

12205
20 November, 1965

12205
12205
12205

Attention:

Writers Market #56 lists your organization and does so in
and of the kind of material you either welcome or solicit or
offer for publication. With knowledge of this fact in
mind, I invite your commentary in form of a manuscript or docu-
mentary I have myself authored and compiled, an author and a
manuscript, as the case would be, describable in the following
paragraphs.--

A combined documentary, scientific and technological report
and criticism or indictment composed of a system of articles and
correspondence accumulated over a period of months in some cases
and years in others.

A manuscript compiled and authored by an individual whose
accumulation of knowledge probably embraces the most contro-
versial scientific and technological problems and issues of
the time.

A manuscript which shoulders the responsibility of openly
and specifically attacking the most delusory yet subtle
and unrepentantly purposeful system of lies, frauds and cor-
ruption trash etc. in the nation's history, an attack aimed at
the very agencies the system itself has been deliberately
designed to protect.

An individual whose home has been the object of an
unprovoked visit by F. B. I. agents as a consequence of the
authoring and delivering of articles of the kind included in the
manuscript.

An individual who can prove on records and issues to experts,
the nation's bureaucrats and many others in addition would be
willing to go to any lengths in suppressing him and forcing a
rather wasting of his time.

A manuscript whose measure of merit even involves the
nation's legal profession, General Electric Co., Brookhaven
National Laboratories, American Association for the Advancement
of Science and a publication involving protection of Atomic Sci-
ence etc.

A documentary based on facts and the probably irrefragable
evidence surrounding such facts.

manuscript is based on more than a year's measure of
unlimited human interest and intelligence.

Work of relative brevity (less than the equivalent of 200
written sheets) but one so broad yet detailed of des-
cription and so elementary yet sophisticated of content it can
be said to treat of U. S. and U. S. and apparatus etc.
as the practical fruits of but one of the easily describable
branches of overall diversified engineering encompassed by an
overall scientific and technological "miracle creed".

Work authored by an individual whose knowledge of space,
cosmology, quantum mechanics, matter, relativity, cause
and effect, action and reaction etc. has been accumulated over
a number of decades and not mere months or years.

Manuscript compiled and authored by an individual who has
never possessed any literary ability; but a three-line manuscript
(easily readable (photocopied typewritten pages) and so capable
of being understood (elementary terminology etc.) it could be
understood and assimilated by a pre-high-school student.

Documentary based on knowledge, experience and know-how
confident, overwhelming and probably certain of its ground
that the author can afford to publish and openly (publicly and
fully except insofar as the identity of the author would become
known to the public in any significant way) distribute thousands
of copies in which he speaks on the change that the President
of the United States is a liar and a cheat. A documentary
of knowledge etc. so certain of its ground that the author
can accomplish this yet not be called to account for such charges
by any Federal agency.

An individual whose wife remains totally oblivious of the
intrigue involved here even to this day. An individual
whose wife, relatives and friends to this day remain totally
oblivious of--

1. The identity of the individual (myself) who delivered
the thousands of articles referred to in paragraph 1
and this despite their having been produced and dis-
tributed from time to time since 1957.

2. All of the correspondence obtained by the manuscript
and which issued back and forth between myself and the
legal profession etc. etc.

3. The name of F. E. ... to my home during 1954.

4. The existence of the ... and its ...

5. The name and quality of ... scientific etc. included.

6. ... etc. etc.

manuscript reflecting the objectives of an author whose practical and theoretical knowledge and whose direct personal experience with etc. etc. etc. and exhibition of etc. etc. etc. could not even be evaluated by a publisher or editor or reviewer etc. etc. etc. not alone presented by a research scientist or engineer etc. An individual whose knowledge and experience in such matters covers a span of time reckoned in decades rather than months or years. An individual whose knowledge and experience in such matters is enormous.

A manuscript which reveals the nature of a few of the subtle and unlimited conditions of opposition capable of discouraging and finally destroying an individual in our day - opposition capable of rendering impossible the problem of getting to the bottom with the kind of written word he could understand in such matters.

A commentary authored by an individual whose knowledge can underwrite the accuracy and authenticity of every important feature contained therein; but a commentary which, up to the present, purposefully avoid treating of the basic scientific and technological details (operational ramifications, physical laws etc.) of the scientific and technological instrumentation otherwise dealt with in the commentary.

A manuscript which supplies the all-important key to any understanding of the knowledge behind the motives behind national and international policies, objectives, plans etc. at high places in our day.

A manuscript which pulls no punches in connection with the irreconcilable breach between knowledge, on the one hand, and religion and religious belief, on the other. But a manuscript authored by an individual who, unlike the base for theologians and present, does not owe his knowledge of how things are to the ignorance or the element of irresponsibility.

A manuscript which supplies the all-important key to an understanding of how and why it happens that the very ones who objectives and formulate policy at high levels cannot actually prove or even suggest that they really know what they are doing or why they are doing what they are doing etc. (the element of irresponsibility).

The author herewith tentatively identifies the nature of the manuscript.

There have been, obviously, a number of prior decisions in so handling a manuscript of the nature indicated could have all readers or critics etc. etc. etc., none of which would be very accurate. Obviously, it could even have arrived at a decision prior to the time it would nevertheless agree

And the answer? For he could be told. Familiar with
many some of the material contained in the
items indicated in paragraphs 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, etc. of this
letter you hold. Worse than that, the reason why he would refuse
to publish could arise out of respect for considerations having
nothing whatever to do with excuses or pretenses or reasoning
on his part at all.

Having presented you with a rough approximation of what you
could expect of my manuscript and documentary, I do solicit your
yes or no answer to the question "would you consider pub-
lishing a manuscript of the kind I describe?" Obviously, your
decision could not avoid being based on your appraisal
of the reaction to one or more salient aspects of the manuscript
as the ones indicated in paragraphs 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 15,
and 19, say, of this letter you hold. That is to say, your
decision (your answer of "no" to the question) could not be based
on the kind of nonsense or idiosyncrasy, say, terminating in some such
thing as "it does not meet the needs of our particular program"

published work containing the kind of information, accu-
sations and reference etc. indicated could not possibly avoid
being an intensely saleable item provided that a publisher would
after an honest desire to so have it. In fact, an author
of underwriting the accuracy of his more salient contents
could be said to have authored a revelation of inestimable and
astounding proportions.

Your comment requested in the first paragraph does not
amount to more than a one word "yes" or "no" comment. It
is merely and only your answer to the question of whether
you would publish this work despite its obvious content and
obscure reference, a question which does not necessarily
indicate that a "yes" answer will give you the opportunity
to publish.

Mr. Sheldon and Mr. Editor of Garden Press, New York City,
examined the manuscript and have announced that it should
be published and that their organization would be privileged
to do so.

Yours truly
Joseph School
Joseph School

Your early reply would be appreciated. This work might be
of some value by itself. It is singular and relatively
scarcely reference to J. J. ... long before his death
would be of especial interest to publishers and others.