Highlights of Hoover Testimony to Warren

. 4
Tolson
Belmont
Mohr
Casper
Callahan
Conrad
DeLoach
Evans
Gale
Rosen
Sullivan
Tavel
Trotter
Tele Room
Holmes

Probers ASSMOSINATION OF PRESIDENT

here we highlights of testimony given to the Warren Commission by FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover when he appeared before the panel last May 14.

Rep. Gerald Ford — Is the Federal Bureau of Investigation continuing its investigation of all possible ramifications of this assassination?

Hoover - That is correct. We are receiving and we, I expect, will continue to receive for days or maybe weeks to come, letters from individuals that normally would probably be in the category of what we would call crank letters in which various weird allegations are made or in which people have reported psychic vibrations. We are still running out letters of that character and in turn making a report to this Commission upon it, notwithstanding the fact that on the face of it the allegation

is without any iounvation.

I, personally, feel that any finding of the commission will not be accepted by everybody, because there are bound to be some extremists who have very pronounced views, without any foundation for them, who will disagree violently with whatev-er findings the Commission makes . . .

I can assure you so far as the FBI is concerned, the case will be continued in an open classification for all time. . . I think this will be a matter of controversy for years to come, just like the Lincoln assassination...

Hoover—If any person has fought communism, I certainly have fought it. We have tried to fight it and expose it in democratic ways him pai is the thing we have to very dennuely keep in mind in this whole problem in the security of the President and the successor to office.

Just how far you are going to go for his protection and his security. I don't think you can get absolute security without almost establishing a police state, and we don't want that. You can't put security in a black groove or a white groove. It is in a gray groove, and certain chances have to be taken. You are dealing with a human being when you are dealing with the President of the United States. President Johnson is a very down to earth human being, and it makes the security problem all the more difficult, but you can't have him from the people. can't bar him from the people.

Suggests Steps

There are certain things that can be done, and I submitted a memorandum to the Secret Service, and to the White House on certain security steps that might be taken and tightend up. But when you are dealing with the general public, and that is what has given me great concern in the recent expansion, of the criteria for dissemination that we have adopted after the assassination.

Prior to that time we reported to the Secret Service all infor-mation that dealt with indi-viduals who were potential kill-ers or by whom acts of vio-lence might be anticipated. The Secret Service would take that information and would do with it as they saw fit. I gave great consideration to it because I am not very happy with the criteria expansion, but I felt we had to include subversives of various than control and extremists. We

have in turn, furnished their names to the Secret Service. I think five thousand names up to the present time already have been submitted and there are at least three or four thousand imore that will be submitted within the next few months.

Then you come to the prob-lem of what you are going to do when the Secret Service gets those names. They have to call upon the local authorities. Just recently, in the city of Chicago, when the President was there, the local authorities were asked

> 162-109067 A 126 OCT 8

	The Washington Post and
	Times Herald
	The Washington Daily News
	The Evening Star <u>63</u>
	New York Herald Tribune
	New York Journal-American
	New York Mirror
	New York Daily News
	New York Post
	The New York Times
	The Worker
	The New Leader
•	The Wall Street Journal
	The National Observer
	People's World
	Date

DOP CLIPPING FROM WASK. SPPR

that they couldn't leave their house or if they did they would be accompanied by a police of-ficer. That gives me great consern because in New York City alone, you run into maybe three or four thousand such individuals who would be members of cubversive organization. and

ganizations in my estimation doesn't mean that he is black-the Communist cause. listed and is a menace to the

a house arrest and we don't Embassy in Moscow originally want that. We don't want a to renounce his citizenship le gestapo. We have got to, I think, maintain an even bal-

Oswald Checked

Allen Dulles - May I ask you, Mr. Hoover, was this house arrest based on names you had furnished the Secret Service and they furnished the local authorities?

Hoover-Yes, sir . . .

Hoover-We found no indication at all that Oswald was a man addicted to violence.

The first indication of an act of violence came after he, Oswald, had been killed, and Mrs. Oswald told us about the at-tempt on Gen. Walker's life by

No one had known a thing about that . .

