

DR. REVILLO PENDELETON OLIVER

Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver is Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois. He was born in Texas, went to high school in Illinois and California, was an undergraduate in Pomona College (Claremont, California), and obtained the degree of Ph. D. at Illinois under the late great Professor William Abbott Aldrich. For many years he has taught, almost entirely, graduate students in Classical Philology, and for a number of those years he also gave graduate courses in Spanish and Italian.

He has traveled widely. After World War II he was awarded a Guggenheim Post-Service Fellowship. In 1953-54, he spent a year in Italy on a Rubright Research Fellowship exploring Renaissance manuscripts in the famous Italian libraries.

He is a scholar of international reputation. He has published scholarly articles in our languages in twelve learned periodicals of the United States and Europe. His first book was an annotated translation from the Sanskrit, "Niracharika" ("The Little Clay Cart") published by the University of Illinois in 1938. He is a member of fourteen learned societies in the United States and Europe, and has been an officer in several of these.

During World War II, he was Director of Research in a secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country. In this position

his attention was first called to the magnitude of Communist penetration in this country, and the information which he then acquired has enabled him to follow the progress of the Communist conquest of the world abroad and of the Communist conspiracy at home. To expose this conspiracy he has written many book reviews and articles in periodicals such as American Opinion (in which he writes the monthly "Review of Reviews"), Modern Age, American Progress, Free Enterprise, Christian Economics, National Review and even Nation's Business in which, as long ago as 1934, he pointed out, in two articles, the dangers and folly of the socialistic agencies of the New Deal.

Professor Oliver is one of the very few college professors who are speaking publicly against Communism. He has made numerous appearances before patriotic groups, service clubs, forums, and on radio and television.

Professor Oliver's speeches and articles on Communism are his contribution as a loyal American to the preservation of his country. This is a burden voluntarily assumed as a private citizen, and has, of course, no connection with his professional work in teaching and scholarly research in the field of Classical Philology at the University of Illinois.

His most recent article is:

"MARXISM IN DALLAS"



COMMUNITY LECTURE SERIES
MT. ZION, ILLINOIS

Dear Sir:

I want to read Dr. Oliver's article, "Markmanship in Dallas", which has made national headlines recently. Please send the February, 1964, issue of the magazine, AMERICAN OPINION, containing the article - Part I.

NAME..... I enclose \$1.00 in cash, check or money order.

ADDRESS..... (Enclose an additional \$1.00 for the March issue containing Part II of the article.)

..... In addition, please send a copy of this bulletin to the following persons: (Enclose 10¢ for each address.)

NAME..... NAME.....

ADDRESS..... ADDRESS.....

.....

PUBLIC STATEMENT BY: Dale E. Scholz, M. D. Parkside Gardens Mount Zion, Illinois

THE JOHN BIRCH SOCIETY AND THE COMMUNITY LECTURE SERIES

"I fully support the beliefs and the principles of the John Birch Society as set forth in the Congressional record. Further, I am proud to be a member, and also, I consider it an honor to be a part of this fine organization.

"My reason for bringing this to your attention at this time is to make emphatically clear that the Community Lecture Series has not been a John Birch Society endeavor any more than my membership in the Lions Club would indicate that the Lions Club program or programs were in any way connected with the John Birch Society.

"I would be the first to agree that you would not be able to get a finer group of people for sponsors than the John Birch Society. However, that has not been the case. I sincerely hope that the many persons who are not members of the Society will see fit to continue with their help as well as their presence at the lectures".

- The beliefs and principles of the John Birch Society, Congressional Record, proceedings and debates of the 87th Congress, second session. Extension of remarks by the Hon. John H. Roussetor of California in the House of Representatives, Tuesday, June 12, 1962.

Since writing the above I have had many requests for information about the John Birch Society. If you would like to receive information on this subject, complete the address space below. Send a stamped envelope (large size) addressed to yourself and enclose 25¢ (coin or stamp) to cover cost of educational material. Mail to Community Lecture Series, Mt. Zion, Illinois.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

.....


eries of articles published from 2 March to 5 March 1964. Lieutenant Colonel Golemlowski, although, of course, he knew only a part of the Communist operation, was able to identify nineteen of his fellow officers in the Soviet Secret Police who now hold high positions in our State Department and in our Central Intelligence Agency. Golemlowski did not, of course, know what the Central Intelligence Agency does with the billions of dollars of your money that it is given each year, but he did know what happened to one little next egg of \$1,200,000 which was sent to the C.I.A.'s office in Vienna, Austria. One-

third was given directly to the Soviet Secret Police, one-third was given to the Communist Party in Italy, and one-third was sent back to the United States to finance the American Communist Party.

Do you wonder why you are losing your country?

Ladies and gentlemen, I have done, and I shall continue to do, my duty as an American citizen. The question is what you Americans will do for yourselves. I can only warn you that your time is running out. The question before you is whether you and your children will regret that they were born.

Paul P. Olson



ape from the back of that building. There is other evidence that has not yet been printed. I am informed by a very reliable source that evidence which has been given to the F. B. I. but which I have been asked not to disclose, proves an association between Oswald, Rubenstein, and a mysterious and wealthy immigrant who operates under an alias in a part of the nation that is far distant from Dallas. I am informed by another reliable source that absolutely incontrovertible evidence of close association between Oswald and Rubenstein OUTSIDE the United States is now available, and will be disclosed at the proper time. Please note that the two last items I have told you are part of what has been told me by sources which I believe to be entirely reliable, but which I cannot personally guarantee, because I obviously was not there myself. I have indicated to you candidly the necessary qualifications of those statements, and I ask you to remember this if some "Liberal" Heeper accuses me tomorrow of having made unsubstantiated allegations."

In the meantime, who is the Jack Rubenstein who executed Oswald before he would talk? He is, of course, the Jack Rubenstein who operated night-clubs and, according to rumor, less legitimate businesses in Dallas under the alias of Jack Ruby. He is admittedly the Jack Rubenstein who has a record as a petty hoodlum in Chicago. He is admittedly the Jack Rubenstein who, like Oswald, must have come close connection with Fidel Castro, since he paid a clandestine visit to Havana in December, 1962, and January, 1963, where he was the guest of one Solomon Praskin, a long-time supporter and intimate of Castro who for many years before the latter came to power operated a "novelty" shop and tourist-trap on the Prado in Havana across from the Seville Hotel, and who still holds forth in the same location as a cover for his other activities. That, by the way, was not Rubenstein's first visit to the Communist base in Cuba; according to the Dallas Morning News, he is known to have visited Castro-land in 1959, about nine months after the Soviet annexed Cuba.

But, beyond all that, is the Jack Rubenstein in Dallas the Jack Rubenstein who was a known Communist agent thirty years ago? More specifically is he the Jack Rubenstein who, as a young man, was on the Executive Board of the Young Communist League when that gang was most active in recruiting dots and young criminals on college campuses throughout the country?

That is a point that it should be easy to determine. I do not pretend, ladies and gentlemen, to be an expert on the detailed workings of the Communist conspiracy in the United States, and I certainly do not have the elaborate files that the real experts whom I know have been accumulating for the past forty years. But when I was asked for suggestions by a quasi-official source not long after the murder, I was able to name, on the basis of my little acquaintance with the records, two living witnesses who could make a personal identification. I added that one of those witnesses was a notorious Communist-fronter, who could certainly commit perjury if he thought that he could get away with it, and that the other was a man of whom I had some personal knowledge and who, was sure, would tell the truth. So far as is publicly known, the witnesses whom I suggested have not been consulted. There is another obvious way of letting at the truth: if the Jack Rubenstein of the Young Communist League is not the Jack Rubenstein in Dallas, he is either still active in Complotatorial circles in the United States or has fled to escape interrogation or is dead. In any event, it should be possible either to locate him without much effort or to

nothing more was heard of that story. In Dallas it was rumored, however, that the body of a Secret Service man had been found in the Texas Book Depository hidden behind book cartons near the place where Oswald had hidden his rifle. It further reported that responsible persons had seen the body carried from the Depository, and that it was taken to the Parkland Hospital, where the Secret Service man was pronounced dead on arrival. That story did not appear in the press, and has been printed, so far as I know, only in a newsletter whose general accuracy I cannot definitely evaluate.

