undergrou activities in and around New Ork. The CLA knows their identity. So do and our investigation has established without the shadow of a doubt. Let stress one thing, however: We have evidence that any official of the CIA involved with the compliacy that led the President's death.

PLAYBOY: Do you lend no credence, th

الم المعالية

2.17

a. - .

A.....

5.

۰.

2. S

3

<u>A</u>

þe

R

ı,

A

J. Garrett Underhill, that Carras a conspiracy within the CIA Carrassinate 0 Kennedy?

and a star the star as a star with the set as the set with succession to a star the set and the set of the set

. A 21.14

GARRISON: I've become familiar with the case of Gary Underhill, and I've been able to ascertain that he was not the type of man to make wild or unsubstantiated charges. Underhill was an intelligence agent in World War Two and an expert on military affairs whom the Pentagon considered one of the country's top authorities on limited warfare. He was on good personal terms with the top brass in the Defense Department and the ranking officials in the CLA. He wasn't a full-time CIA agent, but he occasionally performed "special assignments" for the Agency, Several days after the President's assassination, Underhill appeared at the home of friends in New Jersey, apparently badly shaken, and charged that Kennedy was killed by a small group within the CIA. He told friends he believed his own life was in danger. We can't learn any more from Underhill, I'm afraid, because shorthy afterward, he was found shot to death in his Washington apartment. The coroner ruled suicide, but he had been shot behind the left car and the pistol was found under his left side-and Underhill was right-handed.

PLAYBOY: Do you believe Underhill was murdered to silence him?

GARRISON: I don't believe it and I don't disbelieve it. All I know is that witnesses with vital evidence in this case me eet. tainly had insurance risks. In the absence of further and much more conclusive evidence to the contrary, however, we must assume that the plotters, were acting on their own rather than on CIA orders when they killed the President. As far as we have been able to determine, they were not in the pay of the CLA at the time of the assassination-and this is one of the reasons the President was mudered: I'll explain later what I mean by that. But the CLA could not face up to the American people and admit that its former employees had conspired to assassinate the President; so from the moment Kennedy's heart stopped beating, the Agency attempted to sweep the whole conspiracy under the rug. The CIA has spared neither time nor the taxpayers' money in its efforts to hide the truth about the assassination from the American people. In this respect, it has become an accessory after the lact in the avaisantism.

PLAYBOY: Do you have any-conclusive evidence to support these accusations?

GARRISON: I've never revealed this before, but for at least six months, my office and home telephones-and those of every member of my staff-have been monitored. If there is as little substance to this investigation as the press and the Government allege, why would anyone go to all that trouble? I leave it to your judgment

work of the Women's Christian T ance Union or the New Orleans Classoer of Commerce.

PLAYBOY: That's hardly conclusive evidence.

GARRISON: I'd need a book to list all the indications. But let's start with the fact that most of the attorneys for the hostile witnesses and defendants were hired by the CIA--through one or another of its covers. For example, a New Orleans lawyer representing Alvin Beaubocul, who has charged me with every kind of unerhical practice except child molesting -I expect that allegation to come shortly before Shaw's trial-flew with Beaubocul to Washington immediately after my office subpoenaed him, where Beaubocuf was questioned by a "retired" intelligence officer in the offices of the Justice Department. This trip was paid for, as are the lawyer's legal fees, by the CLA--in other words, with our tax dollars. Another lawyer, Stephen Plotkin, who represents Gordon Novel fauother of Garrison's key witnesses], has admitted he is paid by the CLA-and has also admitted his client is a CLA agent; you may have seen that story on page 96 of The New York Times, next to ship departures. Plotkin. incidentally, such me for \$10,000,000 for defaming his client and such a group of New Orleans businessmen financing my investigation for \$50,000,000-which meant, in effect, that the CIA was suing us. As if they need the money. But my attorney filed a motion for a deposition to be taken from Novel, which meant that he would have to return to my junidiction to file his suit and thus be liable for questioning in the compliant case. Rather than come down to New Orleans and face the music. Novel dropped his suit and sacrificed a possible \$60,000,000 judgment. Now, there's a man of principle; he knows there are some things nore important than money.