We went back into his Marine Corps record. He was a he said, and we have no proof that he was. friends. He kept to himself, and

to give assistance as they usually in the property of the Secret Service and they went to the homes of some ing to the report of the emost of these people, and it resulted really almost in a house arrest.

Now, I don't think there is any place in this country for clean bill. He had seen furnished with, the embassy gave him a learn place in this country for clean bill. He had seen the error of his ways and disliked the people who belonged to extreme subversive organizations or organizations that advocated the him, paid his way and paid his overthrow of government by wife's way to come back to this force and violence were told that they couldn't leave their house or if they did they would be accompanied by a police of

of subversive organization, and then you get into the twilight zone of subversive fronts.

Merely because a man belongs to subversive front orbeings to subversive front orbeing the subversion belongs to subversive front orbeing the subversion of t the Communist cause.

I don't believe now, as I look country for life.

But I was startled when I learned the week before last of the incident in Chicago because there you come pretty close to when he went to the American house arrest and we don't learned the went to the American a house arrest and we don't learned to the American arrest and the start of the start nouncement. He never could have gotten back here. I think that should apply to almost all that should apply to almost all defectors who want to defect and become a part of a system of government that is entirely foreign to ours. If they have that desire, they have got that right, but if they indicate a desire for it, let them renounce their citizenship at once...

. We had interviewed him I think, three times. Of course, our interviews were predicated to find out whether he had been recruited by the Russian intelligence service, because they frequently do that.

No Proof

Boggs—And had he been? Hoover — He had not been, so

He had been over there long when he went abroad, he defected to Russia. The first evicitizenship in Russia at all. I dence we had of him in our file think they probably looked upon was a statement to the press in him more as a kind of a queer Moscow. And then later, about sort of individual and they

and trust him too sarongiy

They do have espionage and sabotage schools in Russia and they do nave an assassination squad that is used by them but there is no indication he had any association with anything . .

There are many people who read the Daily Worker, or what read the Daily Worker, or what is now the Worker, and you certainly can't brand them as hazards to the security of the country or as potential assassins. It is in that area that I am particularly concerned that we dan't become hustanical and security. don't become hysterical and go too far in restricting the citizens of our country from exercising their civil and constitutional rights. The mere fact a person disagrees with you in a matter on communism doesn't mean he should be arrested . .

But, all in all, I think that the enforcement of security and the enforcement of laws dealing with subversion ought to be handled in the American man-

We have participated in the protection of the President since the assassination. The Secret Service indicates how many agents it needs when the President is traveling somewhere or going somewhere in Washing-ton, and then I assign that number of agents to the Secret Service. They are not under my direction. They are under the direction of the Secret Service because under law they are charged with the protection of the President. We have never done that before, but I felt that it was something we must do if Corret Service desired It . .

Triad To Be Cleared

Prior to the assassination of the President, a defector, before he came back was always cleared for return by a representative of the State Department or the military abroad. When he came back we im-mediately interviewed him. It had to be done at once to determine whether he could be a potential intelligence agent.

Now, in December of last year, following the assassina-tion, we expanded the criteria of what should be furnished to the Secret Service, and all defectors automatically go on the list to be furnished to the Secret Service.

we know of in this country who have been under investigation. Some of those men may have changed their views sincerely. Some of them may not have. But as a matter of general precaution, as a result of the Oswald situation, we are seeing that all go to the Secret Service

J. Lee Rankin - I think the Commission would desire to have your comments or whatever you care to tell them, concerning the reasons why you did not furnish the information you had concerning Lee Harvey Oswald to the Secret Service prior to the time of the President's assassination.

Hoover - Well, I have gone into that very thoroughly be-cause that was obviously one of the questions that I had in my mind when the tragedy occurred in Dallas

In going back over the record, and I have read each one of the reports dealing with that and the reports of Mr. Hosty James Hosty, FBI agent in Dallas) who had dealt with the Oswald situation largely in Dallas, we had the matter that I have previously referred to, the report of the State Department that indicated this man was a thoroughly safe risk, he had changed his views, he was a loyal man now and had seen the light of day, so to speak.

