

- Tolson \_\_\_\_\_
- DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_
- Mohr \_\_\_\_\_
- Wick \_\_\_\_\_
- Casper \_\_\_\_\_
- Callahan \_\_\_\_\_
- Conrad \_\_\_\_\_
- Felt \_\_\_\_\_
- Gale \_\_\_\_\_
- Rosen \_\_\_\_\_
- Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_
- Tavel \_\_\_\_\_
- Trotter \_\_\_\_\_
- Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_
- Holmes \_\_\_\_\_
- Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

TOP CLIPPING  
 DATED 6/12  
 FROM UPI  
 MARKED FILE AND INITIALED

286A

ASSASSINATION 6/12 DA17  
 BY MACK SISK  
 UNITED PRESS INTERNATIONAL  
 NEW ORLEANS (UPI)--JUDGE EDWARD A. HAGGERTY JR. SAID MONDAY  
 NEW ORLEANS BUSINESSMAN CLAY L. SHAW WILL PROBABLY BE TRIED IN  
 AUGUST OR SEPTEMBER FOR ALLEGEDLY CONSPIRING TO ASSASSINATE PRESIDENT  
 JOHN F. KENNEDY.

AND DIST. ATTY. JIM GARRISON'S OFFICE HINTED THAT THE  
 PROSECUTION WOULD USE MOSTLY CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE AGAINST SHAW.  
 HAGGERTY MEANWHILE SAID THE THE PROSECUTION WOULD NOT HAVE TO SHOW  
 HOW PRESIDENT KENNEDY WAS KILLED IN DALLAS IN 1963, BUT WOULD ONLY  
 HAVE TO SHOW THAT ONE OVERT ACT OF CONSPIRACY HAD BEEN COMMITTED IN NEW  
 ORLEANS.

"THE STATE DOES NOT HAVE TO TELL THE DEFENSE WHO KILLED KENNEDY,"  
 THE JUDGE SAID.

JUDGE FRANK SHAE, HOLDING COURT AT THE SAME TIME AS HAGGERTY,  
 SET AUGUST 9 AS THE TRIAL DATE FOR JEFFERSON PARISH (COUNTY)  
 ATTORNEY DEAN A. ANDREWS ON A PERJURY INDICTMENT IN CONNECTION  
 WITH THE PROBE.

SHAE FIRST REFUSED TO QUASH THE INDICTMENT AS ANDREWS HAD  
 REQUESTED AND THEN DENIED ANDREW'S MOTION FOR A SPEEDY TRIAL.

TWO OTHER MOTIONS WERE ALSO DENIED--ONE ASKING FOR A FULL  
 TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY ANDREWS GAVE THE GRAND JURY AND THE OTHER  
 ASKING FOR THE RIGHT TO INSPECT AN EARLIER JURY TRANSCRIPT GIVEN BY  
 ANDREWS.

ANDREWS' PERJURY INDICTMENT APPARENTLY AROSE FROM HIS REFUSAL  
 TO IDENTIFY SHAW AS CLAY BERTRAND, A MAN ANDREWS SAYS CALLED HIM  
 SHORTLY AFTER THE ASSASSINATION AND ASKED HIM TO REPRESENT LEE  
 HARVEY OSWALD.

GARRISON MAINTAINS THAT SHAW AND BERTRAND ARE THE SAME PERSON.  
 HAGGERTY DELAYED RULING ON A SERIES OF DEFENSE MOTIONS FOLLOWING  
 A SECOND PRELIMINARY HEARING FOR SHAW MONDAY. HE GRANTED ATTORNEYS  
 ADDITIONAL TIME TO FILE WRITTEN ARGUMENTS ON THE DEFENSE MOTIONS.

THE DEFENSE HAD REQUESTED:

62 JUN 13 1964

NOT RECORDED  
196 JUN 13 1964

WASHINGTON CAPITAL NEWS SERVICE

--THAT HAGGERTY QUASH THE CONSPIRACY INDICTMENT.  
--THAT GARRISON'S OFFICE PROVIDE A BILL OF PARTICULARS DETAILING THE CHARGES AGAINST SHAW.  
--THAT ITEMS SEIZED FROM SHAW'S APARTMENT LAST MARCH BE RETURNED BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.  
MONDAY'S HEARING ENDED ABRUPTLY AFTER THE DEFENSE CALLED ONLY FOUR OF THE 32 WITNESSES IT HAD SUBPOENED.