PLAYBOY: Do you also believe Clay Shaw's lawyers are being paid by the CLA?

GARRISON: I can't comment directly on that, since it relates to Shaw's trial. But I think the clincher, as far as Washington's obstruction of our probe goes, is the consistent refusal of the Federal Government to make accessible to us any information about the roles of the CIA, anti-Castro Cuban exiks and the paramilitary right in the assassination. These is without doubt, a conspiracy by clements of the Federal Government to keep the lacts of this case from ever becoming known-a conspiracy that is the logical extension of the initial compiracy by the GIA to conceal vital evidence from the Warren Commission.

PLAYBOY: What "vital evidence" did the **GIA** withhold from the Warren Commission?

GARRISON: A good example is Commission Exhibit number 237. This is a statement of a seater halding middle.

aged man published without explanation or identification in the 26 volumes of the Warren Report. There's a significant story behind Exhibit number 237. Throughout the late summer and fall of 1963, Ler Oswald was shepherded in Dallas and New Orleans by a CIA "baby sitter" who watched over Oswald's activities and stayed with him. My office knows who he is and what he looks like. 384 and a PLAYBOY: Are you implying that Oswald

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH

Charles Concert

was working for the CIA? GARRISON: Let me finish and you car decide for yourself. When Oswald wen to Mexico City in an effort to obtain : visa for travel to Cuba, this CIA agen accompanied him. Now, at this partic ular time. Mexico was the only Latin American nation maintaining diplomatic tics with Cuba, and Iclusts and Commu nists from all over the hemisphere travelee to the Cuban Embassy in Mexico City for visas to Cuba. The CIA, quite properly had placed a hidden movie camera in a building across the street from the em have and filmed everyone coming and going. The Warren Commission, knowing this, had an assistant legal counsel ask the FBI for a picture of Oswald and his com panion on the steps of the embassy, and the FBL in turn, filed an affidavit saying they had obtained the photo in question from the CIA. The only trouble is that the CLA supplied the Warren Commission with a phony photograph. The photo graph of an "unidentified man" ' pub lished in the 26 volumes is not the man who was filmed with Oswald on the stepof the Cuban Embassy, as alleged by the CIA. It's perfectly clear that the actual picture of Oswald and his companion was suppressed and a fake photo substituted because the second man in the picture was working for the CIA in 1965, and his identification as a CIA agent would have opened up a whole can of worms about Oswald's ties with the Agency. To prevent this, the CLA presented the Warren Commission with. fraudulent evidence-a pattern that repeats itself whenever the CIA submits evidence relating to Oswald's possible connection with any U.S. intelligence agency. The CIA lied to the Commission right down the line; and since the Warreu Commission had no investigative staff of its own but had to rely on the FBI, the Secret Service and the CLA for its evidence, it's understandable why the Commission concluded that Oswale had no ties with American intelligence agencies

PLAYBOY: What was the nature of these tic~?

GARRISON: That's not allogether clear, at least insolar as his specific assignments are concerned; but we do have wood that Oscald was remited by the 24

0

餌

3

×

1

٥.

a gar ja kur

1.1.1

الا المرجع المرجع المرجع الذي المراكب في من من المرجع المرجع

CIA in his Marine Corps d Sen he was mysteriously schoole Sensian and allowed to subscribe to a meda. And shortly before his trip to the Soviet Union, we have learned, Oswald was trained as an intelligence agent at the CIA installation at Japan's Atsugi Air Force Base-which may explain why no disciplinary action was taken against him when he returned to the U.S. from the Soviet Union, even though he had supposedly defected with top-secret information about our radar networks. The money he used to return to the U.S., incidentally, was advanced to him by the State Department.

ting parts.