How intensive or how extensive that interview in Moscow was, I don't know. But, nevertheless, it was in a State Department document that was furnished to us.

Quizzed Oswald

Now, we interviewed Oswald a few days after he arrived. We did not interview him on arrival at the port of entry because that is always undesirable by reason of the fact it is heavily covered by press, and many rel covered by press, and many rel-atives generally are there, so we prefer to do it after the man has settled down for two or three days and become all composed. We do it in the privacy of our office or wherever he may be, or in his own home, or apartment. We interviewed him twice, in regard to that angle that we were looking for. We had no indication at this time anything other than his so-called Marxist leanings, Marxist beliefs.

We wanted to know whether he had been recruited by the Soviet government as an intelligence agent, which is a frequent and constant practice. There is not a year goes by but that individuals and groups of individuals, sometimes on those cultural exchanges, go through Russia and recruits are enlisted by the Russian intelligence, usually through blackmail. The individual is threatened that if he doesn't come back to this country and work for them they will expose the fact that he is a homosexual or degenerate or has been indiscrect . . . In Oswald's case we had no

suspicion that any pressure like

voluntarily

After those interviews had been completed the next incident was the difficulty he had at New Orleans.

We were concerned there as to whether he was functioning officially for the Fair Play for Cuba Committee which was financed and supported by Castro and Castro's government, and, if he was, where he obtained money and with whom he had

Kept Track of Him

He apparently had the leaflets printed himself. There was no reason for us, then, to have any suspicion that he had any element of danger in him.

However, we did not ignore or forget the fact that he was still in the country. We kept track of him and when he went from New Orleans to Dallas, and that was one of the reasons why Hosty went to the home of Mrs. Paine. She told us where Oswald was working, at the Texas book house. Hosty gave her his telphone number and his name so that if there was any information or any contact she want-ed to make she could phone him at the Dallas office.

Up to that time, there had which is, of been no information that would burden on us.

and had obviously hazard to the security or the wanted to live in Russia and had married a Russian woman.

After those interviews had was not furnished at the time to Secret Service.

Under the new criteria which we have now put into force and effect, it would have been fur-nished because we now include all defectors. As to the original criteria, which we felt were sound, and which we felt no one, not even the most extreme civil rights proponent could take exception to, we limited the furnishing of names to persons potentially dangerous to the physical well being of the President. We included emotionally unstable people who had threatened the President or the Vice President...

Facilities Limited

Dulles — How many names, Mr. Director, in general, could the Secret Service process? Aren't their facilities limited as to dealing with vast numbers of names because of their limited personnel · ·

Hoover - I think they are extremely limited. The Secret Service is a very small organization and that is why we are fortifying them, so to speak, or supplementing them by assigning agents of our Bureau which is, of course, quite a

have warranted our reporting Now, the Secret Service has a him as a potential danger or very small group and 1 would

stimate that the names we have sent number over 5,000. I would guess there are about another four thousand that will go over in the next month to them.

Frankly, I don't see how they can go out and recheck those names. They will have to call upon the local authorities, unless the Secret Service force is enlarged considerably so that they can handle it entirely on their own.

their own ...

Many local police departments are capable and efficient, some are not. Many have good judgment and some have not. Whever you have a police department of 10, 15, 20 thousand men you are bound to find a few who will just barge in and de something which better judgment would dictate should not be done as in the incident which occurred out in the Midwest where they placed these people practically under house arrest.

I think it was very bad judgment and should not have been done but the Secret Service, of course, turned the names over to the local authorities, and the local authorities do what they think is right.

Now, I guess their attitude with all justice to them is "Well, we will resolve the risk in our favor. If we keep these people under surveillance and keep them in the house until the President gets out of town nothing can happen from them "That is what you would call totalitarian security. I don't think you can have that kind of security in this country without naving a great wave of criticism against it.



J. EDGAR HOOVER