The story is widely known and believed in Dallas, but I have not been able to obtain conclusive confirmation. The nearest that I could come to that was to locate a very responsible citizen who says that he was told by a member of the staff at the Book Depository that that man had seen with his own eyes the body of a Secret Service agent as it was removed from the building. This man further reports, however, that two days later his informant came to him in a state of great perturbation, asked him to say nothing about the story, and said that if he were questioned, he would deny having said anything of the sort. The man was obviously frightened, and returned on three subsequent occasions to insist that his name be kept out of the affair.

Now obviously that story cannot be accepted as a fact from which one can reason to definite conclusions. At most, it suggests the imperative need for a legitimate inquiry into the facts surrounding the death of the President. We Americans have a right to know, and if we are fit to live as free men, we shall not accept Earl Warren's grinning statement to the press, made after the publication of my article, that he was going to see to it that people of our generation never learned all the facts.

We need to know, and we need to know NOW, because this is a matter that vitally affects our national security--vitally affects your chances and mine of being alive a few years from now. As I have indicated in my article, the only deduction that can reasonably be drawn from the known facts is that the Communist agent, Oswald, carried out the assassination, as Congressman Dies has said, on orders from his superiors in the conspiracy, and that he must have had the cooperation of highly placed confederates. The frantic efforts to cover up the facts merely serve to confirm our deductions.

Ladies and gentlemen, the International Communist Conspiracy's assassination of Kennedy is but one of the thousands of data that the vermin are desperately trying to cover up until they can take the United States beyond the point of no return and gain, through the pending "Civil Rights" legislation and many similar forms of subversion such powers over you that resistance will be hopeless, when you at last see the dreadful truth.

Here is but one of a thousand recent events that I could mention. I pick this one because you may find it suggestive and because you will never have heard of it, unless you read one of the very few newspapers in the country that had the courage to print the story. Two years ago, Lieutenant Colonel Goleniewski of the Soviet Secret Police, an officer so important in that organization that he had his own airplane, defected and escaped to the West. Since that time, he has vainly sought an opportunity to tell his story to a Congressional committee, but was prevented by our Central Intelligence Agency from doing so. At last, thanks to Congressman Feligan of Ohio, he had an opportunity to tell informally part of what he knew, and this was reported in the New York Journal-American in a

y fall--which made the assassination possible. And that suggests to me the picture--not the certainty, mind you, but the suspicion--that the detour was arranged for Oswald's convenience. What does it suggest to you?

It all events, Oswald must have had some assurance that the procession was going to follow--or would be made to follow--that route, and he must have had it assurance at least thirty-eight days before the assassination--in other words, long before Kennedy himself knew that he was going to be in Dallas at all. The number of persons who could have given Oswald that assurance must be small. They must be persons in a very high position either in the White House or possibly in Dallas.

Obviously, if it were ascertained who insisted that that odd route be followed, it person would have to provide some explanation. But the question has not even been asked. We are supposed not even to wonder about it. If we even take the known facts, we will be spat at and told that we American swine have no right to think about such things.

Here is another detail: As we all know, after the assassination Oswald left the Book Depository undetected, went home, changed clothes, and then returned out for an unknown destination. On the way, he was for some reason stopped by a courageous and loyal policeman, Officer Tippit whom Oswald a moment of blind panic, shot to death. Officer Tippit, of whose back I and I have learned a great deal, was a young man not unlike most of the members of police forces throughout the country--the men to whom you citizens seldom give a thought, although they, usually underpaid, are the men who will protect you, with their lives, if necessary, from the lawlessness and violence at the International Communist Conspiracy is constantly striving to incite, on behalf of other pretents. Officer Tippit's death, so we shall never know precisely what instinct or surmise led him, while he was driving along in his automobile, stop the disguised Oswald, who happened to be passing on the sidewalk, for questioning. Whatever his reason, we know that he was right. In all this sorry business, Officer Tippit is one real hero. He did give his life in the performance of his duty. And I ask you to remember him--with a prayer. If such be your inclination and faith.

Only a Dallas paper and the Councilor, so far as I know, published a map of the route along which Oswald was walking when he was stopped by Officer Tippit. Oswald was walking the few blocks from the room that he had hired under an alias directly toward the apartment of Jakob Rubenstein, alias Ruby, to later killed him. And Rubenstein's apartment is the only reasonable objective that lay along that path. That path would not have led Oswald to a bus station, railroad terminal, car rental agency, airport limousine service, or any other objective that might reasonably be sought by a man intending to escape from town. If Oswald was not headed for Rubenstein's apartment, then he was merely strolling along the streets of Dallas to enjoy the afternoon breeze. If

yes. Does that seem likely to you?
There are many evidences of a close association between Oswald and Rubenstein. Some of these appeared in the press in Dallas and possibly in a few newspapers outside that city. A professional entertainer, Bill Crowe, who said that Oswald was a mnemonic expert whose business was to remember faces, stated positively that he had seen Oswald in Rubenstein's honky-tonk night club in Dallas

ascertain what has become of him. The F. B. I. has probably done that, but, as you know, the Warren Commission to Conceal Communist Crimes has forbidden publication of the F. B. I.'s report. So we still have no positive information--and Warren undoubtedly is determined to see to it that we never get any.

All that we can do, therefore, at the present time is reason from the record, as does former Congressman Dies in his article in the March issue of American Opinion, to which I refer you for details. I think that you have a right to know, but I warn you that if you ask such inconvenient questions, you will be spat on by experts.

I am in touch with very responsible friends and observers in Dallas, and I am assured that the city is seething with rumors and indignation as the local Communist network and their stooges try frantically to cover up inconvenient facts. I am going to mention two reports, telling you the extent and nature of my information and specifically warning you that I have not been able to verify either story from independent sources for which I can vouch.

Here is a very curious sequence of events reported to me from Dallas and also mentioned, in substantially the same terms, in a newspaper column by Bob Conscience which, I understand, was published in some newspapers on 23 February, 1964.

As we all know, after Oswald murdered Officer Tippit, he ran through a parking lot and a back alley. The first indication of the route that he had taken reached the Dallas police from a garage and car lot about a block from the murder. A young mechanic in that garage named Warren Reynolds, twenty-eight years old, told his acquaintances that it was he who had seen the suspicious character dodging among the cars and that it was he who first put the Dallas police on Oswald's trail. Early in February, when young Reynolds was working alone in the garage late at night on a rush job, a gunman shot him through the head. The gunman was seen running away and a description of him was obtained. The police picked up a known Communist agitator who answered the description, but released him when a woman provided him with an alibi. The woman who provided the alibi was--note this--a strip-tease performer in Jack Rubenstein's honky-tonk night club. Some weeks later she again came to the notice of the police when she was drunk and disorderly and engaged in a public brawl. She was accordingly put in a cell for the night and was to be questioned the next morning when she had sobered up. The next morning she was found hanged in her cell. I have said "the next morning," because that is what my source in Dallas told me. Mr. Conscience in his column implied that the girl spent several days in her cell before her death. I am not sure which is right.

Now here is a story that I did not mention in my article because I had not been able to obtain verification that I could regard as certain:

The methods of the Secret Service that protects the President are well known. The agents of that organization try to check every manhole in the streets and every window in every building along the route of a Presidential procession, and they try to make that check just before the procession passes. That, of course, is an enormous job, and the Secret Service can only do the best that it can with its available staff. The Secret Service surely would not have overlooked so obvi-

as refused an invitation to speak after me on a lecture series and to refuse, if I can, anything that I have said.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, if we come to my article in American Opinion, I again find myself in the embarrassing position of seeming to say, "I told you so!" to let me remind you that there is nothing in the article for which I can take personal credit; there are only facts, which anyone could have ascertained, and deductions from those facts, which any reasonable person could have drawn. In the first installment of my article, in the February issue of American Opinion, I drew two principal conclusions, which, of course, the vermin did their best to conceal (with their venomous vomit.) I call your attention to the fact that both of those conclusions, which I drew by deduction from the evidence when I wrote during Christmas, have already been confirmed, the one conclusively, the other by evidence of a very high degree of probability.

