Shaw Trial Delay Argument Opens

A motion to delay the trial of Clay L. Shaw, accused by District Attorney Jim Garrison of conspiracy in the death of President John F. Kennedy, was to be argued today before Criminal District Court Judge Edward A. Haggerty Jr.

Shaw's attorneys have asked for a continuance in the trial

of at least six months, claiming that a fair trial now or in the immediate future here is impossible because of actions by Garrison and publicity he has generated.

If a delay in the trial is denied, the defense is askign for a change of venue.

ORAL ARGUMENTS WILL be presented on this motion and a supplemental application for a bill of particulars.

In answers to defense motions, the DA's office has said it would be agreeable to a "reasonable" delay of less than six months. They argue that if this is granted, it precludes the possibility of moving the trial to a new location.

Asst. DA James L. Alcock has said be does not believe a trial can begin now until after the Christmas season.

The state has argued that any prejudicial atmosphere that might exist in New Orlease is the fault of Shaw and his attorneys.

MEANWHILE, on another front, an appearance by U.S. Atty. Gen. Ramsey Clark at the University of Virginia in Charlottesville has stirred up a controversy.

Reporter Roy Barry of the Charlottesville Daily Progress about this, Barry said, "Well, quoted remarks he said Clark made during a question and answer period after a legal forum at the school Friday night.

Barry's story quoted Clark as saying, "Much as I hate to do it, I may have to prosecute Jim Garrison. He took a perfectly fine man, Shaw, and ruined him for personal aggrandizement."

THE JUSTICE Department denied that Clark had made the statement.

Yesterday, Barry backed up his report, saying, "Clark's . statement struck me imme-diately. I had never heard Garrison threatened before. It was hardly the kind of state-

He added that he made careful notes of the attorney general's remarks and said that law students were present when he asked Clark a follow-up question. "I then asked Clark what personal aggrandizement Garrison would get from prosecuting Shaw and what Garrison would gain by losing a nationally publi-cized case," Barry said.

Clark answered, Barry said, . "Perhaps he (Garrison) is not .

as logical as you are."

Student legal forum president Rosewell Page III also refuted Barry's report. Asked in his (Page's) position, he would have to say that

(Indicate page, name newspaper, city and state.]

STATES-ITEM

NEW ORLEAMS, LA.

10-16-67

Edilloas RED C OVET

L without

THE ASSASSINATION OF

AFO

Classification: 89-Subalting Office: N. O.,