

FBI

Date: 1/8/64

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_

(Type in plain text or code)

Via \_\_\_\_\_

AIRTEL

AIR MAIL

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, LOS ANGELES (62-0) (C)

RE: MRS. LEONARD E. GARRETT, aka  
 Pat Garrett  
 INFORMATION CONCERNING

*5339 N. Loma Ave*

Enclosed to the Bureau for information purposes is one copy of a two page letter postmarked at Temple City, California, 1/6/64, from Mrs. GARRETT, which was received by Los Angeles on 1/7/64.

No information is contained in Los Angeles Indices identifiable with Mrs. GARRETT on the basis of information known.

On 1/7/64 Mrs. GARRETT was personally contacted by SAs GEORGE A. PAINE, JR., and PHILLIP B. DIELY, at which time receipt of her letter was acknowledged. She was further advised Director HOOVER was not at the Los Angeles Office. She said she had not previously been introduced to Director HOOVER.

Mrs. GARRETT explained she obtained a roll of pennies about ten days prior to 11/22/63, the day former President JOHN F. KENNEDY was assassinated. She had a 1961 LINCOLN penny from that roll, which she said she first observed on 11/22/63 after former President KENNEDY had been assassinated. This penny

3 - Bureau (Encl. 1)  
 1 - Los Angeles

GAP/crj  
 (3)

*62-109060-2235*

JAN 13 1964

REC'D 12  
 ENCL. WITH ATTACHMENT

CRIME RESEARCH

Approved: *[Signature]*  
 Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

JAN 17 1964

BA 62-0

has "JFK 1964" scratched on the front of the penny and "RMN 64" scratched on the back of it.

She explained that inasmuch as President LINCOLN and President KENNEDY had both been assassinated, that possibly "RMN", which she believed referred to RICHARD M. NIXON, philosophically speaking, Mr. NIXON might have been an intended assassination victim. She said she would not have paid any attention to the above if the scratching had been on any other coin other than the LINCOLN penny.

Although the emotional stability of Mrs. GARRETT is unknown, she appears highly nervous and Mr. GARRETT stated he feels there was nothing significant about the above information.

The above is submitted for information purposes.

Los Angeles is not taking any further action in this matter.