. . .

PLAYBOY: In an article for Ramparts, ex-FBI agent William Turner indicated that White Russian refugee George De Mohrenschildt may have been Oswald's CIA "baby sitter" in Dallas. Have you found any links between the CLA and De Mohrenschildt?

GARRISON: I can't comment directly on that, but George De Mohrenschildt is certainly an enigmatic and intriguing character. Here you have a wealthy, cultured White Russian emigre who travels in the highest social circles-he was a personal friend of Mrs. Hugh Auchincloss, Jackie Kennedy's mother-suddenly developing an intimate relationship with an impoverished ex-Marine like Lee Oswald. What did they discuss-last year's season at Biarritz, or how to beat the bank. at Monte Carlo? And Mr. De Mohrenschildt has a penchant for popping up in the most interesting places at the most interesting times-for example, in Haiti just before a joint Cuban exile-CIA venture to topple Davalier and use the island as a springboard for an invasion of Cuba; and in Guatemala, another CIA training ground, the day before the Bay of Pigs invasion. We have a good deal more information about Oswald's CIA contacts in Dallas and New Orleans-most of which we discovered by sheer chancebut there are still whole areas of inquiry blocked from us by the CIA's refusal to cooperate with our investigation. For public consumption, the CIA claims not to have been concerned with Oswald prior to the assassination. But one thing is certain: Despite these pious protestations, the CLA was very much aware of Oswald's activities well before the President's murder. In a notarized affidavit, State Department officer James D. Crowley states, "The first time I remember learning of Oswald's existence was when I received copies of a telegraphic message from the Central Intelligence Agency dated October 10, 1963, which contained information pertaining to his current activities." It would certainly be interesting to know what the CIA knew about Oswald six weeks before the assassination, but the contents of this particular message never reached the Wairen Commission and remain a complete mystery. There are also 51

CIA documents classified top se the National Archives pertaining Jare Oswald and Jack Ruby. Technically, the members of the Commission had access to them; but in practice, any document the CIA wanted classified was shunted into the Archives without examination by the sleeping beauties on the Commission. Twenty-nine of these files are of particular interest, because their titles alone indicate that the CIA had extensive information on Oswald and Ruby before the assassination. A few of these documents are: CD 347, "Activity of Oswald in Mexico City"; CD 1051, "Information on Jack Ruby and Associates": CD 692, "Reproduction of Official CIA Dossier on Oswald"; CD 1551, "Conversations Between Cuban President and Ambassador"; CD 698, "Reports of Travel and Activities of Oswald": CD 913. "Alk gations of Plc. Eugene Dinkin re Assessination Plot"; and CD 971, "Telephone Calls to U.S. Embassy, Canberra, Australia, re Planned Assassination." The titles of these documents are all we have to go on, but they're certainly intriguing. For example, the public has heard nothing about phone calls to the U.S. Embassy in Canberra, warning in advance of the assassination, nor have we been told anything about a Pfc. Dinkin who claims to have knowledge of an assassination plot. One of the top-secret files that most intrigues me is CD 931, which is entitled "Oswald's Access to Information About the U-2." I have 21 years of military experience behind me, on active duty and in the reserves, and I've never had any access to the U-2; in fact, I've never seen one. But apparently this "self-proclaimed Marxist," Lee Harvey Oswald, who we're assured had no ties to any Government agency, had access to information about the nation's most secret high-altitude reconnaissance plane. Of course, it may be that none of these CIA files reveals anything sinister about Lee Harvey Oswald or hints in any way that he was employed by our Government. But then, why are the 51 CIA documents classified top secret in the Archives and inaccessible to the public for 75 years? I'm 45, so there's no hope for me, but I'm already training my eight-year-old son to keep himself physically fit so that on one glorious September morn in 2038 he can walk into the National Archives in Washington and find out what the CIA knew about Lee Harvey Oswald. If there's a further extension of the topsecret classification, this may become a generational affair, with questions passed down from father to son in the manner of the ancient runic bards. But someday, perhaps, we'll find out what Oswald was doing messing around with the U-2. Of course, there are some CIA documents we'll never see. When the Warren Commission asked to see a secret CIA memo on Oswald's activities in Russia that had