The first dealt with the so-called Warren Commission. The Communist Party, two days after the assassination, officially demanded the appointment of Earl Warren as the head of an "Extraordinary Commission"--and I am quite sure that the Communist Party was well aware of the interesting detail that the words "Extraordinary Commission" are an exact English translation of the title first given to the Soviet Secret Police when that gang of professional crooks and murderers was organized in 1917 immediately after the capture of Russia by the International Communist Conspiracy. Of course, that is just one of the criminality little jokes. Well, five days later, in response to the Communist demands--unless, of course, the whole thing had been arranged long in advance--such a commission was appointed, headed by Earl Warren.

It required no penetration at all to see that the function of that unconstitutional and illegal commission would be to cover up the crime which the Communists had committed in Dallas. But when I wrote in December, I did not anticipate that the notorious Earl Warren would fully confirm my conclusions on 4 February, when he told the Associated Press and other newsmen that the testimony taken by the Commission would not be made public "in your lifetime." The breathtaking audacity of that announcement proves that Earl Warren feels for the American people the same contempt that his friend, Khrushchev, expressed when he, commenting on his tour of the United States at the invitation of President Eisenhower, said, when home in his own realm, "The Americans--you spit in their faces and they think it's dew."


In that article, I considered various explanations of the assassination of President Kennedy by the Communist, Oswald, and after weighing the evidence, I came to the conclusion that the most probable explanation was that the assassination had been carried out on orders from the headquarters of the International Communist Conspiracy as part of a carefully planned strategy of assault on the American people.

I wrote, of course, in December. I now quote from a syndicated newspaper column, entitled "Washington Pipeline" by John Henshaw, and published in various newspapers on or about the third of March.

WASHINGTON, D. C.--The reason behind Chief Justice Earl Warren's blunt statement--after hearing testimony by Mrs. Marina Oswald, widow of Lee Harvey Oswald--that important parts of his special commissions findings would not be revealed "in our lifetime," is that evidence indicates that the assassination of President Kennedy was engineered in Moscow and wittingly or unwittingly financed by U. S. government funds.

reference to many others.

But there is much more than that. Have you noticed the really amazing deduction of the route that the procession followed? I have used aerial photographs, but the situation is shown with sufficient clarity in the photograph published in the Dallas Times Herald on 23 November and perhaps in a few other newspapers.



Now observe closely. The pattern of streets approximates a 30-degree-60 degree triangle. Here is Main Street--in a big city, Dallas, it is, of course, no longer the main street, but it is an important thoroughfare--one of many that the procession could have followed. It is the street that runs on the right-hand side of this triangle. So far, so good. The obvious thing, surely, is for the procession to continue down Main Street and through the famous underpass that is at the bottom of our triangle, where it is to turn and go to the hall where Kennedy was to speak. And that, indeed, is the route shown on the map that was published in the Dallas Morning News on the morning of the President's arrival.

But there is another detail. Over here, at the left upper corner of our triangle, is the Texas Book Depository where Oswald is waiting with his rifle--and, according to some good evidence, a fellow assassin not yet identified. Had the procession continued down Main Street, the President would have been within rifle range, but for much of the distance he would have been masked by intervening structures, and for the intervals where he would not have been so protected, Oswald would have had to hit a target moving rapidly at right angles to his line of fire. Every man here who has done any hunting, or has fired at distant moving targets, knows what that means. Not even the best marksman with the best telescopic sights can be sure of hitting such a target at long range.

So what happened? The procession, instead of continuing down Main Street, turned to the left on my diagram and went down Houston Street to the corner on which the Book Depository was located. Then it turned and went down Elm, the hypotenuse of the triangle, to get back to Main Street and its original route. The effect of this was to make the President move in a straight line that almost coincided with Oswald's line of fire as he stood in the window of the Book Depository. As every hunter well knows, the easiest of all targets to hit is one that is moving away from you along a straight line at a constant speed. In this case, young Oswald scored a bull's eye.

Obviously, it was this peculiar detour around the triangle--one for which there is no apparent reason--unless, perchance, someone wanted Kennedy to see the

program called on the telephone various listeners and asked for their opinion on some questions of the day. During the week that ended March 1, there was a question about the John Birch Society, and the announcer telephoned some listener who was either a member or knew something about it. That man described honestly and fairly the purposes and methods of the John Birch Society, and the announcer permitted that statement to go out over the air. The next day his program was permanently cancelled and the announcer was taken off the air. Whether he will be able to obtain another job, I do not know.

You will now see why concerted picking throughout the country for which my most recent articles in American Opinion afforded a pretext did not in the least astonish me. It was predictable with almost mathematical accuracy, just as it is predictable that it will be renewed with equal fury in the near future, unless the International Communist Conspiracy decided that it would be simpler to murder me, preferably in a faked accident.

Of the techniques employed in this campaign, let me give you one small example. Our national press services have become a little cautious since the Associated Press lied about the conductor of General Walker at the time that Kennedy's goons were terrorizing the citizens of Oxford, Mississippi, and it now faces suits for criminal libel totalling, I understand, \$23,000,000. So here is what United Press International reported concerning my article. I read from a despatch printed in the Louisville Courier-Journal on 12 February, but reproduced in newspapers throughout the country, including, no doubt, your local papers.

"The Oliver article--" (notice they can't even write English)--"the Oliver article said Oswald... was arrested as a suspect in the shooting of former Maj. Gen. Edwin A. Walker, but was released through the personal intervention of Robert F. Kennedy." The article offered no confirmation of Oswald's arrest in the Walker shooting."

Now here is what I really said on the first page of the first part of my article, "Marrnashp in Dallas":

"...According to a story that has been neither confirmed nor denied officially at the time that I write, Oswald was arrested as a suspect, but was released through the personal intervention of Robert F. Kennedy; etc...." I even indicate the source for this story as published in THE COUNCILOR for Dec. 20, 1963, Shreveport, Louisiana.

Did you notice the clever distortion? We have some really ingenious rats scurrying about in the sewers of American journalism.

The report, which I quoted with all due notice to my readers that I had not been able to verify it, had not been denied at the time that I wrote, and as matter of fact, it has not yet been denied, except by vague implication. And that implication was put forth in the very despatch from United Press International from which I read a moment ago, which goes on to quote a "Justice Department spokesman"--undoubtedly in any way--as saying that "the authorities"--unspecified and unidentified, and hence anything from the police in Dallas or the police in New Orleans to the F. B. I. or some clerks in the Justice Depart-

You will notice that Mr. Henshaw speaks positively--there is no "it is said" or "it is rumored" in his column. He evidently feels confident that his sources of information told him accurately what went on in the hearings that Warren is so desperately trying to keep secret from the American people. And I think Mr. Henshaw is right, because I hear substantially the same thing from my own sources, which, I am sure, are quite different from his.

Let us turn now, ladies and gentlemen, to a few details concerning the assassination itself. I shall not repeat the basic facts--which no one has questioned--that are stated in the opening pages of the sixteen-page first part of my article in the February issue of American Opinion, which, after all, is in print and available to anyone who will take the trouble to read it. I shall therefore first call your attention to one corroborative detail, which I omitted from that article for sheer lack of space. It is, however, a very significant detail, and I believe that, if you will think about it for a moment, it will suffice to suggest why such desperate efforts are being made to prevent an inquiry by responsible and loyal Americans into the facts surrounding the assassination of the late Mr. Kennedy.

