

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Gale	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

February 2, 1967

Mr. J. E. Hoover
 director of Federal
 Bureau of Investigation

Dear Mr. Hoover,

I finished reading an article only moments ago which is an interview with Mark Lane in the February issue of Playboy magazine. It was concerned with the Warren Report which up to now, was to me, a compiling of the facts on the assassination of President Kennedy. I say up to now because after reading the interview, I too have grave doubts as to the validity of the Warren Report.

Like most people I've heard different theories on what happened in Dallas on Nov. 22, 1963 but never chose to believe any version but that of people I felt were above reproach in integrity, especially the FBI. Maybe this is because I wanted to believe the simplest explanation I dont know myself. The Playboy article however, not only makes the Warren Commission look deceitful & dishonorable but also our top government agencies. By top I mean the CIA, Secret Service and most important to me, the FBI. Up to now I have had every faith and confidence in the Federal Bureau of Investigation. I felt with all the corruption there may be in different agencies, the one organization that was free from all types of intimidating and underhanded methods was the FBI. Now I don't know. There of course, could be explanations for the "facts" written in Mr. Lane's interview and I would be relieved to know that the gestapo type methods he describes are inaccurate. That's why I would like to know the FBI's explanation to the article. I am what you might describe as an average type citizen who is easily swayed when presented with authentic looking reading material. If you would care to inform me where I can read something in contrast to Mr. Lane's statements I would be glad to look at the other side. I can't make any qualified decisions you may think, but if my description of myself as an "average type citizen" is correct, there may be millions of other Americans who have read the afore mentioned article & have a tarnished image of what once to them was the one organization where they could seek help & have unquestioned faith that their interests

ack
 2-9
 myb

would be held in high regard. You may think that the public couldn't and still cannot handle information of certain caliber, but I wonder if it's better to have their faith in their government shaken, because as government agencies, from Dallas police representing city government to the FBI representing federal government, that could be the result of having such doubts as were raised by Mr. Lane's interview.

Sincerely

Mrs. A. D. Carter
3616 49th S.W.
Seattle Wn. 98116

COPY:nm

February 1961

Mr. J. E. Hoover
Director of Federal
Bureau of Investigation

Dear Mr. Hoover,

I finished reading an article only moments ago which is an interview with Mark Lane in the February issue of Playboy magazine. It was concerned with the Warren Report which up to now, was to me, a compiling of the facts on the assassination of President Kennedy. Being up to now because after reading the interview, I too have grave doubts as to the validity of the Warren Report.

1-2-61
R.H. Myb
... must people see this

Different theories on what
 happened in Dallas on
 Nov. 22, 1963 but never
 was I believe any version
 but that of people I felt
 were above reproach in
 integrity, especially the
 FBI. Maybe this is because
 I wanted to believe the
 simplest explanation I don't
 know myself. The Playboy
 article however, not only
 makes the Warren Commis-
 sion look deceitful &
 dishonorable but also our
 tax government agencies. By
 top I mean the CIA and
 Service and most important
 to me, the FBI. Up to now
 I have had every faith and
 confidence in the Federal
 Bureau of Investigation. I

3

felt with all the corruption
there may be in different
agencies, the one organization
that was free from all types
of intimidating and under-
handed methods was
the FBI. Now I don't
know. There of course, could
be explanations for the
"facts" written in Mr. Hanis
interview and I would be
relieved to know that
the Gestapo type methods he
describes are inaccurate.
That's why I would like
to know the FBI's explana-
tion to the article. I am
what you might describe
as an average type citizen
who is easily swayed when
presented with authentic
looking reading material.

If you would care to inform me where I can read something in contrast to Mr. Lane's statements I would be glad to look at the other side. I can't make any qualified decisions you may think, but if my description of myself as an "average type citizen" is correct, there may be millions of other Americans who have read the afore mentioned article and have a tarnished image of what an organization where they could seek help have unquestioned faith that their interests would be held in high regard. You may think that the public

couldn't and still cannot
handle information of
certain caliber, but I
wonder if it's better to
have their faith in
their government shaken,
because as government
agencies, from Dallas police
representing city government
to the FBI representing
federal government, etc.,
could be the result of having
such doubts as were raised
by Mr. Harris's interview.

Sincerely

Mrs. A. D. Carter
3616 49th A.W.
Seattle, Wn.
99116

Mr. Tolson
Mr. DeLoach
Mr. Mohr
Mr. Wick
Mr. Casper
Mr. Callahan
Mr. Conrad
Mr. Felt
Mr. Gale
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Sullivan
Mr. Tavel
Mr. Trotter
Tele. Room
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

FEB 14 1967

EXP. PROC.
30 FEB 6 1967

FEB 14 67 - 4468

EX 101

FEB 6 1967

COPIES

5016 49th Ave.
Seattle, Wash.
98142

Curry
AUG 15 1967
3 FEB 1967



Mr. J. E. Hoover
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington D. C.