been attached to a State Department letter on Oswald's Russian stay, wor came back that the Agency was terrib sorry, but the secret memo had been d stroyed while being photocopied. Th unfortunate accident took place on N vember 23, 1963, a day on which thes must have occurred a great deal of spot tancous combustion around Washington PLAYBOY: John A. McCone, former d rector of the Central Intelligence Age cy, has said of Oswald: "The Agennever contacted him, interviewed hir, talked with him or received or solicite any reports or information from him d communicated with him in any manue Lee Harvey Oswald was never asciated or connected directly or indirectl in any way whatsoever, with the Agency Why do you refuse to accept McConc word?

GARRISON: The head of the CIA, it seen to me, would think long and hard befor he admitted that former employees of h had been involved in the murder of th President of the United States-even they weren't acting on behalf of th Agency when they did it. In any cas the CIA's past record hardly induc faith in the Agency's veracity. CI officials lied about their role in the ow throw of the Arbenz Guzman regime i Guatemala; they lied about their role i the overthrow of Mossadegh in Irai they lied about their role in the abortiv military resolt against Sullatno in 195they lied about the U-2 incident; an they certainly lied about the Bay of Pig If the CIA is ready to lie even about it successorman in Guatemala and Irando you seriously believe its directe would tell the truth in a case as explosiv as this? Of course, CIA officials grow s used to lying, so steeped in deceit, th. after a while I think they really becon incapable of distinguishing truth an falschood. Or. in an Orwellian sens perhaps they come to believe that trut is what contributes to national securit and falschood is anything detrimental t national security. John McCone would swear he's a Croatian dwarf if le thought it would advance the interests c the CIA-which he automatically equate with the national interest.

PLAYBOY: Let's get down to the facts of the assassination, as you see them. Whe ---and why---did you begin to doubt th conclusions of the Warren Report? GARRISON: Until 25 recently as Noven ber of 1966, I had complete faith in th Warren Report. As a matter of fact, viewed its most vocal critics with th same skepticism that much of the prenow views me-which is why I can't con domn the mass media too harshly for the cynical approach, except in the handli of cases where newsmen seem to be i active collusion with Washington to to pedo our investigation: Of course, m faith in the Report was grounded i.

72

 $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{z}}$

. ..

.....

ignorance, since I had ne Find it: as Mail Lane says. "The only way you can believe the Report is not to have read it." But then, in November, I visited New York City with Senator Russell Long: and when the subject of the assassination came up, he expressed grave doubts about the Warren Commission's conclusion that Lee Harvey Oswald was the Jone assassin. Now, this disturbed me, because here was the Majority Whip of the U.S. Senate speaking, not some publicity bound with an ideological ax to grind; and il at this late juncture he still entertained serious reservations about the Commission's determinations, maybe there was more to the assassination than met the eye. So I began reading every book and magazine article on the assassination I could get my hands on-my tombstone may be inscribed "CURIOSITY KILLID THE D.A."and I found not own doubts growing. Finally, I put aside all other business and started to wade through the Warren Commission's own 26 volumes of supportive evidence and testimony. That was the clincher. It's impossible for anyone possessed of reasonable objectivity and a fair degree of intelligence to read those 26 volumes and not reach the conclusion that the Warren Commission was wrong in every-one of its major conclusions pertaining to the assassination. For me, that was the end of innocence. PLAYBOY: Do you mean to imply that the Warren Commission deliberately con crafed on faisded the facts of the assassination*

3

0

角

24

ø.

H.