As we all know--for it is a matter of public record--the young Communist punk, Oswald, went to Dallas for the specific purpose of assassinating Kennedy. Forty-five days before the assassination, he tried to obtain a job in a printing establishment which overlooked the route that was followed by the presidential procession in Dallas on 22 November. Oswald failed to obtain a position in the printing establishment because the manager made inquiries about him and learned of his notorious Communist record. Accordingly, on 15 October, Oswald, through the intercession of some wealthy patrons who come from the vicinity of New York City, obtained his job in the next best site for the planned assassination of the president, the Texas Book Depository.

Now note the significance of that fact: forty-five days before the assassination, Oswald knew that Kennedy was going to visit Dallas. In other words, he knew that long before Kennedy himself did. If there is any truth whatsoever in the stories that were given to the press from the White House, Kennedy's itinerary, according to the official stories, was in doubt until shortly before the visit. There is an excellent newsletter called Counterattack published by former members of the F. B. I. It costs twenty-four dollars a year, but it is worth it, because you can obtain from it information that never appears in the press. In their issue of 20 December, these former members of the F. B. I. positively reported that only a few days before the assassination Kennedy's itinerary was still so uncertain that a very wealthy leftist in Dallas named Narcus urged that Dallas be omitted from the itinerary, but was overruled by the notorious Adlai Stevenson, who, as you will remember, had a few weeks before provoked an incident in Dallas to create the impression that Dallas was a "right-wing" city.

Now there are only two logical explanations of the fact that the Communist agent chose Dallas for the assassination: either (1) he had, forty-five days in advance, secret information from some source in or close to the White House that Kennedy really would visit Dallas, or (2) he had assurance from such a source that if he succeeded in establishing himself in Dallas, the President would be persuaded to visit that city. Surely those are the only explanations, unless you wish to stretch the long arm of coincidence to the breaking point. But there is much more than that. Oswald not only knew that Kennedy would visit Dallas; he knew the precise route that Kennedy would follow through the

duty, of every American to defend his nation and his liberty to the utmost of his ability, and that duty cannot be evaded by a plea that he is too busy to perform it or that he would prefer to do something else.

Every informed American knows that, as J. Edgar Hoover has repeatedly warned us, "WE ARE AT WAR with the Communists, and the sooner each red-blooded American realizes that, the better." That war is not the less real because it is conducted against us by stealthy subversion, systematic deceit, and covert treason. I firmly believe that no American has a right to sit idly by and watch the gradual capture of our nation by the incredibly cunning and brutal enemies of mankind. You--and I mean you, each American in this audience, and I am sure that the majority of this audience is American--cannot shrink that duty because you think loyalty to your country may be bad for your business or because you don't want to be spat on by our enemies and their contemptible little hirelings. Or if you do shrink, you will, unless you drug yourself, be haunted by the ghosts of all the young Americans who are now rotting in their graves in Europe and Asia, and who gave their lives gladly because they thought that they were dying for their country.

It was with such consideration in mind that I finally decided to speak, and I did so with a full awareness of the risks that I was taking. In my first public speech on the subject of the Communist Conspiracy, given in October, 1957, before a district meeting of the Daughters of the American Revolution, I pointed out, for the record, that I well knew that I was making myself a target for the splitting-squads that try to terrorize every American who attempts to expose effectively the International Conspiracy's gradual conquest of the United States.

There was no merit in making such a prediction. It was simply stating a fact known to everyone who has observed Communist methods. That fact was, I think, stated as clearly as possible by the editors of National Review in their issue for 19 April 1958:

"It is an established law of our epoch that any individual who begins to get public attention for ideas that run firmly and specifically counter to Soviet policy objectives will become the target for a massive campaign of defamation that will go on until he is politically--and often physically--silent, such campaigns originating in the secret chambers of the Communist apparatus, are commonly triggered by the words of an open or concealed Communist agent. They are carried on for the most part, however, not by the Communists themselves but by the massive exertions of the slavish Liberal left.

In our own nation as in all nations the honor roll of victims is long and varied: Charles Lindbergh, Pat McCarran, Jan Valtin, Robert Vogel, William C. Bullitt, Joseph McCarthy, Louis Budenz, Arthur Coleman, George Stratemeyer, Whitaker Chambers, Martin Dies, Douglas MacArthur, William Jenner and a hundred and one others."

Of course, subsequent to the publication of that editorial, National Review encountered a series of financial crises and now finds it expedient to laugh at the "conspiratorial theory" which its Board of Editors unanimously held in 1958. If that sudden about-face suggests to you anything concerning the hidden mechanisms of subtle control of even supposedly conservative opinion in the United States, you are free to draw your own conclusions.

As I pointed out in 1957, I was then too obscure to be attacked at once, and aside from some sporadic harassment, no major attack was made on me until the spring of 1959.

made five years ago, but at the time, since the conspiracy needed to keep Americans quiet until the needed bases had been built in Cuba, our domestic enemies set their puke-machines in motion, though not quite on the national scale recently employed. But even so, I was spattered with a good deal of their splurge. And there was quite a campaign to induce the University of Illinois to get rid of that nasty professor who was so mentally diseased that he thought that sweet Fidel Castro, the "George Washington of Cuba," was a Communist! And they went on puking and splurging, and the local accomplices of the late Oswald in the Communist-operated organizations called "Fair Play for Cuba" did their best to lie to the American people, until sweet Fidel himself went on the radio and told everyone that he had been a Bolshevik since adolescence. That, of course, shut the vermin up, and the puke-campaign subsided into minor forms of sporadic harassment.

I knew, of course, that the puke-machines would be started again as soon as the Conspiracy deemed it expedient. That they would operate on a much larger scale was a certainty after 5 December 1960, when the Kremlin issued orders to the depraved beasts that are its open and secret agents in the United States. These orders assigned to them as their most important task the destruction or intimidation of all Americans willing to defend their country from internal subversion. For statement and analysis of those orders, see the authoritative pamphlet entitled "The New Drive Against the Anti-Communist Program", published by the Senate Subcommittee on Internal Security, and containing the text of a hearing held by that Subcommittee on 11 July, 1961. This is available from the Government Printing Office in Washington for 35¢ although I hear that political pressures will soon be used to prevent further distribution of it.

If you will read that pamphlet carefully, you will understand that whenever you hear someone screeching about "right-wing extremists," or "Birchers" or "radical right fascists," or "racists," unless he is a mere parrot repeating sounds he does not understand, you are listening either to one of your domestic enemies or to a hireling who will do anything for a fast buck or to one of those self-styled "intellectuals" whose empty heads make such perfect echo-chambers for Bolshevik propaganda.

The principal target of the barrage of puntent pus that is spewed on all anti-Communists is the John Birch Society, which has sent our hidden enemies into a frenzy because its members, the finest Americans in our country, have simply refused to be intimidated by either public screeching against them as a body or by the clandestine attempts to ruin them as individuals through unspeakably vicious and vile attempts to undermine their businesses or their professional standing. Many members of the Council and other members of the Society who have spoken publicly have suffered losses far greater than anything thus far inflicted on me, but no one of them, I am proud to say, has even wavered in his duty as an American. That, of course, is why we promise our members, many of whom are vulnerable to the stealthy reprisals of the vast Conspiracy, that their names will not be disclosed unless they themselves wish it. And, as you know, the vermin use that elementary precaution as a pretext for calling us a "secret society."

I could give you a thousand instances of the vicious terrorism now going on in the United States, though concealed, so far as possible, from the public. Let me give you but one instance. I have here in my hand a letter from the president of one of the oldest and most respected manufacturing corporations in the state of Arkansas. He says that a local radio station had a program of the kind that is usual in many parts of the country. The man who was in charge of the

THE AFTERMATH OF THE ASSASSINATION

I have been asked, ladies and gentlemen, to comment briefly on my statement that the current attack on me did not in the least astonish me and was by no means the first of its kind, although more intense than those that preceded it. It is always embarrassing to talk about oneself, and I certainly should not do so, if what I have to say could be regarded as a claim to having had any unusual powers of perception or analysis. On the contrary, my point is that I have done nothing that could not have been done by any reasonably intelligent person who took the trouble to ascertain the facts and to think logically about them.

