

- Tolson \_\_\_\_\_
- DeLoach
- Mohr \_\_\_\_\_
- Bishop \_\_\_\_\_
- Casper \_\_\_\_\_
- Callahan \_\_\_\_\_
- Conrad \_\_\_\_\_
- Felt \_\_\_\_\_
- Gale \_\_\_\_\_
- Rosen
- Sullivan
- Tavel \_\_\_\_\_
- Trotter \_\_\_\_\_
- Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_
- Holmes \_\_\_\_\_
- Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

*T. A. Trotter*  
*File 5. B. B. B.*  
*Rosen*

*W. J. ...*  
*C. ...*

UPI-97  
 ADD 1 SHAW, WASHINGTON (UPI-93)  
 MEANWHILE, THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT SUGGESTED THAT THE RELEASE OF  
 AUTOMATED MATERIAL SEALED IN THE U.S. ARCHIVES AFTER THE ASSASSINATION OF  
 PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY, MIGHT LEAD TO THE "DISSEMINATION OF  
 SENSATIONAL, OR UNDISCIPLINED" USE.  
 ASSISTANT ATTY. GEN. WILLIAM F. FRENCHMANS FILED A MOTION WITH THE  
 DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS REQUESTING JUDICIAL REVIEW OF  
 BY NEW ORLEANS DISTRICT ATTORNEY JIM GARRISON FOR THE AUTOMATED  
 MATERIAL. JUDGE CHARLES HALLER TOOK ORAL ARGUMENT IN THE CASE TODAY.  
 GARRISON ARGUES THE MATERIAL WILL PROVE HIS CASE AGAINST NEW  
 ORLEANS BUSINESSMAN CLAY SHAW WHOM HE HAS ACCUSED OF CONSPIRACY IN THE  
 KENNEDY ASSASSINATION.  
 THE GOVERNMENT TOLD THE COURT THAT THE U.S. ARCHIVES, THE U.S.  
 ARCHIVIST, HAS ESTABLISHED CRITERIA WHICH LIMIT ONLY ACADEMIC  
 RESEARCHERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ACCESS TO THE  
 ORIGINAL AUTOMATED MATERIAL.  
 IT SAID GARRISON HAD SET FORTH THESE CRITERIA AS FOLLOWS:  
 "BEYOND THAT THE GOVERNMENT SAID GARRISON COULD OBTAIN ALMOST ALL OF  
 THE MATERIAL HE WANTS IN THE FORM OF COPIES OF THE ORIGINAL REPORT AND  
 PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE LATE PRESIDENT'S CLOTHING SINCE PAYMENT OF A  
 SMALL FEE TO EITHER THE GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE OR THE ARCHIVES.  
 "IN SHORT," THE GOVERNMENT SAID, "HE FAILS TO SEE WHY THE  
 ARCHIVIST SHOULD TAKE HISTORICAL MATERIALS OUT OF ARCHIVES, WITH LOSS  
 OR DAMAGE, SIMPLY BECAUSE IT MAY BE TECHNICALLY HELD BY A PRIVATE  
 ATTORNEY TO GO TO THE PROPER SOURCES FOR THE MATERIALS IN QUESTION."  
 FRENCHMANS SAID IN A SHORT AFFIDAVIT THAT HE WOULD PROTECT  
 THE MATERIAL. IT IS NECESSARY THAT SOMEONE SHOULD PROTECT THE USE OF  
 THESE FORGOTTEN, IN VIEW OF THE CAROL STANLEY CASE INVOLVING THE CASE OF  
 STATE OF LOUISIANA V. CLAY L. SHAW. IT IS FURTHER STATED THAT THE STATE  
 OF LOUISIANA DOES NOT NOW MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE STATE  
 2/14--ECC:GHEC  
 (READ ABOVE ADD 1 SHAW, WASHINGTON ETC)

62-109060-4  
 NOT RECORDED

48 FEB 24 1969

62 FEB 25 1969

FOR MR. TOLSON  
 WASHINGTON CAPITAL NEWS SERVICE

62-109060-4