۵.

.

-

91 - **1**

نې ۲ مېنې

٠.

. . ¹. .

فتوأجش

S. 51 (14) • 1

سر ب

GARRISON: No, you don't need any explanation more sinister than incompetence to account for the Warren Report. Though I didn't know it at the time. the Commission simply didn't have all the facts, and many of those they had were fraudulent, as I've pointed outthanks to the evidence withheld and manufactured by the CLA. If you add to this the fact that most of the Commission members had aheady presumed Oswald's guilt and were mercly looking for facts to confirm it-and in the process tranquilize the American public-sou'll realize why the Commission was such a dismal failure. But in the final analysis, it doesn't make a damn bit of difference whether the Commission members were sincere patriots or mountchanks; the question is whether Lee Oswald killed the President alone and unaided; if the evidence doesn't support that conclusion-and it doesn't-a thousand honorable men sitting shoulder to shoulder along the banks of the Potomac won't change the facts.

PLAYBOY: So you began your investigation of the President's assassination on nothing stronger than your own doubts and the theories of the Commission's critics?

GARRISON: No. please don't put words in my mouth. The works of the criticsWeisberg and Mark Lane-yul Dury general doubts about the assassination; but more importantly, they led me into specific areas of inquiry. After 1 realized that something was seriously wrong, 1 had no alternative but to face the fact that Oswald had arrived in Dallas only a short time before the assassination and that prior to that time he had lived in New Orleans for over six months, I became curious about what this alleged assassin was doing while under my jurisdiction, and my staff began an investigation of Oswald's activities and contacts in the New Orleans area. We interviewed people the Warren Commission had never questioned, and a whole new world began opening up. As I studied Oswakl's movements in Dallas, my mind turned back to the aftermath of the assamination in 1963, when my office quetioned three men-David Ferrie, Alvin Beaulocul and Melvin Colley-on surpition of being involved in the assawination. I began to wonder if we hadn't dismissed these three men-too lightly, and we reopened our investigation into their activities.

ا این مرد ا دار معنود محمود می

PLAYBOY: Why did you become interested in Ferrie and his associates in November 19633

GARRISON: To explain that, I'll have to tell you something about the operation of our office. I believe we have one of the best district attorney's offices in the country. We have no political appointments and, as a result, there's a memory dous amount of copil among our stall and an enthusiasm for looking into manswered questions. That's why we got together the day after the avaisation and began examining our files and checking out every political extremist, religious fanatic and kook who had ever come to our attention. And one of the names that sprang into prominence was that of David Ferrie. When we checked him out, as we were doing with innumerable other suspicious characters, we discovered that on November 22nd he had traveled to Texas to go "duck hunting" and "ice skating." Well, naturally, this sparked our interest. We staked out his house and we questioned his friends, and when he came back-the first thing he did on his return, incidentally, was to contact a lawyer and then hide out for the night at a friend's room in another town-we pulled him and his two companions in for questioning. The story of Ferrie's activities that emerged was rather curious. He drove nine hours through a furious thunderstorm to Texas, then apparently gave up his plans to go duck hunting and instead went to an iceskating rink in Houston and stood waiting beside a pay telephone for two hours: he never put the skates on. We felt his movements were suspicious enough to justify his arrest and that of his friends, and we took them into custody. When

terest and asked us to turn the three m over to them for questioning. We di but Ferrie was released soon afterway and most of its report on him was cl. sified top secret and secreted in the N tional Archives, where it will remainaccessible to the public until Septer be 2038 A. D. No one, including me, c see those pages. PLAYSOY: Why do you believe the FI

report on Ferrie is classified?

GARRISON: For the same reason the Predent's autopsy X rays and photos at other vital evidence in this case are cl sified-because they would indicate t existence of a compiracy., involviformer employees of the CIA, to kill ti President.