When I left Washington in 1945, I knew positively, from the thousands of bits of evidence that had come before me in the course of my secret work there, that the agents of the International Communist Conspiracy had penetrated almost every agency of the federal government and exercised a partial or complete control over many or even most of them. I made, however, a very serious miscalculation, which I will candidly confess to you: I assumed that the terrible facts would inevitably come to light in a few years; that the American people would inevitably discover how they had been manipulated with systematic lies and deceit to serve their most deadly enemies; that a wave of indignation, such as had never been seen before, would sweep the country and that trans-Atlantic steamers would be crowded with vermin fleeing the wrath of an aroused and angry nation. I therefore returned confidently to the philological studies that are the chief pleasure of my life, and which I was determined never again to interrupt.

When that great American patriot, Senator Joseph McCarthy, began his investigation of treason in the federal government, including the armed forces, I said to myself, "This is it--this is the beginning of the disclosure that I expected, and it comes at about the time at which I expected it." So I relaxed even more, and gave myself entirely to the studies and research in which, believe me, I find the deepest personal satisfaction.

I was not astonished when our domestic vermin began their frenzied campaign of character-assassination in an effort to halt Senator McCarthy's investigations, although I was a little astonished to find that their control of press and radio was much greater than I had estimated. I felt confident that Senator McCarthy had been right in 1952, when, after his famous speech in Wheeling, West Virginia, he conversed with a close friend of mine, who was then a member of one of our most important intelligence agencies. My friend warned the Senator that an all-out attack on him was impending, and that he would eventually be ruined, but Senator McCarthy merely shook his head and said, "No, the American people will never let me down."

I was in Europe during the climactic year of the attack on Senator McCarthy but I was confident that that vicious campaign would fall and serve only to ex-

As we all know, in January, 1959, the island of Cuba was captured, largely through the use of American resources, by the International Communist Conspiracy whose branch manager in Cuba was a disgusting creature named Fidel Castro. Now at that time, everyone who took the trouble to inform himself knew that Castro was a Communist agent. The evidence that was then available was so great that it takes me fifty minutes to summarize it in the speech that I have given in many cities as part of the "Our Council Speaks" program of the John Birch Society. I accordingly began in February, 1959, to tell Americans the obvious truth. I quote from the speech which I gave in March, 1959, before a convention of the Daughters of the American Revolution in Chicago:

"Although Castro thinks it worthwhile to say now that he is not himself a member of the Communist Party, he and his principal assistant, including his brother, have long been notorious Communists, and no reasonable person, even slightly acquainted with Latin-American affairs could ever have doubted that the Castro revolt was a Communist operation intended primarily to secure bases for the many Russian submarines which are constantly patrolling our Atlantic Coast, and to permit the establishment of rocketbases within one hundred miles of American soil.

I then pointed out that the methods by which the nest of traitors in our State Department installed Castro in power in Cuba duplicated in all essentials the methods by which the same nest of traitors had handed China over to the Soviet a few years before. And since we had seen the same pattern repeated over and over again in every defeat that the American people have suffered at the hands of the Washington-Moscow Axis, developments in Cuba could be predicted with absolute certainty. In the case of Cuba, as in that of China, Americans were having squinted in their faces the same old hogwash about the "poosh," the "need for social reform," and "agrarian reformers." And so I said four years ago:

"If the American people can be hypnotized by this concerted campaign in the press and over the radio, the situation in Cuba should be properly 'stabilized' in about two years. Then you will witness another act in the farce. You will recall that when the Communists were firmly established in China, our 'experts' blandly told us: 'Oops! We said they was agrarian reformers, but we was mistaken. They's Communists a fer all. Ha-ha-ha!'

"Now as soon as the Russians have built their submarine bases and set up the equipment to launch ballistic missiles from Cuba, our State De-

Date School, Mr. D. J. who, and others, sponsored the Lecture Series, congratulates Mr. Cleon Skousen, on his speech, "Law and Order".


Community Lecture Series

LECTURE NO. 5

Speaker of the Month **Julia Brown**
FBI Undercover Agent

Lecture Subject:

**"MY
EXPERIENCE
AS A MEMBER
OF THE
COMMUNIST
PARTY"**



A report by a House Committee on Un-American Activities tells very effectively how fully Mrs. Brown availed herself of the opportunity to expose the enemies of the United States, who are undermining, subverting, infiltrating from within. Mrs. Brown emerged from her experience as an FBI undercover operative with a message for the present and for the future, and for both races. Her story is packed with her intense sense of urgency of the hour and her concern that Americans appreciate their great heritage and defend and improve it with their lives.

For Tickets Call: Mt. Zion 2581 or 4616
Or Write Community Lecture Series, Mt. Zion, Ill.

AT DECATUR YMCA TUES., FEB. 18, 8 P.M.
This Lecture Series Is The Midwest's Greatest Public Forum

Community Lecture Series


LECTURE NO. 6

DR. REYLO P. OLIVER, Speaker of the Month
Professor of Classics,
University of Illinois

Lecture Subject:

**"MARXISM
IN DALLAS"**

Implications of the
Assassination of Pres.
Kennedy to the
American People



Dr. Oliver, a member of the Council of the John Birch Society, and long identified with conservative philosophy, presents explanations of the assassination of President Kennedy in a magazine called American Opinion. During World War II, he was directed by the War Department when he first noticed the magnitude of Communist penetration in this country. He has written articles in four languages in 13 periodicals in the United States and Europe. An attempt to expose the Communist conspiracies against the United States. His written book reviews and articles for such magazines as "Gods Own," "American Progress," "Free Enterprise," "Christian Economics," "National Review," and "American Opinion."


Admission: \$1.50

Reserved Seats: \$2.50

For Reserved Seats: Call Mt. Zion 2581 or 4616
or Write Community Lecture Series, Mt. Zion, Illinois

AT HOTEL ORLANDO, DECATUR, WED., MAR. 18, 8 P.M.
This Lecture Series Is The Midwest's Greatest Public Forum

QITY RE S LEW



of the appearance of Robert Kennedy) greets first Series lecturer, John Martino. Dr. Ramon Barera, M.D., center, who now practices medicine in Olney, Ill., appeared with supporting comments about conditions in Cuba and a story about his own flight to the U.S.

Community Lecture Series

The Midwest's Greatest Public Forum


Speaker Of The Month

Rev. Uriah Fields
Speaks On Civil Rights

At Decatur Y.M.C.A.

Tuesday, Nov. 12 8 P.M.

For Tickets Call Mt. Zion 2581 or 4646



Community Lecture Series

LECTURE NO. 3
Speaker of the month is

FELIPA MONTERRO

Lecturer, Author, Writer

HER LECTURE IS
ENTITLED


"CHRISTIAN
MISSIONS IN
THE CONGO
AND ANGOLA"



American born. This 28 year old author of the book "War And Peace In Flaming Africa", has lived in Africa for 10 years.

AT DECATUR YMCA TUES., DEC. 10, 8 P.M.

This Lecture Series Is The Midwest's Greatest Public Forum



19

COM

LEC

SI

IN F

JAKOB SCHIFF

The pressure on Wilson's financial side came from several sources, but the most important seems to have been a banker named Jakob Schiff, who had been the financial manager of Wilson's presidential campaign, and whose banking house, Kuhn, Loeb & Co., had more than a year earlier deposited in Swedish banks \$50,000,000 in the name of Trotsky and another degenerate whose true name and early career has never been satisfactorily ascertained but who is known to history as Ispahani Lenin.

ALGER HISS

In 1913 Felix Frankfurter was publicly denounced by former President Theodore Roosevelt as an "evil-mate" of Lenin and Trotsky. He accordingly retired from the American government to a professorship in Harvard University, where he settled down to train two generations of traitors and slip them into positions of responsibility in Washington or into law schools throughout the nation. Alger was just one of the hundreds whom Frankfurter prepared for their important tasks.

