

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATION SECTION

SEP 11 1967

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. DeLoach	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Mohr	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Bishop	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Casper	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Callahan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Conrad	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Felt	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Gale	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Rosen	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Sullivan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Tavel	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Trotter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Tele. Room	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Miss Holmes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Miss Gandy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

FBI WASH DC

FBI NEW ORLS

624PM URGENT 9/11/67 RDC

TO DIRECTOR (62-109060) AND DALLAS (89-43)

FROM NEW ORLEANS (89-69)

1. ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN FITZGERALD KENNEDY,
DALLAS, TEXAS, NOV. TWENTYTWO, SIXTHREE, MISCELLANEOUS
INFO CONCERNING, ^{OFFICE OF ORIGIN} DALLAS.

THE NEW ORLEANS STATES-ITEM, RED FLASH EDITION, SEPT.
ELEVEN INSTANT, REPORTED THAT THE PRE-TRIAL HEARING ON THE
DEFENSE MOTION TO QUASH THE CONSPIRACY INDICTMENT AGAINST
CLAY L. SHAW OPENED TODAY. JUDGE EDWARD A. HAGGERTY TOOK
UP A THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION FOR A BILL OF
PARTICULARS FILED BY THE DEFENSE AND RULED THAT THE STATE
PROVIDED SUFFICIENT ANSWERS TO REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL
INFORMATION BY THE DEFENSE. THE ARTICLE REPORTED THAT THE
DEFENSE HAD ASKED FOR FURTHER DISCLOSURES IN TWELVE
DIFFERENT QUESTIONS AND PARAGRAPHS. ERVIN DYMOND, DEFENSE
ATTORNEY, OBJECTED TO THE RULLING AND TOOK A BILL OF
EXCEPTIONS IN ALL TWELVE INSTANCES OF THE JUDGE'S RULING.

END PAGE ONE

53 SEP 19 1967

MR. DELOACH FOR THE DIRECTOR

REC 16

REC 16
62-109060-5732

5732

SEP 13 1967

Handwritten signature/initials

PAGE TWO

JUDGE HAGGERTY MADE IT CLEAR THAT TESTIMONY IN THE HEARING WOULD BE STRICTLY CONTROLLED, POINTING OUT THAT THERE WERE CERTAIN QUESTIONS THAT COULD NOT BE ASKED MEMBERS OF THE GRAND JURY. JUDGE HAGGERTY ALSO SAID THAT THERE WERE THIRTYFIVE TO THIRTY SIX WITNESSES SUBPOENAED AND THAT HE INTENDED TO WIND UP THE HEARING THIS EVENING OR TONIGHT. JUDGE HAGGERTY THEN CALLED FOR A TEN MINUTE RECESS TO DISCUSS WHICH WITNESSES MIGHT BE EXCUSED AND TO "REVIEW THE RULES OF THE GAME WITH ATTORNEYS FOR THE PROSECUTION AND SHAW". AFTER THE CONFERENCE ALL WITNESSES EXCEPT THE SEVEN CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGES AND THE DA'S LEGAL STAFF WERE SEQUESTERED.

ACCORDING TO THE ARTICLE, CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGES BERNARD J. BAGERT, FRANK J. SHEA, MALCOLM V. O'HARA AND THOMAS M. BRANEY TESTIFIED AS WITNESSES. THESE JUDGES WERE QUESTIONED CONCERNING THE GENERAL METHOD OF SELECTION OF GRAND JURIES AND THE USE OF WOMEN AND NEGROES IN THE MAKEUP OF ORLEANS PARISH GRAND JURIES.

END PAGE TWO