PLAYBOY: When you resumed your i vestigation of Ferrie three years late did you discover any new evidence? GARRISON: We discovered a whole mare nex of underground activity involvia the CIA, elements of the paramilita right and militant anti-Casuo exgroups. We discovered links between David Ferrie. Lee O-wald and Ja Ruby. We discovered, in short, wh I had hoped not to find, despite r doubts about the Watren Commission the existence of a well-organized co spiracy to assassinate John Kennedy. conspiracy that came to fruition in D. las on November 22, 1963, and in which David Ferric played a vital role.

PLAYBOY: Accepting for a moment you contention that there was a conspiracy assassinate President Kennedy, have ye been able to discover who was involve -in addition to Ferrie-how it w done and why?

GARRISON: Yes, I have, President Ke neily was killed for one reason: becau he was working for a reconciliation wi the U.S.S.R. and Castro's Cuba, H assassing were a group of fanatic an-Communists with a fusion of interes in preventing Kennedy from achievin peaceful relations with the Comm nist world. On the operative level of at conspiracy, you find anti-Castro Cuba exiles who never forgave Kennedy 6 failing to send in U.S. air cover at th Bay of Pigs and who feared that th thaw following the Missile Crisis in Octber 1962 augured the total frustration (. their plans to liberate Cuba. They b lieved sincerely that Kennedy had so! them out to the Communists. On a higer. control level, you find a number e people of ultra-right-wing persuasionnot simply conservatives, mind you, be people who could be described as ne. Nari, including a small clique that ha defected from the Minutemen becauit considered the group "too liberal. These elements had their canteens read and their guns loaded; they lacked on' a target. After Kennedy's domest moves toward racial integration and h

PLAYBOY FORUM (contin From page 57)

Misouri Synods, agreed that churches should be taxed. Bishop Pike's own denomination, the Epixopal Church, was closer to the Lutherans, with 40 percent sympathizing with taxation of churchs.

Younger clergy tend to have this sympathy more than do older clergy. For example, 70 percent of the Presbyterians under age 35 agreed with the statement of sympathy, as compared with 51 percent of the Presbyterian ministers over 55 who did not. However, such differences are not equally dramatic in all denominations; among the Missouri Synods, for instance, older clergy are somewhat more likely to agree with taxation of churches than are younger clergy.

It should be made clear that sympathy with the position that tax exemption for churches should be eliminated dors not necessarily constitute commitment to the position. In fact, if Congress were seriously entertaining tax reform and the full financial implications of such reform were realized, this sympathy might vanish very quickly. On the other hand, if the facts were more widely known, sympathy might be molded into commitment. We know, for example, that open discussion of racial injustice in our culture has had the effect of making the clergy, as a group, the strongest supporters of social justice.

Jeffrey K. Hadden, Ph.D. Associate Professor of Sociology Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio

SUICIDE AND CIVIL LIBERTIES

PLAVBOV'S editors and readers have said a great deal in *The Playbay Forum* about the right of the individual to do whatever he wishes as long as he doesn't harm others. You have pointed out howunjust and insane it is to have the palice waste their time hounding harmless individuals while the rate of real crimes is continually rising. On all of the issues you have raised. I agree; but I would like to air another, very personal issue: the rights of the potential suicide.

Attempted suicide is a crime in many states. What this means was brought home to me two years ago, when I tried to cop out via a bottle of sleeping pills. My husband came home before I was dead and rushed me to a ho-pital. As you can imagine, my mental state was not much better when I woke up the following morning than it had been when I swallowed the pills. In fact, it took two psychiatrists, two ministers, my husband's love and the kindness of several friends to bring me back to sanity. Meanwhile, I was being continually harmed, not helped, by the following police actions:

As soon as I was released from the hospital, two policemen very politely requested that I accommany them to the dent. When we got there, however, they proceeded to book me for the felony of attempted suicide. I spent the night in jail, and my husband was told that he couldn't we me until nine the next morning. He artived at exactly nine, but the police broke their promise and wouldn't let us talk. My husband went and got a lawyer, who secured my release.