DOCUMENTATION

The foregoing is an exact reproduction of the text of the speech given in Chicago on 12 March 1959, with only a few omissions, shown by marks of suspension (...), which were necessary to fit the text to the available space.

The most recent and concise survey of the triumphs of treason in Washington and of subversion throughout our nation is the excellent book by John A. Stormer, entitled NONE SHALL PASS AT ALL. IT TREASON, published in February, 1964, available from Community Lecture Series - Mt. Zion, Illinois at 75¢ per copy (10 copies for \$7.00, 100 copies for \$50.00). This 254-page book is thoroughly documented, with exact references to the sources of all statements made in it. I heartily recommend it to all Americans, and urge them to distribute it to their uninformed friends. To save space, I cite this book as a general reference for the whole of my speech, further documentation on events that preceded the second World War or took place during it may be found in the 100-books listed and briefly reviewed in the January, 1959, issue of American Opinion.

On specific points in the foregoing speech on which the reader may desire further references, write Community Lecture Series, Mt. Zion, Illinois. Send a self-addressed envelope (business size) and enclose 15 cents in coin or stamps. In addition, you will receive a summary of the disclosures concerning the situation in Panama made by Dr. Oliver during the question period following his speech in Decatur.

which, by the way, the Communist conspiracy, through its control of the distributing agencies, has succeeded in barring from the nation's newspapers.

Remember that the tentacles of the conspiracy reach into your home town, where its puppets are promoting fluoridation, "mental health," and innumerable other plans to accustom us to gradually increasing slavery and intimidation. On these issues also you have in your resolutions given Americans a program for action.

SPEAK OUT

Take that program and act by it in all matters, national or local. Make your voice heard. Each one of you belongs to a club or a social circle. Speak out; tell Americans of their danger. I ask you for courage and resolution; you have displayed them as an organization; now display them as individuals in the community to which you belong.

This may, I know, entail some sacrifice. But our ancestors risked their lives and their honor to create this nation. Is it too much to ask us to risk our lives, if necessary, to recapture it from our enemies?

Why, they're capitalists. When we stand them up against a wall, we will shoot them in the belly; they die longer that way."

THE RELIGION OF MURDER

That was how I first came to suspect the real orientation of Communist minds, but only after years of observation, and with help from the illuminating analysis by Denis de Rougemont, did I realize that the young man was exceptional only in the readiness with which he let slip the mask. The true Communist, whatever disguise he may wear when he moves among you, is, in the literal and exact meaning of the English word, a thug, that is, a man who has made a religion of murder.

He is driven by an overwhelming lust to destroy and uproar, to annihilate civilization, to kill--and even more than that, to make decent men and women suffer, to degrade them to the level of animals. And he will work constantly, tirelessly, with infinite cunning for his ideal: The day when he will drag you from your home, kick in your teeth, crack your ribs, and throw you into a slave-labor camp where you will drag out the rest of your life in a degradation that you have never imagined even in nightmares. That is what he years for. Economic and social theories are merely a disguise to bewilder suckers.

NEW YORK CITY--CONSPIRACY HEADQUARTERS

In 1972, when the headquarters of the International Communist conspiracy were openly and officially transferred to New York City, there were only a few hundred people in the United States who were inspired by this bloodlust. But they went to work, patiently, doggedly, and with vicious cunning, to extend their tentacles over the entire nation. They went underground. By 1976 practically all of the members, including, of course, the new recruits, had been instructed to conceal their membership and deny that they were Communists; to penetrate existing American organizations, and to help one another attain positions of influence and power in those organizations; to work for the Communist goal under the guise of "social reform" and "democracy." This is, of course, the basic strategy that has been followed ever since.

FELIX FRANKFURTER

By 1907 the Communists were strong enough to organize a wing of the party that operated openly under the leadership of a criminal named William Z. Foster. One of Foster's closest associates and advisers, in 1907 or soon thereafter, was Felix Frankfurter, an immigrant who had wormed his way into the post of secretary to the Secretary of War in the cabinet of President Howard Taft.

By 1910 the first big Communist cell was planted in an American university--Harvard, of course. So far as I have been able to learn, the famous cells in Teachers College of Columbia University were not organized until somewhat later.

LEIB BRONSTEIN, ALIAS LEON TROTSKY

By 1917 the Communist conspiracy in the United States was strong enough

I have mentioned these dates primarily to remind you of the great progress that the Communist conspiracy made before 1919, when they decided to set up an official political party headed again by William Z. Foster. This official party, the segment of the conspiracy that is openly displayed, has never been large. It probably has never had over 90,000 card-carrying members at any one time. It has served on the one hand as a recruiting and testing agency to enlist talented conspirators who, after they had proven their worth and ability, could quietly be transferred to one or another division of the underground, and it has served on the other hand as a shield to mask and facilitate the work of the part of the apparatus that consists of conspirators who pose as "liberal intellectuals," and who urge the American people to save themselves from Communism by reforming their legal and economic system along Communist lines at home, and by taxing themselves to finance Communist government abroad.

TAXATION AS A WEAPON

Now these foreign events, although, of course, designed to weaken further our military position, are, in the Communist plans, strictly subordinate to the great program of internal subversion. This also has its center in Washington. Let me call your attention to one detail that you may not have noticed. We have all read about the president's so-called "economy" budget, and the loud yammering in Congress for increased expenditures, which is, of course, primarily a means of making foolish Americans think they have gained something if merely the crippling burden of that "economy" budget is dumped on their backs. Taxation has always been one of the primary instruments of the Communist conspiracy. You may remember the famous remark that is attributed to Harry Hopkins. I know not how accurately: "We have to bleed the American pigs dry before we butcher them." (...)

I have said enough, I think, to suggest how desperate our situation is. Our ancestors were never confronted by a danger so terrible and so immediate.

SENATOR JOSEPH MCCARTHY

Burt permit myself to see some hope. As the huge sale of the Overtree book shows, the American people, who watched in a narcotic apathy, induced by the press and the radio, while the Communist conspiracy struck down the late Senator Joseph McCarthy, are at last becoming faintly aware of their danger. Some people everywhere have been made uneasy by such outrageous exhibitions of Communist confidence as the use of paratroopers in Little Rock to overawe Americans who thought that they had constitutional rights. Protest is at last being heard against taxes that are imposed ostensibly for "welfare" and "progress", but in reality merely to bleed dry what Hopkins called "the American pigs". Farmers are rejecting the bribes offered them by the commissars, and resisting governmental terrorism. And what is even more important, on the local level in such a community people are becoming aware of the real purposes behind such things as regional planning, fluoridation, "mental health," "counseling programs" in the schools, and the many other local activities that serve the Communist purpose of persuading the boons to fasten on themselves their own chains.

... Communist operation intended primarily to secure bases for the many Russian submarines which are constantly cruising along our Atlantic Coast, and to permit the establishment of rocket bases within one hundred miles of American soil.

During the progress of his takeover in Cuba, Castro received supplies from Russian submarines, and, of course, vast quantities from the United States. The shipments from Florida were technically smuggled to him, but oddly enough our government was never able to intercept them.

But even with this help Castro would have failed but for the energetic intervention of our State Department. The technique used is a standard procedure and should be quite familiar to all of us by now.

GENERAL GEORGE MARSHALL

You will recall that when General George Marshall was sent to China to arrange for the Communist occupation of that country, he was able to accomplish his mission by simply cutting off from General Chiang Kai-Shek the supplies of ammunition that he needed for his soldiers' rifles, which were all, of course, of American manufacture. \$150,000,000 worth of ammunition on ships that were about to land was simply dumped in the ocean and, naturally, no further shipments were made. Thus Chiang Kai-Shek's men could not use even their rifles, to say nothing of machine guns and artillery, and he had to retreat before the Communist invaders, who had been equipped with the huge Japanese stores of weapons and munitions that we had turned over to them in Manchuria. Following this established pattern, our State Department cut off all supplies of ammunition to the small army of Colonel Batista in Cuba, which was equipped with weapons purchased in the United States. Even ammunition that Batista had bought and already paid for was confiscated on the docks. It seems quite clear that Batista's men did not desert; they simply had nothing to shoot.