SHAW, DRESSED IN A BLUE SUIT, SAT QUIETLY THROUGH THE HEARING. HIS FACE REDDENED ON OCCASION AS THE DEFENSE AND PROSECUTION ARGUED.

THERE WAS ONLY ONE FLARE-UP, HOWEVER, AND HAGGERTY ENDED THAT QUICKLY. TURNING TO ASSISTANT DIST. ATTY. JAMES ALCOCK, HE SAID, "MR. ALCOCK, WHEN YOU'RE AHEAD, STOP."

ALCOCK SEEMED TO REMAIN AHEAD MOST OF THE DAY. HAGGERTY AGREED WITH HIM ON SEVERAL KEY POINTS.

HE TOLD DEFENSE ATTORNEY IRVING DYMOND AT ONE POINT THAT THE DEFENSE WAS "ASKING FOR THE IMPOSSIBLE" IN ITS REQUEST FOR DETAILS OF THE ALLEGED CONSPIRACY.

AND WHEN DYMOND PRESSED FOR INFORMATION ABOUT A TRIP THE LATE DAVID W. FERRIE TO HOUSTON IN NOVEMBER 1963, HAGGERTY REMINDED THE ATTORNEY THAT FERRIE WAS DEAD.

"YOU SHOULD BE GLAD HE CANNOT TESTIFY AS A CO-CONSPIRATOR," THE JUDGE SAID.

SHAW WAS INDICTED FOR ALLEGEDLY CONSPIRING WITH FERRIE AND LEE HARVEY OSWALD TO ASSASSINATE KENNEDY. GARRISON LAST WEEK ALSO FILED A DOCUMENT SAYING SHAW MET WITH OSWALD AND THE LATE JACK RUBY IN A BATON ROUGE HOTEL IN 1963.

"HE WANTS THE STATE'S FILES," ALCOCK REPLIED. "YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET THEM"

ALCOCK SAID THE STATE "DOES NOT HAVE TO PROVE WHAT HAPPENED IN DALLAS. WE HAVE TO PROVE WHAT HAPPENED IN THIS STATE."

HAGGERTY AGREED THAT THE PROSECUTION NEEDED ONLY TO "PROVE ONE OVERT ACT."

ALCOCK SAID THE STATE HAD ONLY TO PROVE THAT "THE CONSPIRATORS MET" AND HE ADDED THAT IT COULD BE "A MEETING OF THE MINDS RATHER THAN A PHYSICAL MEETING."

HAGGERTY SAID THAT A CONSPIRACY WOULD BE COMMITTED DURING A TELEPHONE CALL.

EARLIER IN THE DAY THE JUDGE REFUSED TO ORDER TRUTH AND CONSEQUENCES TO PROVIDE THE DEFENSE WITH A LIST OF ITS MEMBERS AND ITS EXPENDITURES. THE PRIVATE GROUP HAS HELPED TO FINANCE GARRISON'S ASSASSINATION INVESTIGATION.

THE JUDGE DID SAY HE WOULD HONOR A DEFENSE REQUEST TO DETERMINE IF ANY MEMBERS OF THE NEW ORLEANS GRAND JURY HAD CONTRIBUTED TO THE GROUP.

HE ALSO ACCUSED LOUIS IVON, GARRISON'S CHIEF INVESTIGATOR, OF EXAGGERATING WHEN HE ASKED JUDGE MATTHEW BRANIFF FOR A SEARCH WARRANT LAST APRIL. THE AFFIDAVIT WAS BASED, IVON SAID, ON INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM PERRY RAYMOND RUSSO. HE CONFIRMED THAT RUSSO HAD MENTIONED ONLY ONE ALLEGED CONSPIRACY MEETING.

"YOU LED JUDGE BRANIFF TO BELIEVE THAT MORE THAN ONE MEETING WAS HELD," HAGGERTY TOLD IVON.

JF1015P

"YOU LED JUDGE BRANIFF TO BELIEVE THAT MORE THAN ONE MEETING WAS HELD," HAGGERTY TOLD IVON.

JF1015PCD..

KMUCTTBL GS