While battling to regain my sanity in the following months. I had the extra bunden of incessant suspense, waiting to see what further action the police would take. Things were not helped by the fact that a very private letter had been removed from my purse while I was in custody. My lawyer got the letter back, but warned me that a photostat might have been made. This haunted me and at times. I still worry about, it. -Today I am mentally sound again, but

Today I am mentally sound again, but my sanity is certainly not the result of our laws or of the way our police enforce them. I am writing this letter because I know there are many others who will come to the edge of suicide, as I did, and who will encounter there not the sympathy and help that they need but, instead, a harassnent that can push them back to the edge again and perhaps drive them over it.

Certainly, the police have the right and the duty to percent suicides. Once this is done, however, they should be removed from such cases entirely, and only qualified psychiatrists, clergymen or social workers should deal with the shattered human beings who feel so alone and frightened as to want to ecape from the world entirely. The police should not be allowed to put further pressure on such a person.

(Name and address withheld by request)

DEATH FOR RAPE

Reading the "Death for Rape" letters in the July Playboy Forum, I was surprised that none of the letter writers mentioned a conspicuous aspect of this matter: The death penalty for rape (as well as for kidnaping) not only does not deter the trime but actually encourages the perpetrator to compound it. The rapist (or kidnaper), if he considers the penalty at all, will recognize that he faces death for simple rape (or kidnaping), with the victim surviving to identify him. If he provides an added measure of personal security by killing the victim, the penalty cannot be increased. Why, then, should be hesitate to kill? Fortunately for society, criminals do not usually engage in such contemplation while committing acts of desperation.

The way the criminal normally byhaves is illustrated by two men from Rhode Island who are now in death row at New Humshire State Peniteotiary. The murchred their victim in Nashua, New Hampshire, after kidnaping him in their home state, which has no death penalty. They actually had to cross two state lines in order to qualify themselves for the rope.

Some deterrent. Edwin A. Bennett

Lexington, Massachusetts There are cases similar to that of the Rhode Islanders, Isrational behavior such as this—together with the fact that states without the death penalty have lower komicide rates than states with this "detestent"—has led psychiatrists to conjecture that many potential munderers have a tendency to suicide. If this theory is correct, then the death penalty, with its assurance of self-destruction, can actually incite to murder.

Recent Playboy Forum letters on capital punishment and rape raise an interesting question. The attitude that rape is on the same level with murder is akin to the warped thinking of the rapist himself, in that it abnormally overemphasizes sex. And, of course, it is precisely this attitude that makes rape so traumatic for the victim. Rape, actually, is not at all similar to murder; it is an offense of roughly the same sort as assault and battery. The victim of nonsexual violence endures similar terror and pain, together with emotional aftereffects; if the victim of rape suffers more intensely, it is only in proportion to the degree that shame and fear dominate her attitude toward sex.

Clarence Darrow once commented that it is almost impossible to win an acquintal in a child-tape case. This is because the jury overreacts emotionally to the crime, looks for a scapegoat, finds one set up by the pro-ecution and refases to listen to the evidence. This is true to some extent even when the victim is not a child; and, for this reason, the chance of an innocent man's being convicted of rape is much higher than is the case with bunglary, grand larceny, battery and other crimes that evoke less emotional reactions.

For these reasons, the death penalty is undesirable in rape cases; but, alas, for the same reasons, it is unlikely to be discontinued in the near future.

Mary Johnson Los Angeles, California

CASTRATION FOR RAPE

I agree with Thomas Rogers (The Playbuy Forum, April) about one thing: The death penalty is a disproportionateby severe punishment for the crime of rape. But other punishments are ineffectual because of their comparative mildness—all, that is, except one: custration.

No other punishment so perfectly fits the crime. For not only will the emasrulated rapist never again be able to re-