OWEN LATTIMORE

You will also remember that while the great betrayal in China was under way under the direction of such eminent figures as General Marshall and Professor Owen Lattimore, the controlled press in this country was filled with propaganda to the effect that Chiang Kai-Shek was a scoundrel, and that the Communists in China were just innocent "agrarian reformers."

The same technique is, of course, now being used. The press is once again filled with manufactured reports of the past indiscretions of Batista, and with glowing accounts of Fidel Castro as an "agrarian reformer" and the "George Washington of Cuba."

If the American people can be hypnotized by this concerted campaign in the press and over the radio, the situation in Cuba should be properly "stabilized" in about two years. Then you will witness another act in the farce. You will recall that when the Communists were firmly established in China, our "experts" blandly told us: "Oops! We said they were agrarian reformers, but we was mistaken. They's Communists after all. Ha-ha-ha!"

mongrels: racial hybrids - an important political datum; see documentation,

... which enabled him to see that the Communists who make the decisions had only contempt for their inferiors who really believed the Marxist propaganda. As Thorton nearly puts it: "Intellects that failed to see through the fallacies of Communism were so arrested that they were of only limited use in the totalitarian state."

COMMUNISM AS A CONSPIRACY

It is quite incorrect, therefore, to say of a Communist, unless you mean that he is a fool, that he believes in Communism. Communism is not a theory in which men may believe; it is a conspiracy in which men participate. So far as Communism has an intellectual basis, that basis may be found in the doctrine not of Karl Marx, but of John Dewey. The Communist is the perfect Pragmatist, he is a Nihilist; he believes that there is no such thing as truth. He repudiates and hates all the traditions and cultures of the Past; there is no truth, there is no value in the world but the satisfaction of his own will and appetites, whatever they may be. Intellectually speaking, he is a worst-of-the-worst and most dangerous of all beasts.

SOCIAL TRUTH

Perhaps the neatest illustration of the intellectual processes of a Communist and the jargon that he loves to use will be found in comments made to me by university President some time ago. I had happened to mention the old ideal of scholarship and learning, disinterested pursuit of truth. I thought that he would at least pay lip service to this ideal, but he interrupted me abruptly. "There is no truth but social truth," he said.

"And what," I asked, "is social truth?"

He replied quite simply: "It is what it is expedient for a society to tell its members."

"And who," I asked, "determines what story it is expedient to tell the suckers?"

He smiled. "We do."

Now I cannot be certain that the man in question was a member of the Communist underground, but the reasoning that he employed is that of the Communist list mind. There is no truth; there is only expediency. Truth, in other words, is lies.

But intellectual nihilism, the repudiation of all concepts of truth, justice, humanity, is not sufficient to explain the terrible energy of the Communist conspiracy. That energy is emotional; it is a lust, a craving, a passion. I had my first glimpse of this passion early in my days in Washington.

TRUTH IS LIES

I met a young man who had just come into the army. He looked like an amiable college boy, and, as a matter of fact, he had been graduated from law school only two or three years before. He had been working for the Labor Relations Board, and he enthusiastically described to me the way in which he and his colleagues would raid small American corporations that were inclined to be recalcitrant, seize their books and cart them off, ostensibly for the purpose of photographing them, but actually to force the company, paralyzed by the loss of its records, to submit. I was a little shocked, and I asked, "If you didn't it seem to you that you were violating the constitutional rights of American business men?" He was a young man, and he answered promptly, "Rights?"

GENERAL FRANCO

When the Hungarians suffered, and died, and begged in vain for help, only one nation in Europe dared to offer them even a modicum of assistance. That was Spain, a nation headed by General Francisco Franco, whom the Communist-dominated press in the United States so regularly vilified. Spain agreed to send the Hungarians the weapons they most desperately needed, anti-tank guns, many to permit these planes, on their return trip, to land to refuel, since the Spanish planes could not carry enough gasoline for a round trip. Now our Central Intelligence Agency, which seems never to be able to discover anything to the advantage of the United States, quickly found out about the Spanish plan to help the Hungarians.

The C.I.A. transmitted the information to our State Department, which immediately went into action and brought very strong pressure to bear on the German government to cancel the permission that had been given for the Spanish planes to refuel on their return journey. Thus was Washington able to save the Russians considerable inconvenience during their massacre of the Hungarians.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

The Central Intelligence Agency is an organization so secret that even our senators are not allowed to know anything of its operations. But, as was recently disclosed in National Review, the head of any section of this agency has full power to employ even persons whose Communist records are in the files of the F.B.I. The agency has undoubtedly undergone progressive change in the past nine years. It was in June, 1949, you will remember, that Admiral Hillenkoetter, who was then head of the agency, received an inquiry from Senator McCarran concerning one hundred new appointees to the United Nations staff. Admiral Hillenkoetter replied that according to a preliminary check of his records, 29 of these appointees were officers in the Communist Party and that 32 others were known members of the Russian espionage system. Admiral Hillenkoetter was immediately transferred to a desolate outpost in the Pacific. This was, of course, but one of the many incidents by which our army and navy officers have been shown that they dare not do anything that would displease our Communist conspirators.

BATISTA

I trust that you have not overlooked the latest triumph of what may fairly be called the Washington-Moscow Axis. The Batista government in Cuba was not, to be sure, a perfect government, but it probably was about as good a government as one could ever reasonably expect to find in an island largely populated by mongrels. Batista, however, had committed the one sin that is unforgivable in the eyes of the people who call themselves our "liberal intellectuals": he was an enemy of Communism. Not only was he a reliable ally of the United States, but he had even gone so far as to sever diplomatic relations with Russia, an act which greatly embarrassed our State Department. It was clear, therefore, that he must be eliminated. Accordingly the revolt led by Fidel Castro was organized. You will recall that some of the preparations for it were quite openly made in Florida.

EISENHOWER

As soon as the Russians have built their submarine bases and set up the launching fields for ballistic missiles in Cuba, our State Department will no doubt again tell us: "Oojs! We were mistaken. But now that launching sites for atomic missiles have been set up within one hundred miles of the United States, war is unthinkable, ain't it?"

By the way, you will probably witness in the next few months the destruction of our only remaining ally in the Caribbean--our only certain ally south of Canada, the Dominican Republic. That was, to my mind, clearly forecast by the Gallindefez affair three years ago, but it has now been made quite certain by the recent official proclamation, issued over the name of President Eisenhower, to the effect that we look with great disapproval upon "dictators" such as General Trujillo.

LOUIS BUDENZ

The Communist underground is our real danger, and no one can accurately measure its total strength. Its members all vociferously deny that they are Communists, and they are so organized that when everything is working properly no one conspirator can positively identify more than five of his accomplices. Louis Budenz recounts with wry humor that when he had worked himself far enough up in the Communist Party to become managing editor of the Daily Worker and a member of the official party's national executive council, he began to fancy himself a person of some importance, until one of his supporters remarked dryly, "Son, all that you have seen is just the periscope of the submarine."

On 3 March 1958 Congressman Francis E. Walter published a report that "the Kremlin has succeeded in enlisting, at a conservative estimate, more than a million Americans... There are at this moment the equivalent of some twenty combat divisions of enemy troops on American soil... troops that are loyal only to the Soviets." In other words, you are now living in a country that has been partly occupied. Unless the American people now make a desperate effort to save themselves, they will, within four years, I think, find themselves in the position of the Hungarians when they made their frantic effort to regain their freedom three years ago.

In Washington it was--and is--easy to meet Communists; in fact, what is difficult is to avoid meeting them. I tried to take advantage of the opportunity to observe the vermin socially. And I have been observing them ever since.

COMMUNISM NOT AN IDEOLOGICAL STRUGGLE

There are two basic facts that one must bear in mind when one tries to understand Communism.

First, all the talk about an "ideological struggle" is mostly nonsense. No Communist, except gullible college boys and other children, really believes in the doctrine of socialism. Marx's absurd theories are merely a propaganda device, a means to an end. The intellectual processes of the Communist have been well explained by *Communism in the United States*...

Illinois State Convention.

I have doubtless seen the recent and cleverly advertised book entitled "We Must Know About Communism by Harry and Bonaro Overstreet. It is a large book. I cannot take time to analyze it or to discuss the possible motivation for the authors' silence about the most important parts of the vast web of corruption that extends from the humblest primary school to the highest court of our land. But I shall limit myself to matters that the Overstreets ignore or omit. And I can give only the most perfunctory summary of a few selected instances of the terror that is upon us.

ERAL INTELLECTUALS

I first encountered Communists and their allies, the "liberal intellectuals," in 1942. I was a lad in college, and I then formed the impression of them that so many people of my generation still carry in their minds. The pro-Communist "liberal fellows" were so childish and so ignorant! Many of them, to be sure, were quite enough in parroting the phrases and arguments they had heard or read, they obviously belonged to the group that worked hard only to avoid hard intellectual work. They talked, and they seemed to believe, Marxism, a kind of absurd superstition that can be taken seriously by no one who has any knowledge of human history and Occidental culture. There were also a few members of the faculty who were obviously pro-Communist--I remember that this was years and years ago, and the college in which I am undergraduate was not so progressive as others even at that time--"education" or some similar bunk that was obviously a fraud on the public. A result to the intelligence of an educated man. My friends and I thought omitties, young or old, very funny.

DISCIPLINED ASSASSINS--THE KREMLIN KNOWS

I had all heard, of course, of what had happened and was happening in Russia, but we did not know then what everyone knows now, that the Bolsheviks in Russia had been organized in the United States, financed from the United States, and carried out by a gang of 1700 disciplined assassins, most of whom came from New York City. And in any case Russia was a distant and remote country, and nothing could be more ludicrous than a suggestion that such a thing had happened there could conceivably happen here.

It was not until later that Harry Hopkins, the man who dispatched to Moscow the atomic bomb together with the materials necessary to assemble it, moved into the White House to supervise the work of treason. At that time Washington was full of Communists, both domestic and foreign. They had already obtained that complete control of most of the government bureaus that they have held ever since and progressively increased. It was not until later that Harry Hopkins, the man who dispatched to Moscow the atomic bomb together with the materials necessary to assemble it, moved into the White House to supervise the work of treason. At that time Washington was full of Communists, both domestic and foreign. They had already obtained that complete control of most of the government bureaus that they have held ever since and progressively increased. It

RY HOPKINS

It was not until later that Harry Hopkins, the man who dispatched to Moscow the atomic bomb together with the materials necessary to assemble it, moved into the White House to supervise the work of treason. At that time Washington was full of Communists, both domestic and foreign. They had already obtained that complete control of most of the government bureaus that they have held ever since and progressively increased. It

reasons approved by the Communist party could have been of any responsibility. That is, of course, mere commonplace now, and, in informed circles, was mere commonplace then.

CONGRESSMAN DIES

We all know, for example that Congressman Dies of Texas happened to obtain photographs of a part of the Communist records which showed that 2,500 members of just three governmental departments--State, Justice, and Interior--were card-carrying members of the Communist Party. He took those records to President Roosevelt, who, in one of those fits of almost insane temper to which he was so frequently subject, screamed out, "Many of my best friends are Communist!" and ordered the Congressman from the room.

It is not so generally known that a presidential order commanded the Naval Intelligence Service immediately to cease all inquiry into the activities of Communists, whether domestic or alien, and to destroy all records concerning Communist traitors and spies.

BASIC FORMULA FOR SUCCESS

Washington was in 1942 what it is today--a city in which, as one bureaucrat complacently assured me, "you've got to be a Communist to get anywhere." It was even a city in which it was dangerous to be an American. I was there during the obscene farce--in many ways a tragic farce--called the "Sedition Trial." Everyone in Washington knew that the one purpose of this trial was to intimidate Americans. Read about it sometime. Thirty men and women from all over the country, most of whom had never even heard of one another and who had in common only outspoken criticism of Communism, were hauled to Washington in hand-cuffs and leg irons, imprisoned in cells kept dark so that they could not read, and subjected to the most fantastic trial for conspiracy ever conducted outside Russia or an admittedly satellite state.

FELIX FRANKFURTER

The presiding judge, Eicher, who had, I understand, been appointed on the demand of Felix Frankfurter, was one of the new breed of Federal judges, that is to say, viciously corrupt, and he finally died while under impeachment for malfeasance in office.

The Assistant Attorney General of the U. S., who prosecuted the case was another protégé of Felix Frankfurter, and he was rewarded for his efforts when in 1950 he went to Russia as the personal guest of Stalin.

The Hungarian revolt provides one of the hundreds of bits of evidence that show the close cooperation between the Kremlin and the bureaucracy that captured Washington under Franklin Roosevelt and has been expanding and strengthening itself ever since.

RADIO FREE EUROPE

When the Hungarian people spontaneously rose in revolt, they were, as several refugees have assured me, cozened and confused by lying broadcasts from the radio station that is so sardonically called Radio Free Europe. This station, which, as Fulton Lewis has recently shown, is staffed largely by Communist agents, is supported by secret grants from C. I. A., our Central Intelligence Agency. It is also supported by funds collected every year from the American people. That is just a bit of Communist humor. They delight in tricking Americans into contributing money to ostensibly charitable enterprises for their own destruction.



Community

Lecture

Series

Bulletin

MARCH 1964

OLIVER ON

MARXMANSHIP

FIVE YEARS AGO

MARCH 1959

Exactly five years ago this month Dr. Oliver spoke on MARXMANSHIP before the Daughters of the American Revolution Annual Illinois State Convention. The MARXMANSHIP title of his speech was "All America Must Know."

LET EVERY AMERICAN KNOW: The comments about the WARREN COMMISSION

LET EVERYONE IN THE

UNITED STATES RECEIVE:

This Lecture Series Bulletin telling where to obtain the February and March issues of AMERICAN OPINION containing the complete text of the article, "Marxism in Dallas" by Dr. Revilo Oliver.

Complete any, all or additional address spaces above. Use gummed labels if you prefer. Type, print or write clearly. Cover cost of each address with ten cents. For example, send \$1.00 with 10 addresses, \$5.00 with 50 addresses, \$50.00 with 500 addresses, etc. Then mail this sheet to Community Lecture Series, Mount Zion, Illinois. Each person, whose name appears above, will be mailed this Lecture Series Bulletin within 24 hours. Use typewriter when possible; use only black ink when writing.

LET ALL AMERICA KNOW

about THE THREE GREAT COMMENTARIES OF THIS DECADE on MARXMANSHIP by Dr. Revilo Oliver

ZERO IN ON THE MARXMANSHIP BULL'S EYE — Complete this page within 24 to 48 hours

LET ALL AMERICA KNOW: The comments about MARXMAN OSWALD

ON
MARXMANSHIP
BY

DR. REVILLO OLIVER

I Marxmanship Five Years Ago

Complete text with topical headings of speech, "All America Must Know", given at National Convention of the Daughters of the American Revolution March, 1959.

II Marxmanship In Dallas

Full text appears in American Opinion in two parts. Part 1 contained in the February issue and part 2 in the March issue, 1964.

III The Aftermath Of The Assassination

Full text of speech given at Hotel Orlando Ballroom on Wednesday evening, March 18, 1964.

SPECIAL EDITION COMMUNITY LECTURE SERIES BULLETIN

THE THREE

GREAT

COMMENTARIES OF THIS

MR & MRS W. N. SPENCER
114 SOUTH ST.
MOUNT ZION, ILL.
M.D.S.

BULK RATE
U. S. Postage
PAID
Mt. Zion, Illinois
Permit No. 5